

Non-Abelian Quantum Hall States and their Quasiparticles: from the Pattern of Zeros to Vertex Algebra

Yuan-Ming Lu,¹ Xiao-Gang Wen,^{2,3} Zhenghan Wang,⁴ and Ziqiang Wang¹

¹*Department of Physics, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA*

²*Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139*

³*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline Street North, Waterloo, Ontario N2J 2Y5, Canada*

⁴*Microsoft Station Q, CNSI Bldg. Rm 2237, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106*

(Dated: Oct. 2009)

In the pattern-of-zeros approach to quantum Hall states, a set of data $\{n; m; S_a | a = 1, \dots, n; n, m, S_a \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (called the pattern of zeros) is introduced to characterize a quantum Hall wave function. In this paper we find sufficient conditions on the pattern of zeros so that the data correspond to a valid wave function. Some times, a set of data $\{n; m; S_a\}$ corresponds to a unique quantum Hall state, while other times, a set of data corresponds to several different quantum Hall states. So in the latter cases, the patterns of zeros alone does not completely characterize the quantum Hall states. In this paper, We find that the following expanded set of data $\{n; m; S_a; c | a = 1, \dots, n; n, m, S_a \in \mathbb{N}; c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ provides a more complete characterization of quantum Hall states. Each expanded set of data completely characterizes a unique quantum Hall state, at least for the examples discussed in this paper. The result is obtained by combining the pattern of zeros and Z_n simple-current vertex algebra which describes a large class of Abelian and non-Abelian quantum Hall states $\Phi_{Z_n}^{\text{sc}}$. The more complete characterization in terms of $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ allows us to obtain more topological properties of those states, which include the central charge c of edge states, the scaling dimensions and the statistics of quasiparticle excitations.

Contents

I. Introduction	2	V. Z_n simple-current vertex algebra	12
A. Sufficient conditions on pattern of zeros	3	A. OPE's of Z_n simple-current vertex algebra	12
B. How to expand the pattern-of-zeros data to completely characterize the topological order	3	B. Consistent conditions from useful GJI's	13
C. The organization of the paper	4	1. $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_c\},$ $a + b, b + c, a + c \neq 0 \pmod n$	14
II. Pattern-of-zeros approach to generic FQH states	5	2. $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_{-b}\}, a \pm b \neq 0 \pmod n$	14
A. FQH wave functions and symmetric polynomials	5	3. $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_a, \psi_{-a}\}, a \neq n/2 \pmod n$	14
B. Fusion of a variables: the Pattern of Zeros	5	4. $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_{n/2}, \psi_{n/2}, \psi_{n/2}\}, n = \text{even}$	14
C. Consistent conditions for the Pattern of Zeros	5	VI. Representing quasiparticles in Z_n simple-current vertex algebra	15
1. Translational invariance	5	A. The pattern of zeros for quasiparticles and its consistent conditions	15
2. Symmetry condition	5	1. Definition and consistent conditions	15
3. Concave conditions	6	2. Label quasiparticle pattern of zeros by $\{h_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\}$	15
4. n -cluster condition	6	B. Quasiparticle wave functions and quasiparticle operators	16
5. Summary	6	C. A more complete characterization of quasiparticles	17
D. Label the pattern of zeros by h_a^{sc}	7	D. Consistent conditions for quasiparticles from useful GJI's	17
III. Constructing FQH wave functions from Z_n vertex algebras	7	1. Complete vertex algebra with quasiparticle operators	17
A. FQH wave function as a correlation function in Z_n vertex algebra	7	2. Consistent conditions:	18
B. Relation between $\tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}$ and h_a^{sc}	9	$\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \sigma_{\gamma+c}\}, a + b \neq 0 \pmod n$	18
C. Conditions on h_a^{sc} and $C_{a,b}$ from the associativity of vertex algebra	9	3. Consistent conditions:	18
IV. Examples of generic FQH states described by the Z_n vertex algebra	10	$\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_{-a}, \sigma_{\gamma+c}\}$	18
A. $n = 1$ case	10	VII. Examples of FQH states described by Z_n simple-current vertex algebras	18
B. $n = 2$ case	10	A. Pattern of zeros for Z_n simple-current vertex algebra	18
C. $n = 3$ case	11	B. The Z_n parafermion vertex algebra: Z_n parafermion states with $\{M_k = 0; p = 1; m = 2\}$	20
D. $n = 4$ case	11	C. Quasiparticles in the Z_2 parafermion state	22
E. Including conditions (49) and (50)	11	D. Quasiparticles in the Z_3 parafermion state	23
F. Summary	11		

- E. $Z_n|Z_n$ series: $\{M_k = 0; p = 2\}$
- F. Quasiparticles in the $Z_2|Z_2$ state
- G. Quasiparticles in the $Z_3|Z_3$ state
- H. The $Z_2|Z_2|Z_2$ state
- I. Gaffnian: a non unitary Z_4 example
- J. The $Z_4|Z_2$ state
- K. $C_n|C_n$ series with
 $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2n; 2, \dots, 2\}$

VIII. Summary

Acknowledgments

A. Other ways to label the pattern of zeros

1. Label the pattern of zeros by a set of non-negative integers $\{a_j\}$
2. Label patterns of zeros by $\{M_k; p; m\}$
 - a. The $\{M_k; p; m\}$ labeling scheme
 - b. Consistent conditions on $\{M_k; p; m\}$

B. Consistent conditions on the commutation factor μ_{AB}

C. Determine the quasiparticle commutation factor $\mu_{\gamma,a}$ from the quasiparticle pattern of zeros $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}\}$

D. Generalized Jacobi Identity

1. GJI's of an associative vertex algebra
2. "Useful" GJI's of a vertex algebra up to a certain order

E. Associativity of Z_n vertex algebra and new conditions on h_a^{sc} and C_{ab}

1. New conditions from the commutation factors
2. New conditions from GJI
 - a. A list of useful GJI's:
 $(A = \psi_a, B = \psi_b, C = \psi_c)$
 - b. Summary of new consistent conditions from GJI

F. Subleading terms in OPE of a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra and more consistent conditions

1. "Deriving" subleading terms in OPE from GJI's
2. More consistent conditions due to subleading terms in OPE
 - a. $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_c\}, a + b, b + c, a + c, a + b + c \neq 0 \bmod n$
 - b. $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_{-a-b}\}, a, b, a + b \neq 0 \bmod n$
 - c. $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_{-b}\}, a \pm b \neq 0 \bmod n$
 - d. $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_a, \psi_{-a}\}, a \neq n/2 \bmod n$
 - e. $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_{n/2}, \psi_{n/2}, \psi_{n/2}\}, n = \text{even}$
 - f. $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \sigma_{\gamma+c}\}, a + b \neq 0 \bmod n$
 - g. $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_{-a}, \sigma_{\gamma+c}\}$

References

I. INTRODUCTION

Materials can have many different forms, which is partially due to the very rich ways in which atoms and elec-

trons can organize. The different organizations correspond to different phases of matter (or states of matter). It is very important for physicists to understand these different states of matter and the phase transitions between them. At zero-temperature, the phases are described by the ground state wave functions, which are complex wave functions $\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)$ with $N \rightarrow \infty$ variables. So mathematically, to describe zero-temperature phases, we need to characterize and classify the ground state wave functions with ∞ variables, which is a very challenging mathematical problem.

For a long time we believe that all states of matter and all phase transitions between them are characterized by their broken symmetries and the associated order parameters¹. A general theory for phases and phase transitions is developed based on this symmetry breaking picture. So within the paradigm of symmetry breaking, a many-body wave function is characterized by its symmetry properties. Landau's symmetry breaking theory is a very successful theory and has dominated the theory of phases and phase transitions until the discovery of fractional quantum Hall (FQH) effect^{2,3}.

FQH states cannot be described by symmetry breaking since different FQH states have exactly the same symmetry. So different FQH states must contain a new kind of order. The new order is called topological order^{4,5,6} and the associated phase called topological phase, because their characteristic universal properties (such as the ground states degeneracy on a torus⁴) are invariant under *any* small perturbations of the system. Unlike symmetry-breaking phases described by local order parameters, a topological phase is characterized by a pattern of long-range quantum entanglement^{7,8,9}. In Ref. 10, the non-Abelian Berry phases for the degenerate ground states are introduced to systematically characterize and classify topological orders in FQH states (as well as other topologically ordered states). In this paper, we further develop another systematic characterization of the topological orders in FQH states based on the pattern of zeros approach.^{11,12}

In the strong magnetic field limit, a FQH wave function with filling factor $\nu < 1$ is an anti-symmetric holomorphic polynomial of complex coordinates $\{z_i = x_i + iy_i\}$ (except for a common factor that depends on geometry: say, a Gaussian factor $\exp\left(\sum_i \frac{|z_i|^2}{4}\right)$ for a planar geometry). After factoring out an anti-symmetric factor of $\prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)$, we can describe a quantum Hall state by a symmetric polynomial $\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit.¹¹ So the characterization and classification of long-range quantum entanglements in FQH states become a problem of characterizing and classifying symmetric polynomials with infinite variables.

In a recent series of work,^{11,12,13} the pattern of zeros is introduced to characterize and classify symmetric polynomials of infinite variables. The pattern of zeros is described by a sequence of integers $\{S_a | a = 1, 2, \dots\}$, where S_a is the lowest order of zeros of the symmetric

polynomial when we fuse a different variables together. The data $\{S_a|a = 1, 2, \dots\}$ can be further compactified into a finite set $\{n; m; S_a|a = 1, 2, \dots, n; n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for n -cluster quantum Hall states. Here $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is the set of non-negative integers. It has been shown^{11,12} that all known one-component Abelian and non-Abelian quantum Hall states can be (partially) characterized by pattern of zeros. It is also shown^{11,12} that, for any given pattern of zeros $\{S_a\}$, we can construct an ideal local Hamiltonian^{14,15,16,17,18} $H_{\{S_a\}}$ such that the FQH state with the pattern of zeros is a zero energy ground state of the Hamiltonian.

We would like to point out that, strictly speaking, a FQH state must be a state with a finite energy gap. But in this paper, we will use the term more loosely. We will call one state a FQH state if it can be a zero energy state of an ideal Hamiltonian. So our FQH states may not be gapped.

Due to the length of this paper, in the following, we are going to summarize the issues that we are going to discuss in this paper. We will also summarize the main results that we obtain on those issues.

A. Sufficient conditions on pattern of zeros

Within the pattern-of-zero approach, two questions naturally arise: (1) Does any pattern of zeros, *ie* an arbitrary integer sequence $\{n; m; S_a\}$ corresponds to a symmetric polynomial $\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_N)$? Are there any “illegal” patterns of zeros that do not correspond to any symmetric polynomial? (2) Given a “legal” pattern of zeros, can we construct a corresponding FQH many-body wave function? Is the FQH many-body wave function uniquely determined by the pattern of zeros?

For question (1), it turns out that the pattern of zeros must satisfy some consistent conditions^{11,12} in order to describe an existing symmetric polynomial. In other words, some sequences $\{n; m; S_a\}$ don’t correspond to any symmetric polynomials. However, Ref. 11,12 only obtain some necessary conditions on the pattern of zeros $\{n; m; S_a\}$. We still do not have a set of sufficient conditions on pattern of zeros that guarantee a pattern of zeros to correspond to an existing symmetric polynomial.

For the question (2), right now, we do not have an efficient way to obtain corresponding FQH many-body wave function from a “legal” pattern of zeros. Further more, while some patterns of zeros can uniquely determine the FQH wave function, it is known that some other patterns of zeros cannot uniquely determine the FQH wave function: *ie* in those cases, two different FQH wave functions can have the same pattern of zeros.^{11,19} This means that, some patterns of zeros do not provide complete information to fully characterize FQH states. In this case it is important to expand the data of pattern of zeros to obtain a more complete characterization of FQH states.

We see that the above two questions are actually closely related. In this paper, we will try to address

those questions. Motivated by the conformal field theory (CFT) construction of FQH wave functions,^{20,21,22,23,24} we will try to use the patterns of zeros to define and construct vertex algebras (which are CFTs). Since the correlation function of the electron operator in the constructed vertex algebra gives us the FQH wave function, once the vertex algebra is obtained from a pattern of zeros, we effectively find the corresponding FQH wave function for the pattern of zeros. In this way, we establish the connection between the pattern of zeros and the FQH wave function through the vertex algebra.

In order for the correlation of electron operators in the vertex algebra to produce a single-valued electron wave function with respect to electron variables $\{z_1, \dots, z_N\}$, electron operators need to satisfy a so-called “simple-current” property (see eqn. (34) and eqn. (60)). Also the vertex algebra need to satisfy the generalized Jacobi identity (GJI) which guarantees the associativity of the corresponding vertex algebra.²⁵ We find that only a certain set of patterns of zeros can give rise to simple-current vertex algebras that satisfy the GJI. So the GJIs in simple-current vertex algebras give us a set of sufficient conditions on a pattern of zeros so that this pattern of zeros does correspond to an existing symmetric polynomial.

In this paper, we first try to use the pattern of zeros $\{n; m; S_a\}$ to define a Z_n vertex algebra. From some of the GJI of the Z_n vertex algebra, we obtain more necessary conditions on the pattern of zeros $\{n; m; S_a\}$ than those obtained in Ref. 11,12 (see section III). It is not clear if those conditions are actually sufficient or not.

Then, we try to use the pattern of zeros $\{n; m; S_a\}$ to define a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra. From the complete GJI of the Z_n simple-current vertex algebra, we obtain sufficient conditions on the pattern of zeros $\{n; m; S_a\}$ (see section V).

B. How to expand the pattern-of-zeros data to completely characterize the topological order

If a pattern of zeros $\{n; m; S_a\}$ can uniquely describe the topological order in a quantum Hall ground state, then from such a quantitative description, we should be able to calculate the topological properties from the data $\{n; m; S_a\}$. Indeed, this can be done. First different types of quasiparticles can also be quantitatively described and labeled by a set of sequences $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$ that can be determined from the pattern-of-zeros data $\{n; m; S_a\}$.¹² Those quantitative characterizations of the quantum Hall ground state and quasiparticles allow us to calculate the number of different quasiparticle types, quasiparticle charges, fusion algebra between the quasiparticles, and topological ground state degeneracy on a Riemann surface of any genus.^{12,13}

However, from the pattern-of-zeros data, $\{n; m; S_a\}$ and $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$, we still do not know how to calculate the quasiparticle statistics and scaling dimensions, as well as

the central charge c of the edge states. This difficulty is related to the fact that some patterns of zeros do not uniquely characterize a FQH state. Thus one cannot expect to calculate the topological properties of FQH state from the pattern-of-zeros data alone in those cases.

In this paper, we will try to solve this problem. We first introduce a more complete characterization for FQH states in terms of an expanded data set: $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$. Then, we use the data set $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ to define a so called Z_n simple-current vertex algebra. The Z_n simple-current vertex algebra contains a subalgebra, Virasoro algebra, generated by the energy-momentum tensor T and c is the central charge of the Virasoro algebra. It contains only n primary fields ψ_a , $a = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ of the Virasoro algebra, with a Z_n fusion rule $\psi_a \psi_b \sim \psi_{(a+b) \bmod n}$, $\psi_n = \psi_0$. Those ψ_a are called simple currents. The extra data c is the one of the structure constants of the Z_n simple-current vertex algebra. One may want to include all the structure constants $\{C_{ab}\}$ in the data set to have a complete characterization. But for the examples discussed in this paper, we find that data set $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ already provides a complete characterization. So in this paper, we will use $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ to characterize FQH states. If later we find that $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ is not sufficient, we can always add additional data, such as C_{ab} . Every Z_n simple-current vertex algebra uniquely defines a FQH state, and the data $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ that defines a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra also completely characterizes a FQH state.

We would like to remark that although the data $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ and the corresponding Z_n simple-current vertex algebras describe a large class of FQH states, they do not describe all FQH states. For example let $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_i}$ be the FQH wave function described by a Z_{n_i} simple-current vertex algebra $\mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then, in general, the FQH state described by the product wave function $\Phi = \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_2}$ cannot be described by a simple-current vertex algebra. Such a product state is described by the product vertex algebra $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$, which is in general no longer a simple-current vertex algebra. So a more general FQH state should have a form

$$\Phi = \prod_i \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_i}. \quad (1)$$

The study in Ref. 11,12,13 reveal that many FQH states described by pattern of zeros have the following form

$$\Psi(\{z_i\}) = \prod_a \Phi_{Z_{n_a}^{(k_a)}}(\{z_i\}) \quad (2)$$

where $\Phi_{Z_{n_a}^{(k_a)}}(\{z_i\})$ is the wave function described by $Z_{n_a}^{(k_a)}$ parafermion vertex algebra.¹³ The Z_n simple-current vertex algebra mentioned above is a natural generalization of the $Z_n^{(k_a)}$ parafermion vertex algebra, and eqn. (1) naturally generalizes eqn. (2). (Note that there are many Z_n simple-current vertex algebras even for a fixed n , so there are many different Z_n simple-current states.)

For the subclass of FQH states described by Z_n simple-current vertex algebra (which includes Virasoro algebra as an essential part), the quasiparticle statistics and scaling dimensions, as well as the central charge c of the edge states can be calculated from the data $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$. Certainly, we can also calculate the number of different quasiparticle types, quasiparticle charges, fusion algebra between the quasiparticles, and topological ground state degeneracy on a Riemann surface of any genus.

Obviously, not every collection $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ corresponds to a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra and a FQH state. GJIs of the Z_n simple-current vertex algebra generate the consistent conditions on the data set $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$. Only those data sets $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ that satisfy the GJIs can describe a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra and FQH states.

For some patterns of zeros $\{n; m; S_a\}$, we find that they uniquely define the vertex algebras by completely determining the structure constants c and C_{ab} . So those patterns of zeros completely specify the corresponding FQH wave functions. While for other patterns of zeros, we find that they cannot uniquely define the vertex algebras. For those patterns of zeros, many different sets of structure constants can satisfy the GJIs for the same set of pattern of zeros. This corresponds to the situation where there are many different FQH wave functions that share the same pattern of zeros. In this case, the pattern of zeros does not completely characterize FQH wave functions. We need additional data to completely characterize quantum Hall wave functions. Here we choose to add the structure constant c of the Virasoro algebra (which is the central charge) and use $\{n; m; S_a; c | a = 1, \dots, n; n, m, S_a \in \mathbb{N}; c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ to characterize FQH states. For all the examples that we considered in this paper, the data $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ completely determine the simple-current vertex algebra.

C. The organization of the paper

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we review and extend the pattern-of-zeros approach to quantum Hall states. In section III we use the pattern of zeros to define Z_n vertex algebra, and then use associativity conditions (*ie* the GJIs) of the vertex algebra to obtain extra conditions on the pattern of zeros that describe generic FQH states. In section IV we list some numerical solutions of the pattern of zeros for the generic FQH states that also satisfy those extra consistent conditions found in section III. In section V we define and construct the Z_n simple-current vertex algebra from the pattern of zeros. We list the consistent conditions obtained from GJIs of Z_n simple-current vertex algebra. The detailed derivations of those consistent conditions are discussed in appendix D, E and F. The consistent conditions on the patterns of zeros that describe a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra are more restrictive than those for a generic Z_n vertex algebra. Some of the solutions of the Z_n simple-

current pattern of zeros are listed in section VII. In section VI, we discuss how to represent quasiparticles in the Z_n simple-current vertex algebra, and to calculate the topological properties of quasiparticles from the Z_n simple-current pattern of zeros. In section VII, we apply the vertex-algebra approach developed here to study some simple (but non-trivial) examples of FQH states, which include Z_n parafermion states (the Read-Rezayi states¹⁷), the Z_n simple-current FQH states of $Z_n|Z_n$ type, a Z_4 simple-current FQH state of $Z_4|Z_2$ type, etc.

II. PATTERN-OF-ZEROS APPROACH TO GENERIC FQH STATES

In this section, we will review how to use the pattern of zeros to characterize and classify different FQH states that have one component.^{11,12,13} A discussion on two-component FQH states can be found in Ref. 26.

A. FQH wave functions and symmetric polynomials

Generally speaking, to classify generic complex wave functions $\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)$ is not even a well-defined problem. Fortunately, under a strong magnetic field, electrons are spin-polarized in the lowest Landau level (LLL) when the electron filling fraction ν_e is less than 1. The wave function of a single electron in LLL (we set magnetic length $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB}$ to be unity hereafter) is $\Psi_m(z) = z^m e^{-|z|^2/4}$ in a planar geometry. m is the angular momentum of this single particle state. Thus the many-body wave function of *spin-polarized* electrons in the LLL should be

$$\Psi_e(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \tilde{\Phi}_e(z_1, \dots, z_N) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{|z_i|^2}{4}} \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_e(\{z_i\})$ is an anti-symmetric holomorphic polynomial of electron coordinates $\{z_i = x_i + iy_i\}$. The electron filling fraction ν_e is defined as:

$$\nu_e = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N}{N_\phi} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^2}{2N_p} \quad (4)$$

where N_ϕ is the total number of flux quanta piercing through the sample, and N_p is the total degree of polynomial $\tilde{\Phi}_e(\{z_i\})$. For FQH states $\nu_e < 1$, we can extract a Jastrow factor $\prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)$ and the remaining part

$$\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_e(z_1, \dots, z_N)}{\prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)} \quad (5)$$

would be a symmetric polynomial of $\{z_i\}$. We will concentrate on this symmetric polynomial to characterize and classify FQH states.

For the symmetric polynomial $\Phi(\{z_i\})$ we can also define a filling fraction ν in the same way as in eqn. (4),

only N_p replaced by the total degree of bosonic polynomial $\Phi(\{z_i\})$. The electron filling fraction ν_e has the following relation with this *bosonic filling fraction* ν :

$$\nu_e = \frac{1}{1 + \nu^{-1}} < 1 \quad (6)$$

B. Fusion of a variables: the Pattern of Zeros

The pattern of zeros^{11,12} is introduced to describe symmetric polynomials $\Phi(\{z_i\})$ through certain local properties, i.e. fusion of a different variables $z_1, \dots, z_a$. More specifically, we bring these a variables together, viewing $z_{a+1}, \dots, z_N$ as fixed coordinates. By writing the a variables in the following manner $z_i = \lambda \xi_i + z^{(a)}$, $i = 1, \dots, a$, where $z^{(a)} = \frac{z_1 + \dots + z_a}{a}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^a \xi_i = 0$, we can bring these a variables together by letting λ tend to zero. Then we can expand the polynomial $\Phi(\{z_i\})$ in powers of λ :

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi(\lambda \xi_1 + z^{(a)}, \dots, \lambda \xi_a + z^{(a)}; z_{a+1}, \dots, z_N) \quad (7)$$

$$= \lambda^{S_a} P_{S_a}[z^{(a)}, (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_a); z_{a+1}, \dots, z_N] + O(\lambda^{S_a+1})$$

In other words, $\{S_a\}$ is the lowest order of zeros when we fuse a variables together. The pattern of zeros, by definition, is this sequence of integers $\{S_a\}$. In this paper, we will only consider the polynomials that satisfy a unique fusion condition: the fusion of a variables is unique, i.e. P_{S_a} in eqn. (7) has the same form except for an overall factor no matter how $\{\xi_i\}$ are chosen.

There are other equivalent descriptions of the pattern of zeros. One of them is the orbital description:

$$l_a = S_a - S_{a-1} \quad a = 1, 2, \dots \quad (8)$$

where $\{l_a\}$ labels the orbital angular momentum of the single-particle state occupied by the a -th particle. Another is the occupation description in terms of a sequence of integers $\{n_l\}$ ^{27,28,29,30}, denoting the number of particles occupying the orbital with angular momentum l .

C. Consistent conditions for the Pattern of Zeros

In this section, we will review and summarize the consistent conditions on $\{S_a\}$ derived in Ref. 11,12.

1. Translational invariance

A translational invariant wave function $\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \Phi(z_1 - z, \dots, z_N - z)$ satisfies $\Phi(0, z_2, \dots, z_N) \neq 0$. As a result we have $S_1 = 0$.

2. Symmetry condition

After we fuse a variables together to form an a -particle cluster (a -cluster), it is natural to ask: what happens

when we fuse an a -cluster and another b -cluster together? Let $D_{a,b}$ be the order of zeros obtained by fusing an a -cluster and another b -cluster together. It satisfies $D_{a,b} = D_{b,a} \geq 0$. Since the final state is the same as fusing $a + b$ variables together, we find an one-to-one relation between the two sets of data $D_{a,b}$ and S_a ¹¹

$$D_{a,b} = S_{a+b} - S_a - S_b$$

$$S_a = \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} D_{i,1} \quad (9)$$

Since $\Phi(\{z_i\})$ is a symmetric polynomial, it describes a state of bosonic particles seated at coordinates $\{z_i\}$. Thus the a -cluster seated at $z_i^{(a)}$ can also be regarded as a bosonic particle. The derived polynomial (see P_{S_a} in eqn. (7) as an example) should be symmetric with respect to interchange of two identical bosons seated at $z_1^{(a)}$ and $z_2^{(a)}$. When we fuse such two identical bosonic clusters $z_1^{(a)}$ and $z_2^{(a)}$ together, we have

$$\lim_{z_1^{(a)} \rightarrow z_2^{(b)}} P(z_1^{(a)}, z_2^{(a)}, \dots)$$

$$= (z_1^{(a)} - z_2^{(a)})^{D_{a,a}} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{z_1^{(a)} + z_2^{(a)}}{2}, \dots\right)$$

$$+ O((z_1^{(a)} - z_2^{(a)})^{D_{a,a}+1}) \quad (10)$$

This leads to the symmetry condition

$$D_{a,a} = \text{even} \Leftrightarrow S_{2a} = \text{even}. \quad (11)$$

3. Concave conditions

The 1st concave condition is the non-negativity of $D_{a,b}$

$$D_{a,b} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow S_{a+b} \geq S_a + S_b \quad (12)$$

It comes naturally from the fusion of two clusters.

When we fuse three clusters together, we find the total order of “off-particle” zeros to be

$$\Delta_3(a, b, c) = D_{a,b+c} - D_{a,b} - D_{a,c} \geq 0 \quad (13)$$

This gives the 2nd concave condition:

$$\Delta_3(a, b, c) =$$

$$S_{a+b+c} + S_a + S_b + S_c - S_{a+b} - S_{a+c} - S_{b+c} \geq 0 \quad (14)$$

4. n -cluster condition

The above conditions eqn. (11), eqn. (12), and eqn. (14) have many solutions $\{S_a\}$. Many of those solutions has a “periodic” structure that the whole sequence $\{S_a\}$ can be determined from first a few terms:

$$S_{a+kn} = S_a + kS_n + mn \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + kma, \quad (15)$$

where

$$m \equiv D_{n,1}. \quad (16)$$

We will call such a pattern of zeros the one that satisfies an n -cluster condition. We see that, for an n -cluster sequence, only the first n terms, $S_2, \dots, S_{n+1}$, are independent, and the whole sequence is determined by the first n terms.

To understand the physical meaning of the n -cluster condition, we note that eqn. (15) is equivalent to the following condition

$$\Delta_3(kn, b, c) = 0 \quad \text{for any } k. \quad (17)$$

This means that a symmetric polynomial that satisfies the n -cluster condition has the following defining property: as a function of the n -cluster coordinate $z^{(n)}$, the derived polynomial $P(z^{(n)}, z_1^{(a)}, \dots)$ has no off-particle zeros.

Under the n -cluster condition, we see that

$$D_{n,n} = nm = \text{even} \quad (18)$$

We also note that the filling fraction is given by

$$\nu = \frac{n}{m} \quad (19)$$

since $S_a \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{n} a^2$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

We like to mention that the cluster condition plays a very important role in the Jack polynomial approach to FQH.^{29,30,31} However, in the pattern of zeros approach, the n -cluster condition only play a role of grouping and tabulating solutions of the consistent conditions. The solutions with larger n correspond to more complex wave functions which usually correspond to less stable FQH states. Later, we will discuss the relation between the pattern of zeros and CFT. We find that the solutions that do not satisfy the n -cluster condition (*ie* with $n = \infty$) correspond to irrational CFT, which may always correspond to gapless FQH states. The Jack polynomial approach and the pattern-of-zeros approach have some close relations. The Jack polynomials are special cases of the polynomials characterized by pattern of zeros.

5. Summary

To summarize, we see that the pattern of zeros for an n -cluster polynomial is described by a set of positive integers $\{n; m; S_2, \dots, S_n\}$. Introducing $S_1 = 0$ and

$$S_{a+kn} = S_a + kS_n + mn \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + kma \quad (20)$$

which define $S_{n+1}, S_{n+2}, \dots$, we find that the data $\{n; m; S_2, \dots, S_n\}$ must satisfy

$$D_{a,b} = S_{a+b} - S_a - S_b \geq 0$$

$$D_{a,a} = \text{even} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_3(a, b, c) & \quad (22) \\ = S_{a+b+c} + S_a + S_b + S_c - S_{a+b} - S_{a+c} - S_{b+c} & \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

The conditions (21) and (22) are necessary conditions for a pattern of zeros to represent a symmetric polynomial. Although eqn. (21) and eqn. (22) are very simple, they are quite restrictive and are quite close to be sufficient conditions. In fact if we add an additional condition

$$\Delta_3(a, b, c) = \text{even} \quad (23)$$

the three conditions (21), (22), and (23) may even become sufficient conditions for a pattern of zeros to represent a symmetric polynomial.^{11,12} However, this condition is too strong to include many valid symmetric polynomials such as Gaffnian,³² a nontrivial Z_4 state discussed in detail in section VII. We will obtain some additional conditions in section III C, which combined with (21) and (22) form a set of necessary and (potentially) sufficient conditions for a valid pattern of zeros.

D. Label the pattern of zeros by h_a^{sc}

In this section, we will introduce a new labeling scheme of the pattern of zeros. We can label the pattern of zeros in terms of

$$h_a^{\text{sc}} = S_a - \frac{aS_n}{n} + \frac{am}{2} - \frac{a^2m}{2n}. \quad (24)$$

This labeling scheme is intimately connected to the vertex algebra approach that we will discuss later.

The n -cluster condition (20) of S_a implies that h_a^{sc} is periodic

$$h_0^{\text{sc}} = 0, \quad h_a^{\text{sc}} = h_{a+n}^{\text{sc}} \quad (25)$$

The two sets of data $\{n; m; S_2, \dots, S_n\}$ and $\{n; m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\}$ has a one-to-one correspondence, since

$$S_a = h_a^{\text{sc}} - ah_1^{\text{sc}} + \frac{a(a-1)m}{2n}. \quad (26)$$

We can translate the conditions on $\{m; S_a\}$ to the equivalent conditions on $\{m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2nS_a &= 2nh_a^{\text{sc}} - 2nah_1^{\text{sc}} + a(a-1)m = 0 \pmod{2n} \\ nS_{2a} &= nh_{2a}^{\text{sc}} - 2nah_1^{\text{sc}} + a(2a-1)m = 0 \pmod{2n} \\ m &> 0, \quad mn = \text{even} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$nS_{2n} = 0 \pmod{2n}$ in eqn. (27) leads to $2nh_1^{\text{sc}} + m = 0 \pmod{2}$, from which we see that $2nh_1^{\text{sc}}$ is an integer. From $2nh_a^{\text{sc}} - a(2nh_1^{\text{sc}}) + a(a-1)m = \text{even integer}$, we see that $2nh_a^{\text{sc}}$ are always integers. Also $2nh_{2a}^{\text{sc}}$ are always even integers, and $2nh_{2a+1}^{\text{sc}}$ are either all even or all odd. Since $h_n^{\text{sc}} = 0$, thus when $n = \text{odd}$, $2nh_a^{\text{sc}}$ are all even. Only when $n = \text{even}$, can $2nh_{2a+1}^{\text{sc}}$ either be all even or

all odd. When $m = \text{even}$, $2nh_{2a+1}^{\text{sc}}$ are all even. When $m = \text{odd}$, $2nh_{2a+1}^{\text{sc}}$ are all odd.

The two concave conditions become

$$h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} - h_a^{\text{sc}} - h_b^{\text{sc}} + \frac{abm}{n} = D_{ab} = \text{integer} \geq 0 \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{a+b+c}^{\text{sc}} - h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} - h_{b+c}^{\text{sc}} - h_{a+c}^{\text{sc}} + h_a^{\text{sc}} + h_b^{\text{sc}} + h_c^{\text{sc}} \\ = \Delta_3(a, b, c) = \text{integer} \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The valid data $\{n; m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\}$ can be obtained by solving eqn. (25), eqn. (27), eqn. (28), and eqn. (29).

Choosing $1 \leq a, b < a+b \leq n$ in eqn. (29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \Delta_3(a, b, n-a-b) \\ &= (h_{n-a-b}^{\text{sc}} - h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}}) - (h_{n-a}^{\text{sc}} - h_a^{\text{sc}}) - (h_{n-b}^{\text{sc}} - h_b^{\text{sc}}) \\ &= -\Delta_3(n-a, n-b, a+b) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies the following *reflection condition* on $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$:

$$h_a^{\text{sc}} - h_{n-a}^{\text{sc}} = a(h_1^{\text{sc}} - h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}) = 0 \quad (30)$$

From (30) we see that partially solving conditions (29) reduces the number of independent variables characterizing a pattern of zeros from $n-1$ in $\{S_2, \dots, S_n\}$ to $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ in $\{h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{\text{sc}}\}$. However, being a sequence of fractions rather than integers, $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ labeling scheme imposes some difficulty in numerically solving conditions (25), (27), (28), and (29). In Appendix (A 1) and (A 2) we will further use consistent conditions (29) to introduce two schemes labeling the pattern of zeros with a sequence of non-negative integers or half-integers. They turn out to be quite efficient for numerical studies, since consistent conditions (25), (27), (28), and (29) can be reduced to a much smaller set after introducing a new labeling scheme $\{M_k; p; m\}$ as in Appendix A 2. In particular, this $\{M_k; p; m\}$ labeling scheme is the same one as adopted in the literature of parafermion vertex algebra.³³

III. CONSTRUCTING FQH WAVE FUNCTIONS FROM Z_n VERTEX ALGEBRAS

If we use $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ to characterize n -cluster symmetric polynomial $\Phi(\{z_i\})$, the conditions (27), (28), and (29) are required by the single-valueness of the symmetric polynomial. Or more precisely, eqns. (27), (28), and (29) come from a simple requirement that the zeros in $\Phi(\{z_i\})$ all have integer orders. However, the conditions (27), (28), and (29) are incomplete in the sense that some patterns of zeros $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ can satisfy those conditions but still do not correspond to any valid polynomial.

A. FQH wave function as a correlation function in Z_n vertex algebra

To find more consistent conditions, in the rest of this paper, we will introduce a new requirement for the sym-

metric polynomial. We require that the symmetric polynomial can be expressed as a correlation function in a vertex algebra. More specifically, we have^{20,21,22}

$$\Phi(\{z_i\}) = \lim_{z_\infty \rightarrow \infty} z_\infty^{2h_N} \langle V(z_\infty) \prod_{i=1}^N V_e(z_i) \rangle \quad (31)$$

where $V_e(z)$ is an electron operator and $V(\infty)$ represents a positive background to guarantee the charge neutral condition. This new requirement, or more precisely, the associativity of the vertex algebra, leads to new conditions on h_a^{sc} .

The electron operator has the following form

$$V_e(z) = \psi(z) : e^{i\phi(z)/\sqrt{\nu}} : \quad (32)$$

where $: e^{i\phi(z)/\sqrt{\nu}} :$ ($::$ stands for normal ordering, which is implicitly understood hereafter) is a vertex operator in a Gaussian model. It has a scaling dimension of $\frac{1}{2\nu}$ and the following operator product expansion (OPE)³⁴

$$e^{ia\phi(z)} e^{ib\phi(w)} = (z-w)^{ab} e^{i(a+b)\phi(w)} + O((z-w)^{ab+1}) \quad (33)$$

The operator ψ is a primary field of Virasoro algebra obeys an quasi-Abelian fusion rule

$$\psi_a \psi_b \sim \psi_{a+b} + \dots, \quad \psi_a \equiv (\psi)^a. \quad (34)$$

where $\dots$ represent other primary fields of Virasoro algebra whose scaling dimensions are higher than that of ψ_{a+b} by some integer values. We believe that the integral difference of the scaling dimensions is necessary to produce a single-valued correlation function (see eqn. (31)).

Let $\tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}$ be the scaling dimension of the simple current ψ_a . Therefore the a -cluster operator

$$V_a \equiv (V_e)^a = \psi_a(z) e^{ia\phi(z)/\sqrt{\nu}} \quad (35)$$

has a scaling dimension of

$$h_a = \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}} + \frac{a^2}{2\nu} \quad (36)$$

The vertex algebra is defined through the following OPE of the a -cluster operators

$$V_a(z) V_b(w) = C_{a,b}^V \frac{V_{a,b}(w)}{(z-w)^{h_a+h_b-h_{a+b}}} + O((z-w)^{h_{a+b}-h_a-h_b+1}). \quad (37)$$

where $C_{a,b}^V$ are the structure constants. However, the above OPE is not quite enough. To fully define the vertex algebra, we also need to define the relation between $V_a(z) V_b(w)$ and $V_b(w) V_a(z)$.

The correlation functions is calculated through the expectation value of radial-ordered operator

product.^{25,34,35} The radial-ordered operator product is defined through

$$(z-w)^{\alpha_{V_a V_b}} R[V_a(z) V_b(w)] = \begin{cases} (z-w)^{\alpha_{V_a V_b}} V_a(z) V_b(w), & |z| > |w| \\ \mu_{ab} (w-z)^{\alpha_{V_a V_b}} V_b(w) V_a(z), & |z| < |w| \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

where

$$\alpha_{V_a V_b} = h_a + h_b - h_{a+b}. \quad (39)$$

Note that the extra complex factor μ_{ab} is introduced in the above definition of radial order. In the case of standard conformal algebras, where $\alpha_{V_a V_b} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we choose $\mu_{ab} = -e^{i\pi\alpha_{V_a V_b}}$ if both V_a and V_b are fermionic and $\mu_{ab} = e^{i\pi\alpha_{V_a V_b}}$ if at least one of them is bosonic. But in general, the commutation factor can be different from ± 1 and can be chosen more arbitrarily.

To gain an intuitive understanding of the above definition of radial order, let us consider the Gaussian model and choose $V_a = e^{ia\phi}$ and $V_b = e^{ib\phi}$. The scaling dimension of V_a and V_b are $h_a = \frac{a^2}{2}$ and $h_b = \frac{b^2}{2}$. $\alpha_{V_a V_b} = h_a + h_b - h_{a+b}$. We see that $\alpha_{V_a V_b} \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and such a Gaussian model is an example of standard conformal algebras. If both a and b are odd, then h_a and h_b are half integers and V_a and V_b are fermionic operators. In this case $\alpha_{V_a V_b} = -ab = \text{odd}$. So under the standard choice $\mu_{ab} = -e^{i\pi\alpha_{V_a V_b}}$, we have $\mu_{ab} = 1$. If one of a and b is even, $\alpha_{V_a V_b} = -ab = \text{even}$ and one of V_a and V_b is bosonic operators. Under the standard choice $\mu_{ab} = e^{i\pi\alpha_{V_a V_b}}$, we have again $\mu_{ab} = 1$. Even when a and b are not integers, in the Gaussian model, the radial order of $V_a = e^{ia\phi}$ and $V_b = e^{ib\phi}$ is still defined with a choice $\mu_{ab} = 1$. This is a part of the definition of the Gaussian model. In this paper, we will choose a more general definition of radial order where μ_{ab} are assumed to be generic complex phases $|\mu_{ab}| = 1$.

The vertex algebra generated by ψ have a form

$$\psi_a(z) \psi_b(w) = C_{a,b} \frac{\psi_{a+b}(w)}{(z-w)^{\tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}} + \tilde{h}_b^{\text{sc}} - \tilde{h}_{a+b}^{\text{sc}}}} + O((z-w)^{\tilde{h}_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} - \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}} - \tilde{h}_b^{\text{sc}} + 1}). \quad (40)$$

where

$$C_{a,b} \neq 0. \quad (41)$$

When combined with the $U(1)$ Gaussian model, the above vertex algebra can produce the wave function for a FQH state (see eqn. (31)).

We will also limit ourselves to the vertex algebra that satisfies the n -cluster condition:

$$\psi_n = 1 \quad (42)$$

where 1 stands for the identity operator defined in Appendix B. Those vertex algebras are in some sense “finite” and correspond to rational conformal field theory.

We will call such vertex algebra Z_n vertex algebra. We see that in general, a FQH state can be described by the direct product of a $U(1)$ Gaussian model and a Z_n vertex algebra. Some examples of Z_n vertex algebra are studied in Ref. 36,37.

Note that the Z_n vertex algebras are different from the Z_n simple-current vertex algebras that will be defined in section V. The Z_n simple-current vertex algebras are special cases of the Z_n vertex algebras. In this and the next sections, we will consider Z_n vertex algebras. We will further limit ourselves to Z_n simple-current vertex algebras in section V and later.

As a result

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}} &= \tilde{h}_{a+n}^{\text{sc}}, & \tilde{h}_n^{\text{sc}} &= 0 \\ \mu_{ab} &= \mu_{a+n,b} = \mu_{a,b+n}, & \mu_{n,a} &= \mu_{a,n} = 1 \\ C_{a,b} &= C_{a+n,b} = C_{a,b+n}, & C_{n,a} &= C_{a,n} = 1 \\ C_{a,b} &= \mu_{a,b} C_{b,a} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

By choosing proper normalizations for the operators ψ_a , we can have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,-a} &= \begin{cases} 1, & a \bmod n \leq n/2 \\ \mu_{a,-a}, & a \bmod n > n/2 \end{cases} \\ C_{a,b} &= 1, \quad \text{if } a \text{ or } b = 0 \bmod n \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

To summarize, we see that the Z_n vertex algebras (whose correlation functions give rise to electron wave functions) are characterized by the following set of data $\{n; m; \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}; C_{a,b}, \dots | a, b = 1, \dots, n\}$, where $m = n/\nu$. Here the $\dots$ represent other structure constants in the sub-leading terms. The commutation factors μ_{ab} are not included in the above data because they can be expressed in terms of $\tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}$ and are not independent (see eqn. (E8)). Since the Z_n vertex algebra encodes the many-body wave function of electrons, we can say that the data $\{n; m; \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}; C_{a,b}, \dots | a, b = 1, \dots, n\}$ also characterize the electron wave function. We can study all the properties of electron wave functions by studying the data $\{n; m; \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}; C_{a,b}, \dots | a, b = 1, \dots, n\}$. In the pattern-of-zero approach, we use data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ to characterize the wave functions. We will see that the $\{n; m; \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}; C_{a,b}, \dots | a, b = 1, \dots, n\}$ characterization is more complete, which allows us to obtain some new results.

B. Relation between $\tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}$ and h_a^{sc}

What is the relation between the two characterizations: $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}} | a = 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\{n; m; \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}; C_{ab} | a, b = 1, \dots, n\}$? The single-valueness of the correlation function $\Phi(\{z_i\})$ requires that the zeros in $\Phi(\{z_i\})$ all have integer orders. In this section, we derive conditions on the scaling dimension $\tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}$, just from this integral-zero condition. This allows us to find a simple relation between $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}} | a = 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\{n; m; \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}; C_{ab} | a, b = 1, \dots, n\}$.

From the definition of D_{ab} and the OPE (37), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{a,b} &\equiv S_{a+b} - S_a - S_b = h_{a+b} - h_a - h_b \\ &= \tilde{h}_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} - \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}} - \tilde{h}_b^{\text{sc}} + \frac{ab}{\nu} = D_{b,a} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

We see that $D_{1,n} = \frac{n}{\nu}$. So $\frac{n}{\nu}$ is a positive integer which is called m .

From eqn. (45), we can show that^{11,12}

$$S_a = \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} D_{i,1} = h_a - ah_1 = \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}} - a\tilde{h}_1^{\text{sc}} + \frac{a(a-1)}{2\nu} \quad (46)$$

and

$$\tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}} = S_a - \frac{aS_n}{n} + \frac{am}{2} - \frac{a^2m}{2n}. \quad (47)$$

Therefore, the h_a^{sc} introduced before is nothing but the scaling dimensions $\tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}$ of the simple currents ψ_a (see eqn. (24)). In the following, we will use h_a^{sc} to describe the scaling dimensions of ψ_a . Thus the data $\{n; m; \tilde{h}_a^{\text{sc}}; C_{a,b} | a, b = 1, \dots, n\}$ can be rewritten as $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; C_{a,b} | a, b = 1, \dots, n\}$. Those h_a^{sc} satisfy eqns. (27), (28), and (29).

As emphasized in Ref. 11,12, the conditions (27), (28), and (29), although necessary, are not sufficient. In the following, we will try to find more conditions from the vertex algebra.

C. Conditions on h_a^{sc} and $C_{a,b}$ from the associativity of vertex algebra

The multi-point correlation of a Z_n vertex algebra can be obtained by fusing operators together, thus reducing the original problem to calculating a correlation of fewer points.³³ It is the associativity of this vertex algebra that guarantees any different ways of fusing operators would yield the same correlation in the end,²⁵ so that the electron wave function would be single-valued. The associativity of a Z_n vertex algebra requires h_a^{sc} and $C_{a,b}$ to satisfy many consistent conditions. Those are the extra consistent conditions we are looking for. The consistent conditions come from two sources. The first source is the consistent conditions on the commutation factors $\mu_{a,b}$ as discussed in appendix B. When applied to our vertex algebra (40), we find that some consistent conditions on $\mu_{a,b}$ allow us to express $\mu_{a,b}$ in terms of h_a^{sc} . Then other consistent conditions on $\mu_{a,b}$ will become consistent conditions on h_a^{sc} (see appendix E1). The second source is GJI for the vertex algebra (40) as discussed in appendix E2. We like to stress that the discussions so far are very general. The consistent conditions that we have obtained for generic Z_n vertex algebra are necessary conditions for any FQH states.

A detailed derivation of those conditions on h_a^{sc} and $C_{a,b}$ is given in appendix E. Here we just summarize the

new and old conditions in a compact form. The consistent conditions can be divided in two classes. The first type of consistent conditions act only on the pattern of zeros $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ (see eqns. (27), (28), (29), (E9), (E10), (E12), (E14), and (E31)):

$$\begin{aligned} nh_{2a}^{\text{sc}} - 2nah_1^{\text{sc}} + a(2a-1)m &= 0 \pmod{2n}, \\ m > 0, \quad mn &= \text{even}, \\ h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} - h_a^{\text{sc}} - h_b^{\text{sc}} + \frac{abm}{n} &\in \mathbb{N}, \\ h_{a+b+c}^{\text{sc}} - h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} - h_{b+c}^{\text{sc}} - h_{a+c}^{\text{sc}} + h_a^{\text{sc}} + h_b^{\text{sc}} + h_c^{\text{sc}} &\in \mathbb{N}, \\ n\alpha_{1,1} &= \text{even}, \\ a^2\alpha_{1,1} - \alpha_{a,a} &= \text{even} \quad \forall a = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ \Delta_3\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}\right) &= 4h_{\frac{n}{2}}^{\text{sc}} \neq 1, \quad \text{if } n = \text{even}, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where $h_a^{\text{sc}} = h_{a+n}^{\text{sc}}$ and $\alpha_{a,b} = h_a^{\text{sc}} + h_b^{\text{sc}} - h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}}$.

The second type of consistent conditions act on the structure constants (see eqns. (E21), (E22), (E27), and (E28)): For any a, b, c

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} &= C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} = \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} \\ &\quad \text{if } \Delta_3(a, b, c) = 0, \\ C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} &= C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} + \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} \\ &\quad \text{if } \Delta_3(a, b, c) = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where $\mu_{a,b}$ is a function of the pattern of zeros $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$:

$$\mu_{ij} = (-1)^{ij\alpha_{1,1} - \alpha_{i,j}} = \pm 1.$$

For any $a \neq n/2$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,-a} &= C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} = 1 \quad \text{if } \Delta_3(a, a, -a) = 0, \\ 2C_{a,-a} &= C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} \quad \text{if } \Delta_3(a, a, -a) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Here $C_{a,b}$ satisfies the normalization condition (44). There may be additional conditions when $\Delta_3(a, b, c) \neq 0, 1$. But we do not know how to derive those conditions systematically at this time.

IV. EXAMPLES OF GENERIC FQH STATES DESCRIBED BY THE Z_n VERTEX ALGEBRA

To obtain the examples of generic FQH states, we have numerically solved the conditions (48). (We don't require eqn. (E16) to be satisfied, in order to include some valid interesting solutions, like Gaffnian which violates eqn. (E16).) In this section, we list some of those solutions in terms of $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}|_{a=1, \dots, n-1}\}$. First we note that, for two n -cluster symmetric polynomial Φ_1 and Φ_2 described by $\{n; m_1; h_{1,a}^{\text{sc}}\}$ and $\{n; m_2; h_{2,a}^{\text{sc}}\}$, the product $\Phi = \Phi_1\Phi_2$ is also an n -cluster symmetric polynomial. Φ is described by the pattern of zeros

$$\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\} = \{n; m_1 + m_2; h_{1,a}^{\text{sc}} + h_{2,a}^{\text{sc}}\}. \quad (51)$$

Most of the solutions can be decomposed according to eqn. (51). We will call the solutions that cannot be decomposed primitive solutions. We will only list those primitive solutions. We only searched solutions with a filling fraction $\nu \geq 1/4$. We can see that most solutions shown also satisfy condition (E16), which means they obey OPE (68) and correspond to special Z_n vertex algebras. However, some solutions such as a 4-cluster state called Gaffnian, explicitly violates condition (E16) and their OPE's take the more general form (E18) and (E19). They are described by generic Z_n vertex algebras.

A. $n = 1$ case

There is only one $n = 1$ primitive solution:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 1 : \quad c &= 0 \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{2; \} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{0; \} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{1 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

It is $\nu = 1/2$ Laughlin state. Note that $h_a^{\text{sc}} = 0$, indicating that the simple-current part of vertex algebra is trivial and has a zero central charge $c = 0$. The vertex algebra contains only the $U(1)$ Gaussian part.

B. $n = 2$ case

We note that the $n = 1$ primitive solution also appears as a $n = 2$ primitive solution. We find only one new $n = 2$ primitive solution:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 2 : \quad c &= 1/2 \quad (Z_2 \text{ parafermion state}) \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{2; \frac{1}{2}\} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{1; 0\} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{2 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

It is the $\nu = 1$ Pfaffian state Φ_{Z_2} . The simple current part of the vertex algebra is a Z_2 parafermion CFT. If we only use the conditions (27), (28), and (29) obtained in Ref. 11,12, then

$$\begin{aligned} n = 2 : \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{2; \frac{1}{4}\} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{\frac{1}{2}; 0\} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{1 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

will be a solution. Such a solution does not correspond to any symmetric polynomial, indicating that the conditions (27), (28), and (29) are incomplete. An extra condition (E12) from commutation factors remove such an incorrect solution.

C. $n = 3$ case

Apart from the $n = 1$ primitive solution, we find only one new $n = 3$ primitive solution

$$\begin{aligned}
n = 3 : \quad c = 4/5 \quad (Z_3 \text{ parafermion state}) \\
\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2; \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3}\} \\
\{p; M_1 \dots M_{n-1}\} = \{2; 0 \ 0\} \\
\{n_0 \dots n_{m-1}\} = \{3 \ 0\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

It is the Z_3 parafermion state Φ_{Z_3} .

D. $n = 4$ case

Apart from the $n = 1$ primitive solutions, we find only two new $n = 4$ primitive solutions using conditions (27), (28), (29), (E10), (E12), and (E14):

$$\begin{aligned}
n = 4 : \quad c = 1 \quad (Z_4 \text{ parafermion state}) \\
\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2; \frac{3}{4} \ 1 \ \frac{3}{4}\} \\
\{p; M_1 \dots M_{n-1}\} = \{2; 0 \ 0 \ 0\} \\
\{n_0 \dots n_{m-1}\} = \{4 \ 0\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

which is the Z_4 parafermion state Φ_{Z_4} , and

$$\begin{aligned}
n = 4 : \\
\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2; \frac{1}{4} \ 0 \ \frac{1}{4}\} \\
\{p; M_1 \dots M_{n-1}\} = \{1; \frac{1}{2} \ 1 \ \frac{1}{2}\} \\
\{n_0 \dots n_{m-1}\} = \{1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

We like to point out that a non primitive solution $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = 2 \times \{2; \frac{1}{4}, 0, \frac{1}{4}\} = \{4; \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ is the Z_2 parafermion state (the Pfaffian state). Consistent conditions from a study of useful GJI's show that it has central charge $c = 1/2$ (the same as Z_2 Pfaffian state) and $\mu_{2,a} = 1$, $\partial\psi_2 = 0$, indicating that $\psi_2 = 1$ is the identity operator here. In other words, this Z_4 simple-current vertex algebra is generated by a Z_2 simple current.

Another non primitive solution $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = 3 \times \{2; \frac{1}{4}, 0, \frac{1}{4}\} = \{6; \frac{3}{4}, 0, \frac{3}{4}\}$ is the Gaffnian state³². Gaffnian vertex algebra is a Z_4 simple-current vertex algebra with $\mu_{1,3} = \mu_{1,2} = \mu_{2,3} = -1$ and $\partial\psi_2 = 0$. In comparison with Z_4 Pfaffian, this Z_4 Gaffnian vertex algebra cannot be generated by any Z_2 simple current. This example will be analyzed in detail in section VII.

E. Including conditions (49) and (50)

In the above, we only considered the conditions (48). Those patterns of zeros that satisfy eqn. (48) may not

satisfy the conditions (49) and (50), *ie* one may not be able to find $C_{a,b}$ that satisfy eqns. (49) and (50). However, we do not know how to check the conditions (49) and (50) systematically. We have to check them on a case by case basis.

For the Z_2 and Z_3 parafermion states, we find that eqns. (49) and (50) reduce to trivial identities after using eqn. (44). So the non-trivial $C_{1,1}$ and $C_{2,2}$ for the Z_3 parafermion vertex algebra cannot be determined from eqns. (49) and (50), which means that the conditions (49) and (50) can be satisfied by any choices of $C_{a,b}$ that are consistent with eqn. (44).

For the state with pattern of zeros $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\} = \{4; 2; \frac{1}{4} \ 0 \ \frac{1}{4}\}$, we find that by choosing $(a, b, c) = (1, 2, 3)$ and $(1, 3, 3)$ in (49), we can obtain the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{1,2}C_{3,3} &= C_{2,3}C_{1,1} = -1, \\
1 &= C_{3,3}C_{1,2} - 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Clearly no $\{C_{a,b}\}$ can satisfy the above two equations. Thus the $n = 4$ pattern of zeros $\{m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2; \frac{1}{4} \ 0 \ \frac{1}{4}\}$ do not correspond to any valid symmetric polynomial. It's interesting to note that the $n = 4$ pattern of zeros $\{m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\} = 2 \times \{2; \frac{1}{4} \ 0 \ \frac{1}{4}\} = \{4; \frac{1}{2} \ 0 \ \frac{1}{2}\}$ correspond to the Z_2 parafermion state and the $n = 4$ pattern of zeros $\{m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\} = 3 \times \{2; \frac{1}{4} \ 0 \ \frac{1}{4}\} = \{6; \frac{3}{4} \ 0 \ \frac{3}{4}\}$ correspond to the Gaffnian state, both being valid symmetric polynomials.

For the state with pattern of zeros $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\} = \{4; 4; 1 \ 1 \ 1\}$, we find that by choosing $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 1)$, $(1, 1, 2)$, and $(1, 2, 0)$ in (49), we can obtain the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{1,1}C_{2,1} &= C_{1,1}C_{1,2} + C_{1,1}C_{1,2} \\
C_{1,1} &= C_{1,2} = C_{1,2} \\
C_{1,2} &= C_{1,2} = -C_{2,1}
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

which can be reduced to $-C_{1,1}^2 = 2C_{1,1}^2$. We see that the only solution is $C_{1,1} = C_{1,2} = C_{2,1} = 0$, which is not allowed by eqn. (41). Thus the $n = 4$ pattern of zeros $\{m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\} = \{4; 1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ do not correspond to any valid symmetric polynomial.

F. Summary

In Ref. 11,12, we have seen that the conditions (27), (28), and (29) are not enough since they allow the following pattern of zeros $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2; 1; \frac{1}{4}\}$. Such a pattern of zeros does not correspond to any valid polynomial. The conditions (48) obtained in this paper rule out the above invalid solution. So the conditions (48) is more complete than the conditions (27), (28), and (29). However, the conditions (48) is still incomplete, since they allow the invalid patterns of zeros such as $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\} = \{4; 2; \frac{1}{4} \ 0 \ \frac{1}{4}\}$ and $\{4; 4; 1 \ 1 \ 1\}$. Both of them can be ruled out by the conditions (49) and (50).

The conditions (48), (49), and (50) are the consistent conditions that we can find from some of GJI, based on

the most general form of OPE (40). So those conditions are necessary, but may not be sufficient.

The correspondence between the patterns of zeros $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ and FQH states is not one-to-one. There can be many polynomials that have the same pattern of zeros. This is not surprising since the pattern of zeros only fixes the highest-order zeros in electron wave functions (symmetric polynomials), while different patterns of lower-order zeros could lead to different polynomials in principle. In other words, the leading-order OPE (40) alone might not suffice to uniquely determine the correlation function of the vertex algebra. The examples studied in this section support such a belief. Explicit calculations for some examples suggest that the pattern of zeros *together with* the central charge c and simple current condition would uniquely determine the FQH state. This is a reason why we introduce Z_n simple-current vertex algebra in the next section.

V. Z_n SIMPLE-CURRENT VERTEX ALGEBRA

In the last section, we discuss “legal” patterns of zeros that satisfy the consistent conditions (48), (49), and (50) and describe existing FQH states. If we believe that a “legal” pattern of zeros $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$, or more precisely the data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c\}$, can completely describe a FQH state, then we should be able to calculate all the topological properties of the FQH states. But so far, from the pattern of zeros $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$, we can only calculate the number of different quasiparticle types, quasiparticle charges, and the fusion algebra between the quasiparticles.^{12,13} Even with the more complete data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c\}$, we still do not know, at this time, how to calculate the quasiparticle statistics and scaling dimensions.

One idea to calculate more topological properties from the data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c\}$ is to use the data to define and construct the corresponding Z_n vertex algebra, and then use the Z_n vertex algebra to calculate the quasiparticle scaling dimensions and the central charge c . However, so far we do not know how to use the data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c\}$ to completely construct a Z_n vertex algebra in a systematic manner.

Starting from this section, we will concentrate on a subset of “legal” patterns of zeros that correspond to a subset of Z_n vertex algebra. Such a subset is called Z_n simple-current vertex algebras. The FQH states described by those Z_n simple-current vertex algebras are called Z_n simple-current states. We will show that in many cases the quasiparticle scaling dimensions and the central charge c can be calculated from the data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c\}$ for those Z_n simple-current states.

A. OPE’s of Z_n simple-current vertex algebra

The Z_n simple-current vertex algebra is defined through an Abelian fusion rule with cyclic Z_n symme-

try for primary fields $\{\psi_a\}$ of Virasoro algebra^{33,38}

$$\psi_a \psi_b \sim \psi_{a+b}, \quad \psi_a \equiv (\psi)^a. \quad (60)$$

Compared to eqn. (34), here we require that ψ_a and ψ_b fuse into a single primary field of Virasoro algebra ψ_{a+b} . Such operators are called simple currents. The Z_n simple-current vertex algebra is defined by the following OPE of ψ_a ^{33,38}:

$$\psi_a(z) \psi_b(w) = C_{a,b} \frac{\psi_{a+b}(w)}{(z-w)^{\alpha_{a,b}}} + O\left((z-w)^{1-\alpha_{a,b}}\right) \quad (61)$$

$$\psi_a(z) \psi_{-a}(w) = \frac{1 + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}}{c}(z-w)^2 T(w)}{(z-w)^{2h_a^{\text{sc}}}} + O\left((z-w)^{3-2h_a^{\text{sc}}}\right) \quad (62)$$

where we define

$$\alpha_{a,b} \equiv h_a^{\text{sc}} + h_b^{\text{sc}} - h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} \quad (63)$$

$\psi_{-a} \equiv \psi_{n-a}$ and $\psi_a = \psi_{n+a}$ is understood due to the Z_n symmetry. In the context the subscript a of Z_n simple currents is always defined as $a \bmod n$.

We like to point out here that the form of the OPE (62) is a special property of the Z_n simple-current vertex algebra. For a more general Z_n vertex algebra that describes a generic FQH state, the correspond OPE has a more general form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_a(z) \psi_{-a}(w) = & \frac{1 + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}}{c}(z-w)^2 T(w)}{(z-w)^{2h_a^{\text{sc}}}} \\ & + \frac{T'_a}{(z-w)^{2h_a^{\text{sc}}-2}} + O\left((z-w)^{3-2h_a^{\text{sc}}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

where T'_a are dimension-2 primary fields of Virasoro algebra ($\{T'_a, a = 1, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]\}$ may not be linearly independent though). Also, for the Z_n simple-current vertex algebra, the subleading terms in (61) are also determined. For more details, see appendix F.

$\{C_{a,b}\}$ are the structure constants of this vertex algebra. We also have conformal symmetry

$$T(z) \psi_a(w) = \frac{h_a^{\text{sc}}}{(z-w)^2} \psi_a(w) + \frac{1}{z-w} \partial \psi_a(w) + O(1) \quad (65)$$

and Virasoro algebra

$$T(z) T(w) = \frac{c/2}{(z-w)^4} + \frac{2T(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial T(w)}{z-w} + O(1) \quad (66)$$

where $T(z)$ represents the energy-momentum tensor, which has a scaling dimension of 2. c stands for the central charge as usual, which is also a structure constant.

Using the notation of generalized vertex algebra²⁵ (see Appendix B), we have

$$[\psi_i \psi_j]_{\alpha_{i,j}} = C_{i,j} \psi_{i+j}, \quad i+j \neq 0 \bmod n \quad (67)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_i \psi_{-i}]_{\alpha_{i,-i}} &= 1, \quad [\psi_i \psi_{-i}]_{\alpha_{i,-i-1}} = 0, \\ [\psi_i \psi_{-i}]_{\alpha_{i,-i-2}} &= \frac{2h_i^{\text{sc}}}{c} T \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [T \psi_i]_2 &= h_i^{\text{sc}} \psi_i = [\psi_i T]_2, \quad [T \psi_i]_1 = \partial \psi_i \\ [\psi_i T]_1 &= (h_i^{\text{sc}} - 1) \partial \psi_i \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

$$[TT]_4 = \frac{c}{2}, \quad [TT]_3 = 0, \quad [TT]_2 = 2T, \quad [TT]_1 = \partial T \quad (70)$$

with $\alpha_{T,\psi_i} = 2$, $\alpha_{T,T} = 4$. We call it a *special Z_n simple-current vertex algebra* if it satisfies OPE's (67)-(70). For example, the Z_n parafermion states¹⁷ correspond to a series of special Z_n simple-current vertex algebras.

The commutation factor μ_{AB} equals unity if either A or B is the energy-momentum tensor T : $\mu_{T,\psi_i} = \mu_{\psi_i,T} = \mu_{T,T} = 1$. Similarly we have $\mu_{A,1} = \mu_{1,A} = 1$ for the identity operator 1 and any operator A . However, $\mu_{i,j} \equiv \mu_{\psi_i,\psi_j}$ given in eqn. (E8) can be ± 1 in general. In deriving OPE (68) we have assumed that $\mu_{i,-i} = 1$, $\forall i$, which is not necessary. For example, the Z_4 Gaffnian does not satisfy $\mu_{i,-i} = 1$, $\forall i$. So, we will adopt the more general OPE (E18) and (E19) instead of eqn. (68) to include examples like Gaffnian which do give a FQH wave function. OPE (68) is for a special Z_n simple-current vertex algebra that satisfies $\mu_{i,-i} = 1$, $\forall i$. For a more general Z_n simple-current vertex algebra, they become

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_i \psi_{-i}]_{\alpha_{i,-i}} &= C_{i,-i}, \quad [\psi_i \psi_{-i}]_{\alpha_{i,-i-1}} = 0, \\ [\psi_i \psi_{-i}]_{\alpha_{i,-i-2}} &= \frac{2C_{i,-i} h_i^{\text{sc}}}{c} T \\ C_{i,-i} &= \begin{cases} 1, & i \leq n/2 \bmod n \\ \mu_{i,-i}, & i > n/2 \bmod n \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

so that we always have $C_{a,b} = \mu_{a,b} C_{b,a}$ for any subscripts a and b in such an associative vertex algebra.

The OPE's (67), (71), (69), (70), (116) and (117) define the *generalized Z_n simple-current vertex algebra*, or simply Z_n simple-current vertex algebra. The Gaffnian state corresponds to a generalized Z_4 simple-current vertex algebra with $\mu_{a,-a} \neq 1$. When $\mu_{a,-a} = 1$, we have a special Z_n simple-current vertex algebra.

What kind of pattern of zeros $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$, or more precisely what kind of data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c, C_{ab}\}$, can produce a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra? Since the Z_n simple-current vertex algebras are special cases of Z_n vertex algebras, the data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c, C_{ab}\}$ must satisfy the conditions (48), (49), and (50). However, the data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c, C_{ab}\}$ for Z_n simple-current vertex algebras should satisfy more conditions. Those conditions can be

obtained from the GJI of Z_n simple-current vertex algebras. In Appendix E2, we derived all those extra consistent conditions for a generic Z_n vertex algebra, from the useful GJI's based on OPE (40). Now based on OPE's summarized in this section, we can similarly derive a set of extra consistent conditions for a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra. These conditions are summarized in section VB. For those valid data that satisfy all the consistent conditions, the full properties of simple-current vertex algebra can be obtained. This in turn allows us to calculate the physical topological properties of the FQH states associated with those valid patterns of zeros.

We like to point out that many examples of Z_n simple-current vertex algebra have been studied in detail. They include the simplest Z_n simple-current vertex algebra – the Z_n parafermion algebra.^{33,38,39} More general examples that have been studied are the higher generations of Z_n parafermion algebra^{40,41,42,43,44} and graded parafermion algebra.^{45,46,47} In those examples, the Z_n simple-current algebras are studied by embedding the algebras into some known CFT, such as coset models of Kac-Moody current algebras and/or Coulomb gas models. However, in this paper, we will not assume such kind of embedding. We will try to calculate the properties of Z_n simple-current vertex algebra directly from the data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}, c, \dots\}$ without assuming any embedding.

B. Consistent conditions from useful GJI's

In Appendix E2a, we show how to obtain the consistent conditions on the data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c, C_{ab}\}$ characterizing a generic Z_n vertex algebra from a set of useful GJI's as described in Appendix D, requiring that OPE (E1) is obeyed. Here for a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra, requiring that OPE's (67), (71), (69) and (70) (116) and (117) are obeyed, we can derive a larger set of consistent conditions on the data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c, C_{ab}\}$. For the examples studied in this paper, we find that C_{ab} can be uniquely determined from $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c\}$ using those consistent conditions. Thus, for those states, C_{ab} are not independent and can be dropped.

Since $\Delta_3(A, B, C) = \Delta_3(A, C, B) = \Delta_3(C, A, B)$ for an associative vertex algebra, we can combine the consistent conditions obtained from GJI's of all possible 6 permutations of 3 operators (A, B, C) together. In this section we summarize the consistent conditions obtained from useful GJI's (just like in Appendix E2a) and list them in a compact manner. These extra consistent conditions, together with conditions (48) should form a complete set of consistent conditions, which allows us to obtain a valid pattern of zeros and construct the associated simple-current vertex algebra and FQH wave function.

$$1. \quad \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_c\}, \quad a + b, b + c, a + c \neq 0 \bmod n$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 0$, we have the following consistent conditions:

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} = C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} = \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} \quad (72)$$

Notice that the consistent conditions obtained from useful GJI's of (ψ_a, ψ_b, ψ_c) and of (ψ_b, ψ_a, ψ_c) only differ by a factor of $\mu_{a,b}$ since $C_{a,b} = \mu_{a,b}C_{b,a}$. Thus they are not independent conditions. Similarly it's easy to show that other permutations yield consistent conditions linearly dependent with the above condition, using the fact that $\mu_{a,b}\mu_{a,c} = \mu_{a,b+c}$ here since $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 0$.

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 1$, we have the following consistent conditions:

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} = C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} + \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} \quad (73)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) \geq 2$ there are no extra consistent conditions.

$$2. \quad \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_{-b}\}, \quad a \pm b \neq 0 \bmod n$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) = 0$ we have the following independent consistent conditions

$$\begin{aligned} h_a^{\text{sc}} h_b^{\text{sc}} &= \alpha_{a,\pm b} = 0, \quad h_b^{\text{sc}} \partial \psi_a \equiv 0 \\ C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b} &= \mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b} = C_{b,-b} \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

since we know eqn. (B7) and $\mu_{a,0} = 1$.

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) = 1$ the independent consistent conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{4h_a^{\text{sc}} h_b^{\text{sc}}}{\alpha_{a,b}(1 - \alpha_{a,b})}, \\ C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b} &= (1 - \alpha_{a,b})C_{b,-b} \\ \mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b} &= -\alpha_{a,b}C_{b,-b} \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) = 2$ the independent consistent conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b} &= \left[\frac{\alpha_{a,b}(\alpha_{a,b} - 1)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}} h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c} \right] C_{b,-b} \\ C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b} &= \left[\frac{(\alpha_{a,b} - 1)(\alpha_{a,b} - 2)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}} h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c} \right] C_{b,-b} \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) = 3$ the independent consistent conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b} - \mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b} \\ = \left[\frac{(\alpha_{a,b} - 1)(\alpha_{a,b} - 2)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}} h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c} \right] C_{b,-b} \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) \geq 4$ there are no extra consistent conditions from useful GJI's.

$$3. \quad \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_a, \psi_{-a}\}, \quad a \neq n/2 \bmod n$$

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + 2h_a^{\text{sc}} = 0$ the consistent conditions are summarized as:

$$\begin{aligned} h_a^{\text{sc}} &= \alpha_{a,a} = 0, \quad \partial \psi_a \equiv 0 \\ C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} &= C_{a,-a} = C_{-a,a} = \mu_{a,-a} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + 2h_a^{\text{sc}} = 1$ the corresponding consistent conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{a,a} &= -1, \quad h_a^{\text{sc}} = 1, \quad c = -2, \quad \mu_{a,-a} = -1 \\ C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} &= 2C_{a,-a}, \quad C_{a,-a} = -C_{-a,a} \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = 2$ the independent consistent conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}}{3 - 2h_a^{\text{sc}}}, \\ C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} &= 2h_a^{\text{sc}}, \quad C_{a,-a} = C_{-a,a} = \mu_{a,-a} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

since we have $C_{2a,-a} = C_{-a,2a}$ here.

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + 2h_a^{\text{sc}} = 3$ the extra consistent conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{a,-a} &= -1 \\ c &= \frac{-2(h_a^{\text{sc}})^2}{(2h_a^{\text{sc}} - 3)(h_a^{\text{sc}} - 2)}, \quad C_{-a,a} = -C_{a,-a} \\ C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} &= 4(h_a^{\text{sc}} - 1)C_{a,-a} \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = 4$ the independent consistent conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,-a} &= C_{-a,a} = \mu_{a,-a} = 1 \\ C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} &= h_a^{\text{sc}}(2h_a^{\text{sc}} - 3) + \frac{2(h_a^{\text{sc}})^2}{c} \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + 2h_a^{\text{sc}} = 5$ there is only 1 useful GJI and the consistent conditions is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{a,-a} &= -1, \quad C_{a,-a} = -C_{-a,a} \\ C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} &= 2[(h_a^{\text{sc}} - 2)(2h_a^{\text{sc}} - 3) + \frac{2(h_a^{\text{sc}})^2}{c}]C_{a,-a} \end{aligned}$$

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + 2h_a^{\text{sc}} \geq 6$ there are no useful GJI's and no extra consistent conditions.

$$4. \quad \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_{n/2}, \psi_{n/2}, \psi_{n/2}\}, \quad n = \text{even}$$

Just like shown in Appendix E2 a, we require that

$$\Delta_3(n/2, n/2, n/2) \neq 1, 3, 5. \quad (83)$$

otherwise the useful GJI's would yield a contradiction $\psi_{n/2} = 0$.

For $\Delta_3(n/2, n/2, n/2) = 0$ the extra consistent conditions are

$$h_{n/2}^{\text{sc}} = 0, \quad \partial \psi_{n/2} \equiv 0 \quad (84)$$

For $\Delta_3(n/2, n/2, n/2) = 2$ the extra consistent conditions are

$$c = h_{n/2}^{\text{sc}} = 1/2 \quad (85)$$

For $\Delta_3(n/2, n/2, n/2) = 4$ the extra consistent conditions are

$$c = h_{n/2}^{\text{sc}} = 1 \quad (86)$$

For $\Delta_3(n/2, n/2, n/2) = 6$ there are no extra consistent conditions.

For $\Delta_3(n/2, n/2, n/2) = 7$ the extra consistent conditions are

$$c = 49, \quad h_{n/2}^{\text{sc}} = 7/4 \quad (87)$$

For $\Delta_3(n/2, n/2, n/2) \geq 8$ there are no extra consistent conditions.

VI. REPRESENTING QUASIPARTICLES IN Z_n SIMPLE-CURRENT VERTEX ALGEBRA

Since the Z_n simple-current vertex algebras completely determine the FQH states and their topological orders, we should be able to calculate all the topological properties from the vertex algebras. In this section, we will discuss how to represent quasiparticles and how to calculate quasiparticle properties from the vertex algebras.

A. The pattern of zeros for quasiparticles and its consistent conditions

First, let us review the pattern of zeros description for quasiparticles in FQH states.^{12,13}

1. Definition and consistent conditions

The pattern of zeros for the ground state wave function can be easily generalized to describe the wave functions with quasiparticle excitations. If a symmetric polynomial $\Phi(\{z_i\})$ has a quasiparticle at $z = 0$, $\Phi(\{z_i\})$ will have a different pattern of zeros $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$ as $z_1 = \lambda\xi_1, \dots, z_a = \lambda\xi_a$ approach 0:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi(\{z_i\}) = \lambda^{S_{\gamma;a}} P_{\gamma}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_a; z_{a+1}, \dots) + O(\lambda^{S_{\gamma;a}+1}). \quad (88)$$

Thus we can use the sequence of non-negative integers $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$ to quantitatively characterize quasiparticles.

It was shown^{12,13} that there are similar consistent conditions on the quasiparticle pattern of zeros $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$: First concave condition

$$D_{\gamma+a,b} \equiv S_{\gamma;a+b} - S_{\gamma;a} - S_b \geq 0 \quad (89)$$

Second concave condition

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_3(\gamma + a; b, c) &\equiv S_{\gamma;a+b+c} + S_{\gamma;a} + S_b + S_c \\ &\quad - S_{\gamma;a+b} - S_{\gamma;a+c} - S_{b+c} \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

n -cluster condition

$$S_{\gamma;a+kn} = S_{\gamma;a} + k(S_{\gamma;n} + ma) + mn \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \quad (91)$$

$\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$ is a quantitative way to label all types of the quasiparticles in the FQH state described by $\{S_a\}$. The question is that is $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$ an one-to-one label of the quasiparticles? Can two different quasiparticles share the same pattern of zeros? The answer is yes and no. For certain FQH states (such as all the generalized and composite parafermion FQH states), $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$ is an one-to-one label of all the quasiparticles. While for other FQH states, such as $Z_2|Z_2$ and $Z_3|Z_3$ in section VII, $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$ is not an one-to-one label and two different quasiparticles can have the same pattern of zeros.

If we assume $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$ to be an one-to-one label of all the quasiparticles, then by solving the above consistent conditions, we can obtain the number of quasiparticle types, which happens to equal the ground state degeneracy of the FQH state on a torus. We can also calculate other physical properties of quasiparticles from $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$. For example, the quasiparticle charge Q_{γ} can be obtained from the pattern of zeros as¹²

$$Q_{\gamma} = \frac{S_{\gamma;n} - S_n}{m} \quad (92)$$

(The above formula is valid even when $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$ is not an one-to-one label.)

2. Label quasiparticle pattern of zeros by $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_{\gamma}\}$

Another way to label the quasiparticle pattern of zeros can be obtained by introducing the $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}\}$ vector (which is denoted by $\tilde{l}_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}$ in Ref. 13):

$$k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}} \equiv S_{\gamma;a} - S_{\gamma;a-1} + h_1^{\text{sc}} - \frac{m(Q_{\gamma} + a - 1)}{n} \quad (93)$$

Conversely we have

$$S_{\gamma;a} = \sum_{i=1}^a k_{\gamma;i}^{\text{sc}} + a \left(\frac{mQ_{\gamma}}{n} - h_1^{\text{sc}} \right) + \frac{ma(a-1)}{2n} \quad (94)$$

The n -cluster condition (91) of $\{S_{\gamma;a}\}$ sequence results in the periodic property of $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}\}$

$$k_{\gamma;n+a}^{\text{sc}} = k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}} \quad (95)$$

Therefore we can use the set of data $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_{\gamma}\}$ to describe quasiparticles.

Let $a = n$ in eqn. (94) and use eqn. (92) we can see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n k_{\gamma;i}^{\text{sc}} = 0 \quad (96)$$

The two concave conditions (89) and (90) for this set of data now becomes

$$D_{\gamma+a,b} = \sum_{i=1}^b k_{\gamma;a+i}^{\text{sc}} - h_b^{\text{sc}} + b \frac{mQ_\gamma}{n} + \frac{mab}{n} \in \mathbb{N} \quad (97)$$

$$\Delta_3(\gamma+a, b, c) = \sum_{i=1}^c (k_{\gamma;a+b+i}^{\text{sc}} - k_{\gamma;a+i}^{\text{sc}}) + h_b^{\text{sc}} + h_c^{\text{sc}} - h_{b+c}^{\text{sc}} \in \mathbb{N} \quad (98)$$

A set of $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\}$ satisfying the above two conditions and $S_{\gamma;a} \geq 0$ can generate a valid quasiparticle pattern of zeros, which corresponds to quasiparticle above a ground state with the pattern of zeros $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$.

We note that $\gamma+1$ corresponds to a bound state between a γ -quasiparticle and a hole (the absence of an electron). The $(\gamma+1)$ -quasiparticle is labeled by

$$\{k_{\gamma+1;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma+1;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_{\gamma+1}\} = \{k_{\gamma;2}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}, k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}; Q_{\gamma+1}\}.$$

Since two quasiparticles that differ by an electron are regarded as equivalent, we can use the above equivalence relation to pick an equivalent label that has the minimal charge and satisfies $S_{\gamma;a} \geq 0$. For each equivalence class, there exists only one such label. In this paper, we will use such a label to label inequivalent quasiparticles.

B. Quasiparticle wave functions and quasiparticle operators

Just like the ground state wave function (31), the wave function with a quasiparticle can also be written as a R-ordered correlation function between electron operators and quasiparticle operators in the vertex algebra

$$\Phi_\gamma(w; \{z_i\}) = \lim_{z_\infty \rightarrow \infty} z_\infty^{2h_N} \langle V(z_\infty) \left[\prod_i V_e(z_i) \right] V_\gamma(w) \rangle, \quad (99)$$

where w is the location of the quasiparticle and $V_\gamma(w)$ is the quasiparticle operator. By definition, a quasiparticle operator can be any operator that is mutually local respect to the electron operators $V_e(z)$.

In our simple-current $\times U(1)$ vertex algebra, the quasiparticle operator V_γ has the following form

$$V_\gamma(z) = \sigma_\gamma(z) : e^{i\phi(z)Q_\gamma/\sqrt{\nu}} : \quad (100)$$

where σ_γ is a disorder operator³⁹ that generates a representation of the simple current part of the vertex algebra.

When a electrons and one quasiparticle are fused together we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\gamma+a}(z) &\propto V_a V_\gamma = \sigma_{\gamma+a}(z) : e^{i\phi(z)(Q_\gamma+a)/\sqrt{\nu}} : \\ \sigma_{\gamma+a} &\propto \psi_a \sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

The OPE between the quasiparticle operator and the electron operator can be written as

$$V_e(z) V_{\gamma+a}(w) \propto (z-w)^{l_{\gamma;a+1}} V_{\gamma+a+1}(w) + \dots \quad (102)$$

The mutual locality between the quasiparticle operator and the electron operator requires $l_{\gamma;a}$ to be integers. In order for the quasiparticle wave function $\Phi_\gamma(w; \{z_i\})$ to contain no poles, we also require that $l_{\gamma;a} \geq 0$.

In fact, the sequence $l_{\gamma;a}$, $a = 1, 2, \dots$, provides a quantitative way to label the quasiparticles (and quasiparticle operators). We have introduced another quantitative label of the quasiparticles in terms of $S_{\gamma;a}$, $a = 1, 2, \dots$. The two labeling schemes are related by^{12,13}

$$S_{\gamma;a} = \sum_{i=1}^a l_{\gamma;i}, \quad l_{\gamma;a} = S_{\gamma;a} - S_{\gamma;a-1}. \quad (103)$$

We can also convert the orbital sequence $l_{\gamma;a}$ into an occupation sequence $n_{\gamma;l}$. If we view $l_{\gamma;a}$ as the index of the orbital occupied by the a -th particle, then $n_{\gamma;l}$ is simply the number of particles occupying the l^{th} orbital.

Let us denote the scaling dimension of disorder operators σ_γ as h_γ^{sc} . Can we calculate those scaling dimensions from the data $l_{\gamma;a}$ that characterize the quasiparticle? From the OPE of the quasiparticle operators, we find the following relations

$$l_{\gamma;a+1} = h_{\gamma+a+1}^{\text{sc}} - h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}} - h_1^{\text{sc}} + \frac{m(Q_\gamma+a)}{n} \quad (104)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\gamma;a} &= \sum_{i=1}^a l_{\gamma;i} \\ &= h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}} - h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} + a \left(\frac{mQ_\gamma}{n} - h_1^{\text{sc}} \right) + \frac{ma(a-1)}{2n}, \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Making use of eqn. (93) we immediately obtain the relations between $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}\}$ and $\{h_{\gamma+b}^{\text{sc}}\}$

$$k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}} - h_{\gamma+a-1}^{\text{sc}} \quad (106)$$

which implies that

$$h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}} = h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} + \sum_{i=1}^a k_{\gamma;i}^{\text{sc}}. \quad (107)$$

Moreover, eqn. (95) and eqn. (96) lead to the periodic condition on $h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}}$

$$h_{\gamma+a+n}^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}} \quad (108)$$

which is implied by the fusion rule $\psi_n \sigma = \sigma$ since $\psi_n = 1$.

We know that we can use $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\}$ that satisfies the two concave conditions (97) and (98) to describe (or label) a quasiparticle operator V_γ (or a quasiparticle γ). The above result (107) only allows us to determine the scaling dimensions $\{h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}}\}$ of the associated disorder operators up to a constant. That is if we know the scaling dimension h_γ^{sc} of a disorder operator σ_γ , then the scaling dimensions of a family of disorder operators $\sigma_{\gamma+a}$ can be determined. However, the scaling dimension h_γ^{sc} cannot be determined from the considerations discussed here. Can we do a better job by fully using the structure of the vertex algebra? In section VID and VII we will show how to extract the scaling dimension $h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}}$ from useful GJI's defined in Appendix D.

C. A more complete characterization of quasiparticles

Through a study of Z_n vertex algebra, we have realized that the pattern-of-zero data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ does not fully describe a symmetric polynomial (*ie* a quantum Hall wave function). We need to at least expand $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ to $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c\}$ to characterize a quantum Hall wave function. Similarly, the data $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\}$ does not fully describe a quasiparticle either, *ie* some times, different quasiparticles can have the same pattern of zeros $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\}$.

To see how to extend $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\}$, we note that a generic OPE between $\sigma_{\gamma+b}$ and ψ_a has a form

$$\psi_a(z) \sigma_{\gamma+b}(w) = \frac{C_{a,\gamma+b}}{(z-w)^{\alpha_{a,\gamma+b}}} \sigma_{\gamma+a+b}(w) + \dots \quad (109)$$

where

$$\alpha_{a,\gamma+b} = h_a^{\text{sc}} + h_{\gamma+b}^{\text{sc}} - h_{\gamma+a+b}^{\text{sc}}. \quad (110)$$

We also need to introduce the commutation factor $\mu_{a,\gamma+b}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (z-w)^{\alpha_{a,\gamma+b}} \psi_a(z) \sigma_{\gamma+b}(w) \\ = \mu_{a,\gamma+b} (w-z)^{\alpha_{a,\gamma+b}} \sigma_{\gamma+b}(w) \psi_a(z), \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

to describe the commutation relation between $\sigma_{\gamma+b}$ and ψ_a . We see that in vertex algebra, we need additional data, $C_{a,\gamma+b}$, $C_{\gamma+b,a}$, $\mu_{a,\gamma+b}$, and $\mu_{\gamma+b,a}$, to describe the quasiparticle γ . (In appendix C, we give a discussion about the relation between the quasiparticle commutation factor $\mu_{a,\gamma}$ and quasiparticle pattern of zeros $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\}$.)

However, if we put the quasiparticle at $w = 0$ (see eqn. (99)), then we do not need to use commutation factor $\mu_{a,\gamma}$ when we calculate the R-ordered correlation function (99). Thus, the electron wave function with a quasiparticle do not depend on the commutation factor $\mu_{a,\gamma}$. Similarly, the R-ordered correlation function only depend on $C_{a,\gamma+b}$. Therefore, we only need to add $C_{a,\gamma+b}$ to describe the quasiparticle γ more completely.

Therefore, within the simple-current vertex algebra, we can use the following more complete data

$$\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma; C_{a,\gamma+b}\} \quad (112)$$

to describe a quasiparticle. By considering the full structure of the vertex algebra (see next section VID), we can obtain many self-consistent conditions on the data $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma; C_{a,\gamma+b}\}$. In particular, we can calculate the scaling dimension h_γ^{sc} of σ_γ from the data $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma; C_{a,\gamma+b}\}$.

Once we find the scaling dimension h_γ^{sc} of a disorder operator σ_γ , the scaling dimension h_γ of the associated quasiparticle operator V_γ can be determined from

$$h_\gamma = h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} + h_\gamma^{\text{ga}} = h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} + \frac{m Q_\gamma^2}{2n}. \quad (113)$$

where h_γ^{ga} is the scaling dimension of the $U(1)$ part $e^{i\phi Q_\gamma/\sqrt{\nu}}$ of the quasiparticle operator. h_γ is the intrinsic spin of the quasiparticle which is closely related to the statistics of the quasiparticle. (Note that in 2+1D the intrinsic spin is not quantized as half integer.)

D. Consistent conditions for quasiparticles from useful GJI's

1. Complete vertex algebra with quasiparticle operators

To find more consistent conditions on the quasiparticle data $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma; C_{a,\gamma+b}\}$, we need to write down the complete OPE between the disorder operators $\sigma_{\gamma+b}$ and the simple currents

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_a(z) \sigma_{\gamma+b}(w) &= C_{a,\gamma+b} \frac{\sigma_{\gamma+a+b}(w)}{(z-w)^{\alpha_{a,\gamma+b}}} + O((z-w)^{1-\alpha_{a,\gamma+b}}) \\ T(z) \sigma_{\gamma+a}(w) &= \frac{h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}}}{(z-w)^2} \sigma_{\gamma+a}(w) + \frac{1}{z-w} \partial \sigma_{\gamma+a}(w) + O(1) \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

where we define

$$\alpha_{a,\gamma+b} \equiv h_a^{\text{sc}} - (h_{\gamma+a+b}^{\text{sc}} - h_{\gamma+b}^{\text{sc}}) = h_a^{\text{sc}} - \sum_{i=1}^a k_{\gamma;b+i}^{\text{sc}}, \quad (115)$$

In other words we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_a \sigma_{\gamma+b}]_{\alpha_{a,\gamma+b}} &= C_{a,\gamma+b} \sigma_{\gamma+a+b} \\ [\sigma_{\gamma+b} \psi_a]_{\alpha_{a,\gamma+b}} &= \mu_{\gamma+b,a} C_{a,\gamma+b} \sigma_{\gamma+a+b} \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

$$[T \sigma_{\gamma+a}]_2 = h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}} \sigma_{\gamma+a} = [\sigma_{\gamma+a} T]_2, \quad (117)$$

$$[T \sigma_{\gamma+a}]_1 = \partial \sigma_{\gamma+a}, \quad [\sigma_{\gamma+a} T]_1 = (h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}} - 1) \partial \sigma_{\gamma+a}$$

with $\alpha_{T,\sigma_{\gamma+a}} = 2$. We set $C_{a,\gamma} = 1$ as the definition of disorder operators $\sigma_{\gamma+a}$, $a \neq 0 \bmod n$, which possess Z_n

symmetry. Note that eqn. (117) can be used in GJI's to determine the scaling dimension $h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}}$ of disorder operators, as will be shown in examples.

The consistent conditions on the quasiparticle data, $\{h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma; C_{a,\gamma+b}\}$ or $\{h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma; C_{a,\gamma+b}\}$, can also be obtained from useful GJI's with respect to the OPE's (116) and (117), just as we did in Appendix E 2 a for simple currents of a generic Z_n vertex algebra. In the following, we'll list the obtained consistent conditions from GJI's.

$$2. \text{ Consistent conditions: } \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \sigma_{\gamma+c}\}, \\ a+b \neq 0 \text{ mod } n$$

Apply the GJI to the quasiparticle algebra (116) and (117), we can obtain many new consistent conditions.

For $\Delta_3(a, b, \gamma+c) = 0$ the independent consistent conditions are

$$\mu_{a,b} C_{a,\gamma+c} C_{b,\gamma+a+c} = C_{a,b} C_{a+b,\gamma+c} \\ = C_{b,\gamma+c} C_{a,\gamma+b+c} \quad (118)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, \gamma+c) = 1$ the only independent consistent condition is:

$$\mu_{a,b} C_{a,\gamma+c} C_{b,\gamma+a+c} \\ = C_{a,b} C_{a+b,\gamma+c} - C_{b,\gamma+c} C_{a,\gamma+b+c} \quad (119)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, \gamma+c) \geq 2$ there are no extra consistent conditions.

$$3. \text{ Consistent conditions: } \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_{-a}, \sigma_{\gamma+c}\}$$

For $\Delta_3(a, -a, \gamma+b) = 0$ the independent consistent conditions are

$$h_a^{\text{sc}} \partial \sigma_{\gamma+b} \equiv 0, \quad \alpha_{\pm a, \gamma+b} = h_a^{\text{sc}} h_{\gamma+b}^{\text{sc}} = 0, \\ C_{a,\gamma+b} C_{-a,\gamma+a+b} = C_{-a,\gamma+b} C_{a,\gamma+b-a} = C_{a,-a} \quad (120)$$

since $\mu_{\gamma+b,0} = 1$.

For $\Delta_3(a, -a, \gamma+b) = 1$ the independent consistent conditions are

$$\frac{h_a^{\text{sc}}}{c} h_{\gamma+b}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{\alpha_{a,\gamma+b}(1 - \alpha_{a,\gamma+b})}{4}, \\ C_{-a,\gamma+b} C_{a,\gamma+b-a} = C_{a,-a} \alpha_{a,\gamma+b}, \\ C_{a,\gamma+b} C_{-a,\gamma+a+b} = C_{-a,a} \alpha_{-a,\gamma+b}. \quad (121)$$

Notice here the quasiparticle scaling dimension h_γ^{sc} is determined through useful GJI's.

For $\Delta_3(a, -a, \gamma+b) = 2$ the independent consistent conditions are

$$C_{-a,\gamma+b} C_{a,\gamma+b-a} = \\ \left[\frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}} h_{\gamma+b}^{\text{sc}}}{c} + \frac{\alpha_{a,\gamma+b}(\alpha_{a,\gamma+b} - 1)}{2} \right] C_{a,-a}, \\ C_{a,\gamma+b} C_{-a,\gamma+a+b} = \\ \left[\frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}} h_{\gamma+b}^{\text{sc}}}{c} + \frac{(\alpha_{a,\gamma+b} - 2)(\alpha_{a,\gamma+b} - 1)}{2} \right] C_{-a,a}. \quad (122)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, -a, \gamma+b) = 3$ the independent consistent condition is

$$\mu_{a,-a} C_{a,\gamma+b} C_{-a,\gamma+a+b} + C_{-a,\gamma+b} C_{a,\gamma+b-a} \\ = \left[\frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}} h_{\gamma+b}^{\text{sc}}}{c} + \frac{(\alpha_{a,\gamma+b} - 2)(\alpha_{a,\gamma+b} - 1)}{2} \right] C_{a,-a}. \quad (123)$$

For $\Delta_3(a, -a, \gamma+b) \geq 4$ there are no extra consistent conditions from useful GJI's.

VII. EXAMPLES OF FQH STATES DESCRIBED BY Z_n SIMPLE-CURRENT VERTEX ALGEBRAS

In this section, we will examine some examples of FQH states that can be described by Z_n simple-current vertex algebra.

A. Pattern of zeros for Z_n simple-current vertex algebra

When we consider FQH states described by Z_n simple-current vertex algebra, the patterns of zero for those FQH states satisfy many additional conditions on top of the conditions (48), (49), and (50) for generic FQH states. In section VB, we list those additional consistent conditions obtained from GJI. Many conditions do not contain the structure constants C_{ab} , and those conditions become the extra conditions on the pattern of zeros. We have numerically solved all those conditions on the pattern of zeros. In this section, we list some of the numerical solutions.

We like to point out that the patterns of zeros for FQH states described by simple-current vertex algebra do not have the additive property. This is because given two FQH wave functions described by simple-current vertex algebra, their product in general cannot be described any simple-current vertex algebra. The direct product of two simple-current vertex algebra, in general, contains at least one dimension-2 primary field of Virasoro algebra that violates the Abelian fusion algebra. Thus the direct product of two simple-current vertex algebra is not a simple-current vertex algebra in general.

Among many solutions of the consistent conditions are the Z_n parafermion algebras, which are the simplest simple-current vertex algebra. The Z_n parafermion algebras give rise to Z_n parafermion wave functions Φ_{Z_n} . As an example of no additive property, the pattern of zeros for the product wave function $\Phi_{Z_2 \otimes Z_3} \equiv \Phi_{Z_2} \Phi_{Z_3}$ does not satisfy the consistent conditions for the simple-current vertex algebra, indicating that the direct product of Z_2 and Z_3 parafermion vertex algebras is not a simple-current vertex algebra. In the following, we only list some solutions that are not Z_n parafermion algebras.

Z_2 simple-current vertex algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 2 : \quad c = 1 \quad (Z_2|Z_2 \text{ state}) \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{4; 1\} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{2; 0\} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

$$\begin{aligned} n = 2 : \quad (Z_2|Z_2|Z_2 \text{ state}) \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{6; \frac{3}{2}\} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{3; 0\} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

Z_3 simple-current vertex algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 3 : \quad (Z_3|Z_3 \text{ state}) \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{4; \frac{4}{3} \ \frac{4}{3}\} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{2; 0 \ 0\} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{3 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

Z_4 simple-current vertex algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 4 : \quad c = 1 \quad (C_4 \text{ state}) \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{4; 1 \ 1 \ 1\} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{2; \frac{1}{2} \ 1 \ \frac{1}{2}\} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{2 \ 2 \ 0 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

$$\begin{aligned} n = 4 : \quad c = 1 \quad (Z_4|Z_2 \text{ state}) \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{6; \frac{5}{4} \ 1 \ \frac{5}{4}\} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{3; 1 \ 2 \ 1\} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{2 \ 0 \ 2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

$$\begin{aligned} n = 4 : \quad (\text{Gaffnian state}) \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{6; \frac{3}{4} \ 0 \ \frac{3}{4}\} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{3; \frac{3}{2} \ 3 \ \frac{3}{2}\} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2 \ 0 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

$$\begin{aligned} n = 4 : \quad (C_4|C_4 \text{ state}) \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{8; 2 \ 2 \ 2\} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{4; 1 \ 2 \ 1\} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{2 \ 0 \ 2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

Z_6 simple-current vertex algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 6 : \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{6; \frac{3}{2} \ 2 \ \frac{5}{2} \ 2 \ \frac{3}{2}\} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{3; 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1\} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

$$n = 6 : \quad c = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{8; \frac{4}{3} \ \frac{4}{3} \ 1 \ \frac{4}{3} \ \frac{4}{3}\} \\ \{p; M_1..M_{n-1}\} &= \{3; 2 \ 4 \ 5 \ 4 \ 2\} \\ \{n_0..n_{m-1}\} &= \{2 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

We like to stress that the above pattern of zeros are only checked to satisfy the consistent conditions that do not contain structure constants $C_{a,b}$. It remains to be shown that there exist $C_{a,b}$ for those patterns of zeros that satisfy all the consistent conditions for structure constants (from GJI's). When we check those additional conditions for $C_{a,b}$, we find that the C_4 pattern of zero $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\} = \{4; 4; 1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ does not correspond to any symmetric polynomial as discussed in section IV E.

We will discuss some other patterns of zeros in detail later. We will show how the central charge c , the structure constants $C_{a,b}$ and the quasiparticle scaling dimension $h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}}$ of the corresponding vertex algebra can be determined from the pattern of zeros $\{n, m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$, through the consistent conditions in section VB, VID and in Appendix F. Those consistent conditions are generated by useful GJI's: (D3) or (D6) with eqn. (D11).

To calculate the central charge and the quasiparticle scaling dimensions $\{c; C_{a,b}; h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}}\}$, in the first step we will try to determine them from conditions in section VB, *ie* we don't specify subleading order term (F1) in OPE. If these conditions don't give enough information, then we will resort to more conditions in Appendix F, which is based on the subleading OPE term (F1).

We note that some pattern of zeros can directly fix the central charge, and we list the central charge for those patterns of zeros as in above. The Z_n parafermion patterns of zeros are examples in this class. While for other patterns of zeros, the central charges depend on the structure constants $C_{a,b}$. We will calculate those central charges below. There are even patterns of zeros that do not completely determine the simple-current vertex algebra. We need to include additional information C_{ab} to determine the corresponding simple-current vertex algebra. The $Z_3|Z_3$, $Z_4|Z_2$ states *etc* are examples in this class of pattern of zeros.

We also give names for some patterns of zeros. For example, the $C_n|C_n$ pattern of zeros $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2n; 2 \ 2 \ ...2\}$ is the sum of two C_n pattern of zeros $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{n; 1 \ 1 \ ...1\}$. Also, the $Z_4|Z_2$ pattern of zeros is described by $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{6; \frac{5}{4} \ 1 \ \frac{5}{4}\}$ which is a sum of $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{2n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{4; \frac{1}{2} \ 0 \ \frac{1}{2}\}$ for the Z_2 parafermion state and $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2; \frac{3}{4} \ 1 \ \frac{3}{4}\}$ for the Z_4 parafermion state. (Note that the Z_2 parafermion state is also described by $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}..h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2; \frac{1}{2}\}$.¹¹) However, the wave function of such a $Z_4|Z_2$ state is different from the product of a Z_2 parafermion wave function and a Z_4 parafermion wave function. The product wave function called the $Z_2 \otimes Z_4$ state, is described by a Z_4 vertex algebra given by the direct product of the Z_2 parafermion algebra and Z_4 parafermion algebra. Such a Z_4 vertex algebra is different from any

Z_4 simple-current vertex algebras, featured by an extra dimension-2 primary field. However, both the $Z_4|Z_2$ and $Z_2 \otimes Z_4$ states have the same pattern of zeros. This is an example showing that the same pattern of zeros $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{6; \frac{5}{4} \ 1 \ \frac{5}{4}\}$ can correspond to more than one FQH wave functions.

B. The Z_n parafermion vertex algebra: Z_n parafermion states with $\{M_k = 0; p = 1; m = 2\}$

In this simplest case we have $p = 1$, $M_k = 0$. For example the Z_3 parafermion state is described by the following pattern of zeros:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 3 : \quad Z_3 \text{ state} \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{2; \frac{2}{3} \ \frac{2}{3}\} \\ \{p; M_1 \dots M_{n-1}\} &= \{1; 0 \ 0\} \\ \{n_0 \dots n_{m-1}\} &= \{3 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

In general, we have (we don't specify $p = 1$ until necessary, trying to obtain some general conclusions on $Z_n| \dots | Z_n$ series):

$$\begin{aligned} h_a^{\text{sc}} &= p \frac{a(n-a)}{n}, \\ \alpha_{a,b} &= \frac{2pab}{n} - 2p(a+b-n)\theta(a+b-n) \end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

As a result we have

$$\mu_{a,b} = 1, \quad C_{a,b} = C_{b,a} \quad (135)$$

Besides, $d_{a,b}$ defined in eqn. (F4) has a simple form in this case:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{a,b} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{h_a^{\text{sc}} - h_b^{\text{sc}}}{h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}}} \right) = d_{n-a, n-b} = \frac{a}{a+b} \\ &\text{if } a+b < n \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

In eqn. (A18) we have $\Delta M[a, b, c] = 0$ and $\Delta_3(a, b, c)$ can only be multiples of $2p$.

At first let's take a look at $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_c\}$, $a+b, b+c, a+c \not\equiv 0 \pmod n$. Only when $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 0$ there are extra consistent conditions in section VB1, ie $a+b+c \leq n$ or $a+b+c \geq 2n$ we have

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} = C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} = C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} \quad (137)$$

Particularly when $a+b+c = 0 \pmod n$ we have

$$C_{a,b} = C_{a,c} = C_{b,c} \quad (138)$$

The other consistent condition is satisfied by eqn. (136).

For $A = B = C = \psi_{n/2}$, n is even we know that $\Delta_3(n/2, n/2, n/2) = 4h_{n/2}^{\text{sc}} = pn$. Only when $np/2 \leq 2$ there are extra consistent conditions in section VB4, ie when $n \leq 4$ for $p = 1$, $n \leq 2$ for $p = 2$.

The above conclusions hold for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Now let's enforce $p = 1$ for this special series.

For $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_{-b}\}$, $a \pm b \not\equiv 0 \pmod n$ we know $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) \geq 2p$. Only when $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) = 2$ there are extra consistent conditions in section VB2, ie when $a = 1 < b, n-b < n$, $b = 1 < a < n-1$ or $1 \leq n, n-b < a = n-1$.

For $\{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_a, \psi_{-a}\}$, $a \not\equiv n/2 \pmod n$, similarly only when $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = 2, 4$ there are consistent conditions in section VB3, ie when $a = 1, 2$ or $a = n-1, n-2$.

First since $\Delta_3(1, 1, -1) = \Delta_3(-1, -1, 1) = 2$ and $h_1^{\text{sc}} = 1 - 1/n$, $\alpha_{1,1} = 2/n$, from section VB3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2} \\ C_{1,1}C_{2,n-1} &= C_{n-1,n-1}C_{n-2,1} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n} \end{aligned}$$

With central charge c in hand, from $\Delta_3(2, 2, -2) = \Delta_3(-2, -2, 2) = 4$ we have

$$C_{2,2}C_{4,n-2} = C_{n-2,n-2}C_{n-4,2} = \frac{6(n-2)(n-3)}{n(n-1)} \quad (139)$$

Similarly from $\Delta_3(1, b, -b) = \Delta_3(a, 1, -1) = \Delta_3(-1, -b, b) = \Delta_3(-a, -1, 1) = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{b,n-1}C_{n-b,b-1} &= \frac{b(n+1-b)}{n} \\ C_{a,n-1}C_{1,a-1} &= \frac{a(n+1-a)}{n} \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

These are all the extra consistent conditions. Using eqn. (137) and eqn. (138) repeatedly we find out that the independent conditions besides eqn. (137)-eqn. (138) and $C_{a,b} = C_{b,a}$ are just

$$C_{1,a}C_{n-1,n-a} = \frac{(a+1)(n-a)}{n} \quad (141)$$

Other structure constants can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,b} &= \frac{C_{a,b-1}C_{1,a+b-1}}{C_{1,b-1}} = \dots = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{b-1} C_{1,a+i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{b-1} C_{1,j}} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{a+b-1} C_{1,i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{a-1} C_{1,i} \prod_{i=1}^{b-1} C_{1,i}} \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

if $a+b \leq n$;

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,b} &= \frac{C_{a,b+1}C_{n-1,a+b+1}}{C_{n-1,b+1}} = \dots = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-b-1} C_{n-1,a-i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-b-1} C_{n-1,n-j}} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-a+n-b-1} C_{n-1,n-i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-a-1} C_{n-1,n-i} \prod_{i=1}^{n-b-1} C_{n-1,n-i}} \end{aligned} \quad (143)$$

if $a+b > n$. Notice that the above two equations are compatible with eqn. (137)! Using eqn. (141) we imme-

diately have

$$C_{a,b}C_{n-a,n-b} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{b-1} C_{1,a+i}C_{n-1,n-a-i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{b-1} C_{1,j}C_{n-1,n-j}} = \frac{\Gamma(a+b+1)\Gamma(n-a+1)\Gamma(n-b+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)\Gamma(n-a-b+1)}, \quad (144)$$

$$\forall \quad 1 \leq a, b < a+b \leq n \quad (145)$$

To summarize, the consistent conditions in section VB determine the central charge and fix the structure constants to the following form:

$$C_{a,b} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{b-1} \lambda_{a+i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{b-1} \lambda_j} \times \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(a+b+1)\Gamma(n-a+1)\Gamma(n-b+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)\Gamma(n-a-b+1)}} \quad (146)$$

if $1 \leq a, b < a+b \leq n$. Free parameters $\{\lambda_a | a = 1, \dots, n-1\}$ are nonzero complex numbers, defined by $C_{1,a} = \lambda_a^2 C_{n-1,n-a}$. Moreover, the condition (138) requires that $C_{a,n-a} = 1$, so from eqn. (142) we have the following “reflection” condition on $\{\lambda_a\}$

$$\lambda_{n-1} = \frac{\lambda_{n-2}}{\lambda_1} = \dots = \frac{\lambda_{n-1-k}}{\lambda_k} = \dots = 1 \quad (147)$$

We point out that the above conclusions are all obtained from conditions in section VB, *ie* we haven’t introduced the subleading order OPE (F1) and new conditions in Appendix F yet. Now we apply conditions in Appendix F to see whether the normalization constants $\{\lambda_a | a = 1, \dots, [\frac{n-1}{2}]\}$ can be determined or not.

According to Appendix F2a, choosing those $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 2p = 2$ with $a+b, b+c, a+c \neq 0 \pmod n$, $a+b+c = n+1$ leads to the following new constraints:

$$\lambda_{a-1}\lambda_{n-a} = 1 \quad (148)$$

which means that $\lambda_a = \pm 1$. Other useful GJI’s like $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 4p = 4$ with $a+b, b+c, a+c \neq 0 \pmod n$ doesn’t result in any new constraints. So finally we can conclude that considering the subleading order OPE (F1), the structure of such a Z_n simple-current vertex

algebra is determined self-consistently as:

$$c = \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2}; \quad \lambda_a = \pm 1; \quad (149)$$

$$C_{a,b} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{b-1} \lambda_{a+i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{b-1} \lambda_j} \times \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(a+b+1)\Gamma(n-a+1)\Gamma(n-b+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)\Gamma(n-a-b+1)}}, \quad (150)$$

$$C_{n-a,n-b} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{b-1} \lambda_j}{\prod_{i=0}^{b-1} \lambda_{a+i}} \times \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(a+b+1)\Gamma(n-a+1)\Gamma(n-b+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)\Gamma(n-a-b+1)}}, \quad (151)$$

$$\forall \quad a+b \leq n;$$

$$\lambda_{a-1} = \lambda_{n-a}, \quad \lambda_0 = \lambda_{n-1} = 1, \quad \lambda_{a+n} = \lambda_a. \quad (152)$$

It is interesting to see that the Z_n -parafermion pattern of zeros does not completely fix the structure constants $C_{a,b}$. Do those different structure constants $C_{a,b}$ corresponding to different choices of λ_a give rise to different FQH wave functions, even through they all have the same pattern of zeros? In general, the different structure constants (even with the same pattern of zeros) will give rise to different FQH wave functions. But in this particular case, all the above different structure constants for different choices of $\lambda_a = \pm 1$ give rise to the same FQH wave function. So those different structure constants describe the same FQH state.

To see this, let us introduce

$$\tilde{\psi}_a = \chi_a \psi_a, \quad \chi_a = \pm 1, \quad \chi_{a+n} = \chi_a. \quad (153)$$

Those modified simple current operators will generate the same FQH state. But the structure constants of $\tilde{\psi}_a$ is changed

$$\tilde{C}_{a,b} = C_{a,b} \frac{\chi_a \chi_b}{\chi_{a+b}} \quad (154)$$

So such kind of change in structure constants

$$C_{a,b} \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{a,b} = C_{a,b} \frac{\chi_a \chi_b}{\chi_{a+b}} \quad (155)$$

does not generate new FQH wave function. Therefore $C_{a,b}$ and $\tilde{C}_{a,b} = C_{a,b} \frac{\chi_a \chi_b}{\chi_{a+b}}$ describe the same FQH state. We will call the transformation (155) an equivalence transformation.

Note that the factors can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{b-1} \lambda_{a+i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{b-1} \lambda_j} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{a+b-1} \lambda_i}{\prod_{i=0}^{a-1} \lambda_i \prod_{i=0}^{b-1} \lambda_i} \quad (156)$$

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{b-1} \lambda_j}{\prod_{i=0}^{b-1} \lambda_{a+i}} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-b-1} \lambda_{n-a+i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-b-1} \lambda_j} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-a+n-b-1} \lambda_i}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-a-1} \lambda_i \prod_{i=0}^{n-b-1} \lambda_i},$$

I	I_{na}	$n_{\gamma;0..m-1}$	$nk_{\gamma;1..n}^{\text{sc}}$	Q	$h^{\text{sc}} + h^{\text{ga}}$
0	0_{na}	2 0	1 -1	0	$0 + 0$
1	0_{na}	0 2	1 -1	1	$0 + \frac{1}{2}$
2	1_{na}	1 1	0 0	$1/2$	$\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{8}$

TABLE I: The pattern of zeros and the charges Q for the quasiparticles in the Z_2 parafermion state. $n_{\gamma;1..n_{\gamma,m-1}}$ is the occupation sequence characterizing the quasiparticle γ (defined below eqn. (103)). The quasiparticles are labeled by the index I . The scaling dimensions of the quasiparticle operators are sums of the contributions from the simple-current vertex algebra and the Gaussian model: $h_{\gamma} = h^{\text{sc}} + h^{\text{ga}}$.

where we have used eqn. (148). So if we choose $\chi_a = \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} \lambda_i$, the equivalence transformation (155) will remove the λ_a dependent factors in the structure constants. This completes our proof. We see that the Z_n parafermion patterns of zeros, $\{M_k = 0; p = 1; m = 2\}$, completely determine the structure constants C_{ab} and the central charge c .

It is interesting to note that we can use the equivalence transformation (155) to make $C_{a,b} = 1$ for all $a + b \leq n$, as one can see from (142). We can also use the transformation (155) to make $C_{a,b} = 1$ for all $a + b \geq n$, as one can see from (143). But we cannot make all $C_{a,b} = 1$.

C. Quasiparticles in the Z_2 parafermion state

In this section, we will study the quasiparticles in the Z_2 parafermion state. For the Z_2 parafermion state, we have a simple current with scaling dimension $h_1^{\text{sc}} = 1/2$ and central charge $c = 1/2$. According to Ref. 13, the patterns of zeros $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_{\gamma}\}$ for the quasiparticles are obtained by solving the conditions (97) and (98). The result is listed in table I. There are three types of quasiparticles. In fact, these three quasiparticles belong to two different families. The quasiparticles in the same family can change into each other by combining an Abelian quasiparticle. The two quasiparticles in the first family differ from each other merely by a magnetic translation^{12,13} (*ie* by an insertion of an Abelian magnetic flux tube), while the 3rd quasiparticle differs from the first two in their non-Abelian content. For a family of quasiparticles differ by magnetic translations, we only need to study one of them to obtain all the information of simple current part (the difference between different quasiparticles in such a family comes solely from a $U(1)$ factor).

First let us study the 3rd quasiparticle $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_{\gamma}\} = \{0, 0; \frac{1}{2}\}$. Using the following relations derived from eqn. (107) (which will be used frequently in the quasiparticle calculation)

$$\alpha_{a,\gamma+b} = h_a^{\text{sc}} - \sum_{k=1}^a k_{\gamma;b+k}^{\text{sc}} \quad (157)$$

$$\Delta_3(a, b, \gamma + c) = \alpha_{a,\gamma+c} + \alpha_{b,\gamma+c} - \alpha_{a+b,\gamma+c}, \quad (158)$$

I	I_{na}	$n_{\gamma;0..m-1}$	$nk_{\gamma;1..n}^{\text{sc}}$	Q	$h^{\text{sc}} + h^{\text{ga}}$
0	0_{na}	3 0	2 0 -2	0	$0 + 0$
1	0_{na}	0 3	2 0 -2	$3/2$	$0 + \frac{3}{4}$
2	1_{na}	2 1	1 -1 0	$1/2$	$\frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{12}$
3	1_{na}	1 2	0 1 -1	1	$\frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{3}$

TABLE II: The pattern of zeros and the charges Q for the quasiparticles in the Z_3 parafermion state. The quasiparticles are labeled by the index I . The scaling dimensions of the quasiparticle operators are sums of the contributions from the simple-current vertex algebra and the Gaussian model: $h_{\gamma} = h^{\text{sc}} + h^{\text{ga}}$.

we find $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = \Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 1) = 1$, $\alpha_{1,\gamma} = \alpha_{1,\gamma+1} = 1/2$. So from section VID 3, we see that

$$h_{\gamma}^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = 1/16$$

$$C_{1,\gamma+1} = 1/2 \quad (158)$$

This is the famous disorder operator of a Z_2 or Ising vertex algebra.

Next we study the 1st quasiparticle $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_{\gamma}\} = \{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; 0\}$ from its family. With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = 0$ and $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 1) = 2$ we have from section VID 3 that

$$h_{\gamma}^{\text{sc}} = 0, \quad h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = 1/2$$

$$C_{1,\gamma+1} = 1, \quad \partial\sigma_{\gamma} \equiv 0 \quad (159)$$

suggesting that σ_{γ} is proportional to the identity operator. This means that this quasiparticle is simply the trivial vacuum modulo electrons.

We like to stress that for the above two quasiparticles, the structure constants $C_{a,\gamma+b}$ are uniquely determined by the quasiparticles pattern of zeros $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}\}$. So the quasiparticles in the Z_2 parafermion theory are uniquely described by the quasiparticles pattern of zeros $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_{\gamma}\}$. Each index I in the table I label a unique quasiparticle pattern of zeros, and so the index I also label a unique quasiparticle for the Z_2 parafermion state.

We can also obtain the fusion algebra using the method in Ref. 13. We find that

$$0 \times 0 = 0, \quad 0 \times 1 = 1, \quad 0 \times 2 = 2,$$

$$1 \times 1 = 0, \quad 1 \times 2 = 2, \quad 2 \times 2 = 0 + 1, \quad (160)$$

where we have used the index I to label different quasiparticles (see table I). We have regarded two quasiparticles to be equivalent if they differ by some electrons. The index I really label the above equivalent classes of quasiparticles. We may also define a different equivalent class of quasiparticles by regarding two quasiparticles to be equivalent if they differ by some electrons or by some Abelian magnetic flux tubes. Such classes of quasiparticles are characterized by $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}\}$ up to a cyclic permutation. We introduce an index I_{na} to label those non-Abelian classes of quasiparticles. From the relation between the two sets of indices I and I_{na} as shown in

table I, we can reduce the fusion algebra (160) to a simpler fusion algebra between the non-Abelian classes of quasiparticles

$$0_{\text{na}} \times 0_{\text{na}} = 0_{\text{na}}, \quad 0_{\text{na}} \times 1_{\text{na}} = 1_{\text{na}}, \quad 1_{\text{na}} \times 1_{\text{na}} = 0_{\text{na}} + 0_{\text{na}}. \quad (161)$$

D. Quasiparticles in the Z_3 parafermion state

The Z_3 simple-current vertex algebra is characterized by

$$h_1^{\text{sc}} = h_2^{\text{sc}} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad c = \frac{4}{5},$$

$$C_{1,1} = C_{2,2} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad C_{1,2} = C_{2,1} = 1 \quad (162)$$

where we have fixed the normalization factors to be $\lambda_a = 1$.

There are two families of quasiparticles obtained from (97) and (98) (see table II). The 1st family is represented by quasiparticle $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\} = \{\frac{2}{3}, 0, -\frac{2}{3}; 0\}$. With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma) = \Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 1) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma + 2) = 0$, we find that (see section VID 2 or Appendix F 2f)

$$C_{1,\gamma+1} = C_{2,\gamma+2} = C_{1,1} = C_{2,2}$$

$$C_{2,\gamma+1} = C_{1,\gamma+2} \quad (163)$$

Then with $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma) = 0$ and $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma + 1) = \Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma + 2) = 2$ we have from section VID 3 that

$$h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = 0, \quad h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+2}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \partial\sigma_\gamma \equiv 0$$

$$C_{2,\gamma+1} = C_{1,\gamma+2} = 1, \quad C_{1,\gamma+1}C_{2,\gamma+2} = \frac{4}{3} \quad (164)$$

Therefore this quasiparticle is characterized by

$$h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = 0, \quad h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+2}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \partial\sigma_\gamma = 0$$

$$C_{2,\gamma+1} = C_{1,\gamma+2} = 1, \quad C_{1,\gamma+1} = C_{2,\gamma+2} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \quad (165)$$

$\partial\sigma_\gamma = 0$ and $h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = 0$ imply that the quasiparticle operator σ_γ is a constant operator with scaling dimension 0. Such an operator is the trivial identity operator.

The 2nd family is represented by a quasiparticle with $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\} = \{\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, 0; \frac{1}{2}\}$. With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma + 2) = 0$ and $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 1) = \Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 2) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma + 1) = 1$, we find that (see section VID 2 or Appendix F 2f)

$$C_{1,\gamma+1} = C_{1,1}, \quad C_{2,\gamma+2} = C_{2,2}/2$$

$$C_{1,\gamma+2} = C_{1,1}C_{2,2}/4, \quad C_{2,\gamma+1} = C_{1,1}C_{2,2}/2 \quad (166)$$

Then with $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma) = \Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma + 2) = 1$ and $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma + 1) = 2$, we find that (see section VID 3)

$$h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+2}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{1}{15}, \quad h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{2}{5},$$

$$C_{2,\gamma+1} = C_{1,\gamma+1}C_{2,\gamma+2} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad C_{1,\gamma+2} = \frac{1}{3} \quad (167)$$

This nontrivial quasiparticle is characterized by

$$h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+2}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{1}{15}, \quad h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{2}{5},$$

$$C_{2,\gamma+1} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad C_{1,\gamma+2} = \frac{1}{3},$$

$$C_{1,\gamma+1} = C_{1,1} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad C_{2,\gamma+2} = C_{2,2}/2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \quad (168)$$

Again, for the above two quasiparticles, the structure constants $C_{a,\gamma+b}$ are uniquely determined by the quasiparticles pattern of zeros $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}\}$. So the quasiparticles in the Z_3 parafermion theory are uniquely described by the quasiparticles pattern of zeros $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\}$. Each index I in the table II label a unique quasiparticle pattern of zeros, and so the index I also label a unique quasiparticle for the Z_3 parafermion state.

The full fusion algebra between the quasiparticles is¹³

$$0 \times 0 = 0, \quad 0 \times 1 = 1, \quad 0 \times 2 = 2,$$

$$0 \times 3 = 3, \quad 1 \times 1 = 0, \quad 1 \times 2 = 3,$$

$$1 \times 3 = 2, \quad 2 \times 2 = 0 + 3, \quad 2 \times 3 = 1 + 2,$$

$$3 \times 3 = 0 + 3. \quad (169)$$

The fusion algebra between the non-Abelian classes of quasiparticles is

$$0_{\text{na}} \times 0_{\text{na}} = 0_{\text{na}}, \quad 0_{\text{na}} \times 1_{\text{na}} = 1_{\text{na}},$$

$$1_{\text{na}} \times 1_{\text{na}} = 0_{\text{na}} + 1_{\text{na}}. \quad (170)$$

E. $Z_n|Z_n$ series: $\{M_k = 0; p = 2\}$

The $Z_n|Z_n$ vertex algebra is called the “second generation” of Z_n parafermion algebra and is studied in Ref. 40,41,42,43. In this case we have

$$h_a^{\text{sc}} = 2 \frac{a(n-a)}{n} \quad (171)$$

As a result we still have eqn. (135), eqn. (136), eqn. (137) and eqn. (138), therefore eqn. (142) and eqn. (143) still hold.

Apparently in this case the extra conditions in section VB are not enough to determine the full structure of this vertex algebra, since now $\Delta_3(a, b, c)$ are multiples of $2p = 4$! So we introduce the subleading order OPE (F1) and resort to new conditions in Appendix F.

Since now we have $\Delta_3(1, b, -b) = \Delta_3(-1, b, -b) = \Delta_3(1, a, -1) = 2p = 4$, from Appendix F 2c we have:

$$C_{1,a}C_{n-1,n-a} = \frac{(a+1)(n-a)}{n^2(n-2)} \times$$

$$\left[\frac{4a(n-a-1)(n-1)}{c} + (n-2a)(n-2a-2) \right] \quad (172)$$

Representing the central charge c in terms of a continuous variable g in the following way

$$c = \frac{4(n-1)g(n+g-1)}{(n+2g-2)(n+2g)} \quad (173)$$

yields an expression of structure constants in terms of g and normalization constants $\{\lambda_a = \lambda_{n-1-a}; a = 1, \dots, [\frac{n-1}{2}]\}$, which is totally similar with Z_n parafermion states:

$$C_{1,a} = \lambda_a \sqrt{\frac{(a+1)(n-a)}{n} \frac{(a+g)(n+g-a-1)}{g(n+g-1)}} \quad (174)$$

$$C_{a,b} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{a+b-1} C_{1,i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{b-1} C_{1,j} \prod_{k=1}^{a-1} C_{1,k}} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{b-1} \lambda_{a+i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{b-1} \lambda_j} \times \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(a+b+1)\Gamma(n-a+1)\Gamma(n-b+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)\Gamma(n-a-b+1)}} \times \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(a+b+g)\Gamma(n-a+g)\Gamma(n-b+g)\Gamma(g)}{\Gamma(n+g)\Gamma(a+g)\Gamma(b+g)\Gamma(n-a-b+g)}}, \quad (175)$$

$$C_{n-a,n-b} = \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{b-1} \lambda_j}{\prod_{i=0}^{b-1} \lambda_{a+i}}\right)^2 C_{a,b}, \quad a+b \leq n \quad (176)$$

where reflection condition (147) should also be satisfied for the normalization constants λ_a . It's easy to verify that $\Delta_3(a,b,c) = 2p = 4$ doesn't result in any new constraints on free parameters $\{g; \lambda_a | a = 1, \dots, [\frac{n-1}{2}]\}$. Therefore the above are all conditions on this $Z_n|Z_n$ series of vertex algebra.

Using the equivalence transformation (155), we can change the normalization constants to $\lambda_a = 1$. So only different g in the structure constants give rise to different FQH states. All those different FQH states have that same pattern of zeros, and we need an additional parameter g to completely characterize the FQH state. For the simple ideal Hamiltonian introduced in Ref. 11,12, all those different FQH states have a zero energy. In Ref. 19, additional terms are introduced in the Hamiltonian so that only the $Z_3|Z_3$ state with a particular g can be the zero energy states.

F. Quasiparticles in the $Z_2|Z_2$ state

The $Z_2|Z_2$ state is described by the following pattern of zeros:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 2: \quad c = 1 \quad (Z_2|Z_2 \text{ state}) \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{4; 1\} \\ \{n_0 \dots n_{m-1}\} = \{2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (177)$$

Here we have $n = 2$, $p = 2$, $M_1 = 0$ and thus $h_1^{\text{sc}} = 1$. Since $\Delta_3(1, 1, 1) = 4$ we have according to section VB 4:

$$c = 1 \quad (178)$$

There is no free parameter in such a Z_2 simple current vertex algebra.

Now let's turn to the quasiparticles of this state. There are three families of different quasiparticles, and we will discuss them one by one.

I	I_{na}	$n_{\gamma;0..m-1}$	$nk_{\gamma;1..n}^{\text{sc}}$	Q	$h^{\text{sc}} + h^{\text{ga}}$
0	0_{na}	2 0 0 0	2 -2	0	$0 + 0$
1	0_{na}	0 2 0 0	2 -2	$1/2$	$0 + \frac{1}{4}$
2	0_{na}	0 0 2 0	2 -2	1	$0 + 1$
3	0_{na}	0 0 0 2	2 -2	$3/2$	$0 + \frac{9}{4}$
4	1_{na}	1 1 0 0	1 -1	$1/4$	$\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16}$
5	1_{na}	0 1 1 0	1 -1	$3/4$	$\frac{1}{16} + \frac{9}{16}$
6	1_{na}	0 0 1 1	1 -1	$5/4$	$\frac{1}{16} + \frac{25}{16}$
7	1_{na}	1 0 0 1	-1 1	$3/4$	$\frac{9}{16} + \frac{9}{16}$
8	2_{na}	1 0 1 0	0 0	$1/2$	$\eta + \frac{1}{4}$
9	2_{na}	0 1 0 1	0 0	1	$\eta + 1$

TABLE III: The pattern of zeros and the charges Q for the quasiparticles in the $Z_2|Z_2$ parafermion state. The quasiparticles are labeled by the index I . The scaling dimensions of the quasiparticle operators are given by $h_\gamma = h^{\text{sc}} + h^{\text{ga}}$, where $\eta = C_{1,\gamma+1}/2$ is a free real parameter. Note the index $I = 8, 9$ each actually corresponds to a class of quasiparticles parameterized by a continuous parameter η .

The 1st family has $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\} = \{1, -1; 0\}$ as its representative. With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = 0$ and $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 1) = 4$ we have

$$h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = 0, \quad \partial\sigma_\gamma \equiv 0, \quad C_{1,\gamma+1} = 1 \quad (179)$$

indicating this quasiparticle is trivial. We also have $h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} + k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}} = 1$ from eqn. (107).

The 2nd family is represented by $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\} = \{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{4}\}$. With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = 0$ and $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 1) = 3$, we find that (see section VID 3)

$$h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = 1/16, \quad h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = 9/16, \quad C_{1,\gamma+1} = 1/2 \quad (180)$$

This is a nontrivial quasiparticle, resembling the one in an Ising vertex algebra, except for the charge being $Q_\gamma = 1/4$ rather than $1/2$ in the Ising case.

The 3rd family is represented by $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\} = \{0, 0; \frac{1}{2}\}$. With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = \Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 1) = 2$, we find that (see section VID 3)

$$h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = C_{1,\gamma+1}/2. \quad (181)$$

Remember that the quasiparticles are described by the data $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma; C_{a,\gamma+b}\}$. For the first two family of the quasiparticles, the quasiparticle structure constants $C_{a,\gamma+b}$ are uniquely determined by the quasiparticle pattern of zeros $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\}$. In this case, a pattern of zeros correspond to a single type of quasiparticle. For the 3rd family, the pattern of zeros does not fix $C_{a,\gamma+b}$. Therefore the quasiparticles in the third family are labeled by the pattern of zeros $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\}$ and a free parameter $\eta = C_{1,\gamma+1}/2$. So there are infinite types of quasiparticles in the 3rd family. The energy gap for such kind of quasiparticles must vanish at least in the $\eta \rightarrow 0$ limit.

We want to mention here that even introducing subleading order OPE terms, $[\psi_a \sigma_{\gamma+b}]_{\alpha_a, \gamma+b-1} = C_{a,\gamma+b} d_{a,\gamma+b} \partial\sigma_{\gamma+a+b}$, like we did in Appendix F cannot

fix this free parameter here. There are indeed infinite types of quasiparticles in the $Z_2|Z_2$ simple-current FQH state. This suggests that the $Z_2|Z_2$ simple-current FQH state is gapless for the ideal Hamiltonian introduced in Ref. 11.

Using the method in Ref. 13, we obtain the full fusion algebra between the quasiparticles (expressed in terms of the index I in table III):

$$\begin{array}{lll}
0 \times 0 = 0 & 0 \times 1 = 1 & 0 \times 2 = 2 \\
0 \times 3 = 3 & 0 \times 4 = 4 & 0 \times 5 = 5 \\
0 \times 6 = 6 & 0 \times 7 = 7 & 0 \times 8 = 8 \\
0 \times 9 = 9 & 1 \times 1 = 2 & 1 \times 2 = 3 \\
1 \times 3 = 0 & 1 \times 4 = 5 & 1 \times 5 = 6 \\
1 \times 6 = 7 & 1 \times 7 = 4 & 1 \times 8 = 9 \\
1 \times 9 = 8 & 2 \times 2 = 0 & 2 \times 3 = 1 \\
2 \times 4 = 6 & 2 \times 5 = 7 & 2 \times 6 = 4 \\
2 \times 7 = 5 & 2 \times 8 = 8 & 2 \times 9 = 9 \\
3 \times 3 = 2 & 3 \times 4 = 7 & 3 \times 5 = 4 \\
3 \times 6 = 5 & 3 \times 7 = 6 & 3 \times 8 = 9 \\
3 \times 9 = 8 & 4 \times 4 = 1 + 8 & 4 \times 5 = 2 + 9 \\
4 \times 6 = 3 + 8 & 4 \times 7 = 0 + 9 & 4 \times 8 = 5 + 7 \\
4 \times 9 = 4 + 6 & 5 \times 5 = 3 + 8 & 5 \times 6 = 0 + 9 \\
5 \times 7 = 1 + 8 & 5 \times 8 = 4 + 6 & 5 \times 9 = 5 + 7 \\
6 \times 6 = 1 + 8 & 6 \times 7 = 2 + 9 & 6 \times 8 = 5 + 7 \\
6 \times 9 = 4 + 6 & 7 \times 7 = 3 + 8 & 7 \times 8 = 4 + 6 \\
7 \times 9 = 5 + 7 & 8 \times 8 = 0 + 2 + 9 & 8 \times 9 = 1 + 3 + 8 \\
& 9 \times 9 = 0 + 2 + 9 &
\end{array} \quad (182)$$

Here index $I = 8$ or $I = 9$ does not correspond to a single quasiparticle. They each actually corresponds to a class of quasiparticles parameterized by a continuous parameter η . We can use $(8, \eta)$ and $(9, \eta)$ to uniquely label those quasiparticles. Thus, for example, the fusion rule $8 \times 9 = 1 + 3 + 8$ should be interpreted as $(8, \eta) \times (9, \eta') = 1 + 3 + (8, \eta'')$, for some $\eta, \eta',$ and η'' .

The fusion algebra between the non-Abelian classes of quasiparticles is

$$\begin{array}{ll}
0_{\text{na}} \times 0_{\text{na}} = 0_{\text{na}} & 0_{\text{na}} \times 1_{\text{na}} = 1_{\text{na}} \\
0_{\text{na}} \times 2_{\text{na}} = 2_{\text{na}} & 1_{\text{na}} \times 1_{\text{na}} = 0_{\text{na}} + 2_{\text{na}} \\
1_{\text{na}} \times 2_{\text{na}} = 1_{\text{na}} + 1_{\text{na}} & 2_{\text{na}} \times 2_{\text{na}} = 0_{\text{na}} + 0_{\text{na}} + 2_{\text{na}}
\end{array} \quad (183)$$

where the relation between I and I_{na} is given in table III.

G. Quasiparticles in the $Z_3|Z_3$ state

The $Z_3|Z_3$ state is described by the following pattern of zeros:

$$\begin{aligned}
n = 3: \quad & (Z_3|Z_3 \text{ state}) \\
& \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{4; \frac{4}{3} \frac{4}{3}\} \\
& \{n_0 \dots n_{m-1}\} = \{3 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0\}.
\end{aligned} \quad (184)$$

Here we have $n = 3$, $p = 2$, $M_1 = M_2 = 0$ and thus $h_1^{\text{sc}} = h_2^{\text{sc}} = \frac{4}{3}$. As shown in section VII E we have

$$C_{1,1}C_{2,2} = \frac{4}{9}\left(\frac{8}{c} - 1\right) \quad (185)$$

as the only extra consistent condition of this vertex algebra from useful GJI's. We can use two free parameters $\{c, \lambda\}$ to express the structure constants:

$$C_{1,1} = \lambda, \quad C_{2,2} = \frac{4}{9\lambda}\left(\frac{8}{c} - 1\right). \quad (186)$$

However, using the equivalence transformation (see eqn. (155))

$$\psi_1 \rightarrow \chi\psi_1, \quad \psi_2 \rightarrow \chi^{-1}\psi_2, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \lambda/\chi^3, \quad (187)$$

we can set $\lambda = 1$. So the infinite $Z_3|Z_3$ simple-current vertex algebras are parameterized by only a single real number c .

There are 5 classes of non-Abelian quasiparticles as shown in TABLE IV. We shall study these 5 classes one by one in this section. The 1st class is the trivial one, represented by the data

$$\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\} = \{\frac{4}{3}, 0, -\frac{4}{3}; 0\}. \quad (188)$$

With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = \Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 1) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma + 2) = 0$ we have for the structure constants:

$$C_{1,\gamma+1} = C_{1,1}, \quad C_{2,\gamma+2} = C_{2,2}, \quad C_{1,\gamma+2} = C_{2,\gamma+1}. \quad (189)$$

Then with $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma) = 0$ we have

$$C_{1,\gamma+2} = C_{2,\gamma+1} = 1, \quad h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = 0, \quad \partial\sigma_\gamma = 0. \quad (190)$$

which dictates that this is a trivial quasiparticle, proportional to the identity operator.

The 2nd class is represented by the data

$$\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\} = \{1, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}; \frac{1}{4}\}. \quad (191)$$

With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma + 2) = 0$ and $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 1) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma) = 1$ we have for the structure constants:

$$C_{1,\gamma+1} = C_{1,1}, \quad C_{2,\gamma+2} = C_{2,2}/2, \quad C_{2,\gamma+1} = 2C_{1,\gamma+2}. \quad (192)$$

Then with $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma) = 1$ and $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma + 2) = 3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{1,\gamma+2} &= \frac{1}{3}, \quad C_{2,\gamma+1} = \frac{2}{3}, \\
h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} &= \frac{c}{24}, \quad h_{\gamma+2}^{\text{sc}} = c \frac{1 + 3C_{1,\gamma+1}C_{2,\gamma+2}}{8} = \frac{c}{24} + \frac{2}{3}.
\end{aligned} \quad (193)$$

after using the structure constants (186). The above results from GJI's are consistent with (107).

The 3rd class is represented by the data

$$\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\} = \{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -1; \frac{1}{2}\}. \quad (194)$$

With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma + 2) = 1$ and $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 1) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma) = 0$ we have for the structure constants:

$$C_{1,\gamma+1} = C_{1,1}/2, \quad C_{2,\gamma+2} = C_{2,2}, \quad C_{1,\gamma+2} = 2C_{2,\gamma+1}. \quad (195)$$

Then with $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma) = 1$ and $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma + 1) = 3$ we have

$$C_{1,\gamma+2} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad C_{2,\gamma+1} = \frac{1}{3},$$

$$h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = \frac{c}{24}, \quad h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{c}{24} + \frac{2}{3}. \quad (196)$$

where we have used (186) in calculating $h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}}$ as well.

The 4th class is represented by the data

$$\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\} = \{\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 0; \frac{1}{2}\}. \quad (197)$$

With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma + 2) = 0$ we have for the structure constants:

$$C_{1,\gamma+1} = C_{1,1}, \quad C_{2,\gamma+2}C_{2,\gamma+1} = C_{2,2}C_{1,\gamma+2}. \quad (198)$$

Then with $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma) = \Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma + 2) = 2$ we have the following consistent conditions:

$$C_{2,\gamma+1} = C_{1,\gamma+2} + \frac{1}{3} = C_{1,\gamma+1}C_{2,\gamma+2}$$

$$h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+2}^{\text{sc}} = -\frac{c}{12} + \frac{3c}{8}C_{2,\gamma+1} \quad (199)$$

Solving the above nonlinear equations gives us the structure constants and quasiparticle scaling dimensions:

$$C_{1,\gamma+1} = C_{1,1}, \quad C_{1,\gamma+2} = C_{2,\gamma+1} - \frac{1}{3},$$

$$C_{2,\gamma+1} = -\frac{2}{9} + \frac{16}{9c} \pm \frac{4\sqrt{(c-2)(c-8)}}{9c},$$

$$C_{2,\gamma+2} = C_{2,2}(C_{2,\gamma+1} - \frac{1}{3})/C_{2,\gamma+1},$$

$$h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+2}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{c}{6} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(c-2)(c-8)}}{6} \equiv \eta_\pm \quad (200)$$

$\pm$ corresponds to two different branches of solutions. Here $c \leq 2$ or $c \geq 8$ is required to guarantee the scaling dimension h_γ^{sc} to be a real number.

For a $Z_3|Z_3$ simple-current algebra described by a fixed c and a quasiparticle pattern of zeros indexed by $I = 12, 13, 14$, or 15 , there are two sets of quasiparticle structure constants that satisfy all the consistent conditions for the GJI. This implies that the index $I = 12, 13, 14, 15$ in the table IV each actually corresponds to two types of quasiparticles parameterized by the two sets of structure constants. Those quasiparticles are uniquely labeled by $(I, +)$ and $(I, -)$, $I = 12, 13, 14, 15$. When $c = 2$ or

I	I_{na}	$n_{\gamma;0..m-1}$	$nk_{\gamma;1..n}^{\text{sc}}$	Q	$h^{\text{sc}} + h^{\text{ga}}$
0	0 _{na}	3 0 0 0	4 0 -4	0	0 + 0
1	0 _{na}	0 3 0 0	4 0 -4	3/4	0 + $\frac{3}{8}$
2	0 _{na}	0 0 3 0	4 0 -4	3/2	0 + $\frac{3}{2}$
3	0 _{na}	0 0 0 3	4 0 -4	9/4	0 + $\frac{27}{8}$
4	1 _{na}	2 1 0 0	3 -1 -2	1/4	$\frac{c}{24} + \frac{1}{24}$
5	1 _{na}	0 2 1 0	3 -1 -2	1	$\frac{c}{24} + \frac{2}{3}$
6	1 _{na}	0 0 2 1	3 -1 -2	7/4	$\frac{c}{24} + \frac{49}{24}$
7	1 _{na}	1 0 0 2	-2 3 -1	3/2	$(\frac{c}{24} + \frac{2}{3}) + \frac{3}{2}$
8	2 _{na}	1 2 0 0	2 1 -3	1/2	$\frac{c}{24} + \frac{1}{6}$
9	2 _{na}	0 1 2 0	2 1 -3	5/4	$\frac{c}{24} + \frac{25}{24}$
10	2 _{na}	0 0 1 2	2 1 -3	2	$\frac{c}{24} + \frac{8}{3}$
11	2 _{na}	2 0 0 1	1 -3 2	3/4	$(\frac{c}{24} + \frac{2}{3}) + \frac{3}{8}$
12	3 _{na}	2 0 1 0	2 -2 0	1/2	$\eta_\pm + \frac{1}{6}$
13	3 _{na}	0 2 0 1	2 -2 0	5/4	$\eta_\pm + \frac{25}{24}$
14	3 _{na}	1 0 2 0	0 2 -2	1	$\eta_\pm + \frac{2}{3}$
15	3 _{na}	0 1 0 2	0 2 -2	7/4	$\eta_\pm + \frac{49}{24}$
16	4 _{na}	1 1 1 0	1 0 -1	3/4	$\eta + \frac{3}{8}$
17	4 _{na}	0 1 1 1	1 0 -1	3/2	$\eta + \frac{3}{2}$
18	4 _{na}	1 0 1 1	-1 1 0	5/4	$(\eta + \frac{1}{3}) + \frac{25}{24}$
19	4 _{na}	1 1 0 1	0 -1 1	1	$(\eta + \frac{1}{3}) + \frac{2}{3}$

TABLE IV: The pattern of zeros and the charges Q for the quasiparticles in the $Z_3|Z_3$ parafermion state parameterized by $\{c, \lambda\}$. Note that the quasiparticle quantum numbers do not depend on the second parameter λ . The quasiparticles are labeled by the index I . The scaling dimensions of the quasiparticle operators are sums of the contributions from the simple-current vertex algebra and the Gaussian model: $h_\gamma = h^{\text{sc}} + h^{\text{ga}}$, where $\eta_\pm$ is given by eqn. (200). Note the index $I = 16, 17, 18, 19$ each actually corresponds to a class of quasiparticles parameterized by a continuous parameter η . Similarly the index $I = 12, 13, 14, 15$ each corresponds to two types of quasiparticles parameterized by $\pm$.

$c = 8$, then there is only one type of quasiparticle for each $I = 12, 13, 14, 15$.

The 5th class is represented by the data

$$\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\} = \{\frac{1}{3}, 0, -\frac{1}{3}; \frac{3}{4}\}. \quad (201)$$

With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = \Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 1) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma + 2) = 1$ we have for the structure constants:

$$C_{1,\gamma+1} = C_{1,1}/2, \quad C_{2,\gamma+2} = C_{2,2}/2, \quad C_{1,\gamma+2} = C_{2,\gamma+1}. \quad (202)$$

Then with $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma) = 2$ and $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma + 1) = \Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma + 2) = 3$ we have

$$h_\gamma^{\text{sc}} = \frac{3c}{8}C_{1,\gamma+2} \equiv \eta,$$

$$h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+2}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{3c}{8}(C_{1,\gamma+2} + C_{1,\gamma+1}C_{2,\gamma+2}) + \frac{c}{24}$$

$$= \frac{3c}{8}C_{1,\gamma+2} + \frac{1}{3}. \quad (203)$$

where we have used (186). Just like the $Z_2|Z_2$ states, there are infinite sets of quasiparticles structure constants that satisfy the consistent conditions. Those sets of structure constants is parameterized by a single real number $\eta = \frac{3c}{8}C_{1,\gamma+2} = \frac{3c}{8}C_{2,\gamma+1}$. This implies that the index $I=16, 17, 18, 19$ in table IV each corresponds to a class of quasiparticles parameterized by a continuous parameter η . Those quasiparticles are uniquely labeled by (I, η) , $I=16, 17, 18, 19$. We see that there are infinite types of quasiparticles in the $Z_3|Z_3$ state, suggesting that the $Z_3|Z_3$ state is gapless for the ideal Hamiltonian introduced in Ref. 11,19.

H. The $Z_2|Z_2|Z_2$ state

This Z_2 simple-current state is described by the pattern of zeros:

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 2 : \quad (Z_2|Z_2|Z_2 \text{ state}) \\ \{m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} &= \{6; 3/2\} \\ \{p; M_1 \dots M_{n-1}\} &= \{3; 0\} \\ \{n_0 \dots n_{m-1}\} &= \{2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (204)$$

Since there are no structure constants for a Z_2 vertex algebra after choosing the proper normalization, the only free parameter in this simple-current vertex algebra is the central charge c . However, since $\Delta_3(1, 1, 1) = 6$ in this case, consistent conditions from GJI's cannot fix the central charge according to section VB4.

Explicit calculations of simple currents correlation functions suggest that the electron wave functions uniquely depends on the central charge c . We like to stress that the $Z_2|Z_2|Z_2$ state provides an interesting example that the vertex algebra is not determined by the structure constants C_{ab} of the leading terms, but by a structure constant of a subleading term.

In table V, we list 21 distinct quasiparticle patterns of zeros which give rise to at least 21 different quasiparticles. Those quasiparticles group into 4 classes of non-Abelian quasiparticles.

I. Gaffnian: a non unitary Z_4 example

A Z_4 solution $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{6; \frac{3}{4}, 0, \frac{3}{4}\}$ is called Gaffnian in literature³². It has the following commutation factors:

$$\mu_{1,2} = \mu_{1,3} = \mu_{2,3} = -1 \quad (205)$$

Therefore it is a generalized Z_4 simple-current vertex algebra.

With $\Delta_3(2, 2, 2) = 0$ we know from section VB4 that

$$\partial\psi_2 \equiv 0 \quad (206)$$

I	I_{na}	$n_{\gamma;0\dots m-1}$	$nk_{\gamma;1\dots n}^{\text{sc}}$	Q
0	0 _{na}	2 0 0 0 0 0	3 -3	0
1	0 _{na}	0 2 0 0 0 0	3 -3	1/3
2	0 _{na}	0 0 2 0 0 0	3 -3	2/3
3	0 _{na}	0 0 0 2 0 0	3 -3	1
4	0 _{na}	0 0 0 0 2 0	3 -3	4/3
5	0 _{na}	0 0 0 0 0 2	3 -3	5/3
6	1 _{na}	1 1 0 0 0 0	2 -2	1/6
7	1 _{na}	0 1 1 0 0 0	2 -2	1/2
8	1 _{na}	0 0 1 1 0 0	2 -2	5/6
9	1 _{na}	0 0 0 1 1 0	2 -2	7/6
10	1 _{na}	0 0 0 0 1 1	2 -2	3/2
11	1 _{na}	1 0 0 0 0 1	-2 2	5/6
12	2 _{na}	1 0 1 0 0 0	1 -1	1/3
13	2 _{na}	0 1 0 1 0 0	1 -1	2/3
14	2 _{na}	0 0 1 0 1 0	1 -1	1
15	2 _{na}	0 0 0 1 0 1	1 -1	4/3
16	2 _{na}	1 0 0 0 1 0	-1 1	2/3
17	2 _{na}	0 1 0 0 0 1	-1 1	1
18	3 _{na}	1 0 0 1 0 0	0 0	1/2
19	3 _{na}	0 1 0 0 1 0	0 0	5/6
20	3 _{na}	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0	7/6

TABLE V: The pattern of zeros and the charges Q for the quasiparticles in the $Z_2|Z_2|Z_2$ state. The quasiparticles are labeled by the index I .

Since $\Delta_3(1, 1, 2) = \Delta_3(2, 3, 3) = 0$ we know from section VB1 that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1,1} &= C_{1,2} = -C_{2,1} \\ C_{3,3} &= C_{2,3} = -C_{3,2} \\ C_{3,1} &= -1, \quad C_{1,3} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (207)$$

With $\Delta_3(1, 1, 3) = \Delta_3(1, 3, 3) = 3$ we know from section VB2 that

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{-2(h_1^{\text{sc}})^2}{(2h_1^{\text{sc}} - 3)(h_1^{\text{sc}} - 2)} = -\frac{3}{5} \\ C_{1,1}C_{2,3} &= C_{3,3}C_{1,2} = -1 \end{aligned} \quad (208)$$

Other useful GJI's with $\Delta_3(1, 2, 3) = \Delta_3(2, 2, 3) = \Delta_3(1, 2, 2) = 0$ in section VB2 and $\Delta_3(1, 1, 1) = \Delta_3(3, 3, 3) = 3$ in section VB1 don't produce any new conditions. Further calculations show that even introducing the subleading order OPE (F1) and applying new conditions in Appendix F wouldn't not supply any extra conditions. In summary we have

$$\begin{aligned} c &= -\frac{3}{5}, \quad \partial\psi_2 \equiv 0 \\ C_{1,1} &= C_{1,2} = -C_{2,1} = \lambda \neq 0 \\ C_{3,3} &= C_{2,3} = -C_{3,2} = -\lambda^{-1} \\ C_{1,3} &= 1, \quad C_{3,1} = -1 \end{aligned} \quad (209)$$

I	I_{na}	$n_{\gamma;0..m-1}$	$nk_{\gamma;1..n}^{\text{sc}}$	Q	$h^{\text{sc}} + h^{\text{ga}}$
0	0_{na}	2 0 0 2 0 0	3 -3 3 -3	0	0 + 0
1	0_{na}	0 2 0 0 2 0	3 -3 3 -3	2/3	0 + $\frac{1}{3}$
2	0_{na}	0 0 2 0 0 2	3 -3 3 -3	4/3	0 + $\frac{4}{3}$
3	1_{na}	1 1 0 1 1 0	1 -1 1 -1	1/3	$-\frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{12}$
4	1_{na}	0 1 1 0 1 1	1 -1 1 -1	1	$-\frac{1}{20} + \frac{3}{4}$
5	1_{na}	1 0 1 1 0 1	-1 1 -1 1	2/3	$\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{3}$

TABLE VI: The pattern of zeros and the charges Q for the quasiparticles in the Gaffnian state. The quasiparticles are labeled by the index I . The scaling dimensions of the quasiparticle operators are sums of the contributions from the simple-current vertex algebra and the Gaussian model: $h_{\gamma} = h^{\text{sc}} + h^{\text{ga}}$.

for this Z_4 simple-current vertex algebra, which corresponds to the Gaffnian wave function. Using the equivalence transformation (see eqn. (155))

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3) \rightarrow (\chi\psi_1, \psi_2, \chi^{-1}\psi_3), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \lambda\chi^{-2} \quad (210)$$

we can set $\lambda = 1$. So there is only a single Gaffnian wave function.

Gaffnian state $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{6; \frac{3}{4}, 0, \frac{3}{4}\}$ has two families of different quasiparticles according to conditions (97) and (98) (see table VI). The 1st family has the following representative: $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_{\gamma}\} = \{\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, -\frac{3}{4}; 0\}$. With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = \Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 2) = \Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma + a) = \Delta_3(2, 3, \gamma + a) = \Delta_3(3, 3, \gamma) = \Delta_3(3, 3, \gamma + 2) = 0$ we obtain all the structure constants from section VID 2 or Appendix F 2 f

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1,\gamma+1} &= C_{1,\gamma+2} = -C_{2,\gamma+1} = \lambda, \\ C_{1,\gamma+3} &= C_{2,\gamma+2} = -C_{3,\gamma+1} = 1, \\ C_{2,\gamma+3} &= C_{3,\gamma+3} = -C_{3,\gamma+2} = -\lambda^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (211)$$

With $\Delta_3(1, 3, \gamma) = 1 = \Delta_3(1, 3, \gamma + 2)$, $\Delta_3(1, 3, \gamma + 1) = \Delta_3(1, 3, \gamma + 3) = 2$ and $\Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma + a) = 0$ we have the quasiparticle scaling dimensions from section VID 3

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\gamma}^{\text{sc}} &= h_{\gamma+2}^{\text{sc}} = 0, \quad h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+3}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{3}{4}, \\ \partial\sigma_{\gamma} &= \partial\sigma_{\gamma+2} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (212)$$

Since $C_{a,b} = C_{a,\gamma+b}$ and $\partial\sigma_{\gamma} = 0$ here, we know this quasiparticle σ_{γ} must be proportional to the identity operator 1.

The 2nd family has the following representative: $\{k_{\gamma;1}^{\text{sc}}, \dots, k_{\gamma;n}^{\text{sc}}; Q_{\gamma}\} = \{\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{3}\}$. With $\Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma) = \Delta_3(1, 1, \gamma + 2) = \Delta_3(3, 3, \gamma) = \Delta_3(3, 3, \gamma + 2) = 1$ and $\Delta_3(1, 2, \gamma + a) = \Delta_3(2, 3, \gamma + a) = 0$ we obtain all the structure constants from section VID 2 or Appendix F 2 f

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1,\gamma+1} &= \lambda/2, \quad C_{3,\gamma+3} = -\lambda^{-1}/2, \\ C_{1,\gamma+3} &= -C_{3,\gamma+1} = 1/2, \quad C_{2,\gamma+2} = 1, \\ C_{1,\gamma+2} &= -C_{2,\gamma+1} = \lambda, \\ C_{3,\gamma+2} &= -C_{2,\gamma+3} = \lambda^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (213)$$

With $\Delta_3(1, 3, \gamma) = \Delta_3(1, 3, \gamma + 2) = \Delta_3(2, 2, \gamma + a) = 0$ and $\Delta_3(1, 3, \gamma + 1) = \Delta_3(1, 3, \gamma + 3) = 3$ we have the quasiparticle scaling dimensions from section VID 3

$$h_{\gamma}^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+2}^{\text{sc}} = -\frac{1}{20}, \quad h_{\gamma+1}^{\text{sc}} = h_{\gamma+3}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{1}{5} \quad (214)$$

and the structure constants are consistent with all the useful GJT's. Apparently this quasiparticle is a nontrivial one.

Using the method in Ref. 13, we obtain the full fusion algebra between the quasiparticles (expressed in terms of the index I in table VI):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \times 0 &= 0 & 0 \times 1 &= 1 & 0 \times 2 &= 2 \\ 0 \times 3 &= 3 & 0 \times 4 &= 4 & 0 \times 5 &= 5 \\ 1 \times 1 &= 2 & 1 \times 2 &= 0 & 1 \times 3 &= 4 \\ 1 \times 4 &= 5 & 1 \times 5 &= 3 & 2 \times 2 &= 1 \\ 2 \times 3 &= 5 & 2 \times 4 &= 3 & 2 \times 5 &= 4 \\ 3 \times 3 &= 1 + 5 & 3 \times 4 &= 2 + 3 & 3 \times 5 &= 0 + 4 \\ 4 \times 4 &= 0 + 4 & 4 \times 5 &= 1 + 5 & 5 \times 5 &= 2 + 3 \end{aligned} \quad (215)$$

The fusion algebra between the non-Abelian classes of quasiparticles is

$$\begin{aligned} 0_{\text{na}} \times 0_{\text{na}} &= 0_{\text{na}} & 0_{\text{na}} \times 1_{\text{na}} &= 1_{\text{na}} \\ 1_{\text{na}} \times 1_{\text{na}} &= 0_{\text{na}} + 1_{\text{na}}. \end{aligned} \quad (216)$$

J. The $Z_4|Z_2$ state

This solution $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{6; \frac{5}{4}, 1, \frac{5}{4}\}$ is a direct product of a $n = 4$ Pfaffian state $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{4; \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ and a Z_4 parafermion state $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2; \frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}\}$.

In this case we have

$$p = 3, \quad M_1 = M_3 = 1, \quad M_2 = 2 \quad (217)$$

It's easy to verify that $\mu_{i,j} = 1$ and thus $C_{i,j} = C_{j,i}$.

From section VB 4 we see that $\Delta_3(2, 2, 2) = 4$ determines the central charge

$$c = 1 \quad (218)$$

Then with $\Delta_3(1, 1, 2) = \Delta_3(2, 3, 3) = 0$ we know from section VB 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1,1} &= C_{1,2} = C_{2,1} \\ C_{3,3} &= C_{2,3} = C_{3,2} \end{aligned} \quad (219)$$

$\Delta_3(1, 1, 3) = \Delta_3(1, 3, 3) = 4$ in section VB 3 and $\Delta_3(1, 2, 3) = \Delta_3(1, 2, 2) = \Delta_3(2, 2, 3) = 2$ in section VB 2 both lead to the following conclusions:

$$C_{1,1}C_{2,3} = C_{1,2}C_{3,3} = \frac{5}{2c} = -\frac{5}{8} + \frac{25}{8c} = \frac{5}{2} \quad (220)$$

Note that $\Delta_3(1, 1, 1) = \Delta_3(2, 2, 2) = 2$ doesn't bring us any new constraints. Further studies after introducing

I	I_{na}	$n_{\gamma;0..m-1}$	Q	I	I_{na}	$n_{\gamma;0..m-1}$	Q
0	0 _{na}	2 0 2 0 0 0	0	1	0 _{na}	0 2 0 2 0 0	$\frac{2}{3}$
2	0 _{na}	0 0 2 0 2 0	$\frac{4}{3}$	3	0 _{na}	0 0 0 2 0 2	2
4	0 _{na}	2 0 0 0 2 0	$\frac{2}{3}$	5	0 _{na}	0 2 0 0 0 2	$\frac{4}{3}$
6	1 _{na}	2 0 1 1 0 0	$\frac{1}{6}$	7	1 _{na}	0 2 0 1 1 0	$\frac{5}{6}$
8	1 _{na}	0 0 2 0 1 1	$\frac{2}{3}$	9	1 _{na}	1 0 0 2 0 1	$\frac{7}{6}$
10	1 _{na}	1 1 0 0 2 0	$\frac{5}{6}$	11	1 _{na}	0 1 1 0 0 2	$\frac{3}{2}$
12	2 _{na}	1 1 1 1 0 0	$\frac{1}{3}$	13	2 _{na}	0 1 1 1 1 0	1
14	2 _{na}	0 0 1 1 1 1	$\frac{5}{3}$	15	2 _{na}	1 0 0 1 1 1	$\frac{4}{3}$
16	2 _{na}	1 1 0 0 1 1	1	17	2 _{na}	1 1 1 0 0 1	$\frac{2}{3}$
18	3 _{na}	2 0 0 2 0 0	$\frac{1}{3}$	19	3 _{na}	0 2 0 0 2 0	1
20	3 _{na}	0 0 2 0 0 2	$\frac{5}{3}$				
21	4 _{na}	1 1 0 2 0 0	$\frac{1}{2}$	22	4 _{na}	0 1 1 0 2 0	$\frac{7}{6}$
23	4 _{na}	0 0 1 1 0 2	$\frac{11}{6}$	24	4 _{na}	2 0 0 1 1 0	$\frac{1}{2}$
25	4 _{na}	0 2 0 0 1 1	$\frac{7}{6}$	26	4 _{na}	1 0 2 0 0 1	$\frac{5}{6}$
27	5 _{na}	2 0 1 0 1 0	$\frac{1}{3}$	28	5 _{na}	0 2 0 1 0 1	1
29	5 _{na}	1 0 2 0 1 0	$\frac{2}{3}$	30	5 _{na}	0 1 0 2 0 1	$\frac{4}{3}$
31	5 _{na}	1 0 1 0 2 0	1	32	5 _{na}	0 1 0 1 0 2	$\frac{5}{3}$
33	6 _{na}	1 1 1 0 1 0	$\frac{1}{2}$	34	6 _{na}	0 1 1 1 0 1	$\frac{7}{6}$
35	6 _{na}	1 0 1 1 1 0	$\frac{5}{6}$	36	6 _{na}	0 1 0 1 1 1	$\frac{3}{2}$
37	6 _{na}	1 0 1 0 1 1	$\frac{7}{6}$	38	6 _{na}	1 1 0 1 0 1	$\frac{5}{6}$
39	7 _{na}	1 1 0 1 1 0	$\frac{2}{3}$	40	7 _{na}	0 1 1 0 1 1	$\frac{4}{3}$
41	7 _{na}	1 0 1 1 0 1	1				

TABLE VII: The pattern of zeros and the charges Q for the quasiparticles in the $Z_4|Z_2$ state. The quasiparticles are labeled by the index I .

subleading order OPE (F1) show that there are no new constraints on the structure constants, so we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned}
c &= 1, \quad C_{1,3} = C_{3,1} = 1 \\
C_{1,1} &= C_{1,2} = C_{2,1} = \lambda \neq 0 \\
C_{2,3} &= C_{3,3} = C_{3,2} = \frac{5}{2\lambda}
\end{aligned} \quad (221)$$

characterizes this Z_4 simple-current vertex algebra. Using the equivalence transformation (see eqn. (155))

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3) \rightarrow (\chi\psi_1, \psi_2, \chi^{-1}\psi_3), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \lambda\chi^{-2} \quad (222)$$

we can set $\lambda = 1$. So there is only a single $Z_4|Z_2$ simple-current vertex algebra which correspond to a single FQH wave function.

In table VII, we list 42 distinct quasiparticle patterns of zeros which give rise to at least 42 different quasiparticles. Those quasiparticles group into 8 classes of non-Abelian quasiparticles.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{K.} \quad C_n|C_n \text{ series with} \\
&\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2n; 2, \dots, 2\}
\end{aligned}$$

This corresponds to a series of FQH states with filling fraction $\nu = 1/2$ for bosonic electrons (and $\nu = 1/3$

I	I_{na}	$n_{\gamma;0..m-1}$	Q	I	I_{na}	$n_{\gamma;0..m-1}$	Q
0	0 _{na}	3 0 0 0 0 0	0	1	0 _{na}	0 3 0 0 0 0	1/2
2	0 _{na}	0 0 3 0 0 0	1	3	0 _{na}	0 0 0 3 0 0	3/2
4	0 _{na}	0 0 0 0 3 0	2	5	0 _{na}	0 0 0 0 0 3	5/2
6	1 _{na}	2 1 0 0 0 0	1/6	7	1 _{na}	0 2 1 0 0 0	2/3
8	1 _{na}	0 0 2 1 0 0	7/6	9	1 _{na}	0 0 0 2 1 0	5/3
10	1 _{na}	0 0 0 0 2 1	13/6	11	1 _{na}	1 0 0 0 0 2	5/3
12	2 _{na}	1 2 0 0 0 0	1/3	13	2 _{na}	0 1 2 0 0 0	5/6
14	2 _{na}	0 0 1 2 0 0	4/3	15	2 _{na}	0 0 0 1 2 0	11/6
16	2 _{na}	0 0 0 0 1 2	7/3	17	2 _{na}	2 0 0 0 0 1	5/6
18	3 _{na}	2 0 1 0 0 0	1/3	19	3 _{na}	0 2 0 1 0 0	5/6
20	3 _{na}	0 0 2 0 1 0	4/3	21	3 _{na}	0 0 0 2 0 1	11/6
22	3 _{na}	1 0 0 0 2 0	4/3	23	3 _{na}	0 1 0 0 0 2	11/6
24	4 _{na}	1 1 1 0 0 0	1/2	25	4 _{na}	0 1 1 1 0 0	1
26	4 _{na}	0 0 1 1 1 0	3/2	27	4 _{na}	0 0 0 1 1 1	2
28	4 _{na}	1 0 0 0 1 1	3/2	29	4 _{na}	1 1 0 0 0 1	1
30	5 _{na}	1 0 2 0 0 0	2/3	31	5 _{na}	0 1 0 2 0 0	7/6
32	5 _{na}	0 0 1 0 2 0	5/3	33	5 _{na}	0 0 0 1 0 2	13/6
34	5 _{na}	2 0 0 0 1 0	2/3	35	5 _{na}	0 2 0 0 0 1	7/6
36	6 _{na}	2 0 0 1 0 0	1/2	37	6 _{na}	0 2 0 0 1 0	1
38	6 _{na}	0 0 2 0 0 1	3/2	39	6 _{na}	1 0 0 2 0 0	1
40	6 _{na}	0 1 0 0 2 0	3/2	41	6 _{na}	0 0 1 0 0 2	2
42	7 _{na}	1 1 0 1 0 0	2/3	43	7 _{na}	0 1 1 0 1 0	7/6
44	7 _{na}	0 0 1 1 0 1	5/3	45	7 _{na}	1 0 0 1 1 0	7/6
46	7 _{na}	0 1 0 0 1 1	5/3	47	7 _{na}	1 0 1 0 0 1	7/6
48	8 _{na}	1 0 1 1 0 0	5/6	49	8 _{na}	0 1 0 1 1 0	4/3
50	8 _{na}	0 0 1 0 1 1	11/6	51	8 _{na}	1 0 0 1 0 1	4/3
52	8 _{na}	1 1 0 0 1 0	5/6	53	8 _{na}	0 1 1 0 0 1	4/3
54	9 _{na}	1 0 1 0 1 0	1	55	9 _{na}	0 1 0 1 0 1	3/2

TABLE VIII: The pattern of zeros and the charges Q for the quasiparticles in the $C_3|C_3$ state (which also the $Z_3|Z_3|Z_3$ state). The quasiparticles are labeled by the index I .

for fermionic electrons). A $C_4|C_4$ example is given in eqn. (130).

First, from eqn. (E8) we know that $\mu_{a,b} = 1$ for such a $C_n|C_n$ simple-current vertex algebra, since all the simple current scaling dimensions are even integers and so are all $\alpha_{a,b}$, $\forall a, b$. As a result we have

$$C_{a,b} = C_{b,a}, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (223)$$

It's straightforward to check that if we don't have the subleading term (F1) in OPE, this solution only has the following extra consistent conditions shown in section VB1 with $\Delta_3(a, b, -a - b) = 0$, $a, b, a + b \neq 0 \pmod n$:

$$C_{a,b} = C_{a,-a-b} = C_{b,-a-b} \quad (224)$$

which for sure can be satisfied for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now we introduce the subleading OPE term (F1) and the new consistent conditions in Appendix F to see whether they are satisfied for this vertex algebra. Note

that here we have

$$\alpha_{a,b} = \begin{cases} 2, & a+b \neq 0 \bmod n \\ 4, & a+b = 0 \bmod n \end{cases} \quad (225)$$

for any $a, b \neq 0 \bmod n$, and also $d_{a,b} = 1/2$, $a+b \neq 0 \bmod n$ from eqn. (F4).

Taking any integers $a, b, c \neq 0 \bmod n$, for this such a Z_n simple current vertex algebra we have:

$\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 2$ for $a+b, b+c, a+c, a+b+c \neq 0 \bmod n$, so according to Appendix F2a we have:

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} = C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} = C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} \quad (226)$$

Then all consistent conditions are satisfied without requiring that $\partial\psi_a = 0$.

$\Delta_3(a, b, c = -a-b) = 0$ for $a+b \neq 0 \bmod n$, so according to Appendix F2b we have:

$$C_{a,b} = C_{a,-a-b} = C_{b,-a-b} \quad (227)$$

$\Delta_3(a, b, c = -b) = 4$ for $a \pm b \neq 0 \bmod n$, so according to Appendix F2c we have:

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b} = C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b} = \frac{8}{c} \quad (228)$$

$\Delta_3(a, b = a, c = -a) = 6$ for $2a \neq 0 \bmod n$, so according to Appendix F2d we have:

$$d_{a,a} = 1/2 \quad (229)$$

which is consistent with eqn. (F4).

$\Delta_3(a = n/2, b = n/2, c = n/2) = 8$ for $n = \text{even}$, so according to section VB4 there are no extra consistent conditions.

In summary, this series of solutions $\{m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2n; 2, \dots, 2\}$ corresponds to a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra satisfying the following consistent conditions:

$$C_{a,b} = C_{b,a}, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad (230)$$

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} = C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} = C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c}, \quad (231)$$

$$\text{if } a+b, b+c, a+c \neq 0 \bmod n;$$

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b} = C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b} = \frac{8}{c}, \quad (232)$$

$$\text{if } a \pm b \neq 0 \bmod n;$$

By solving the above conditions in the similarly way as with the Z_n and the $Z_n|Z_n$ series, we find that

$$C_{a,b} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{a+b-1} \lambda_i}{\prod_{i=1}^{a-1} \lambda_i \prod_{j=1}^{b-1} \lambda_j} \sqrt{\frac{8}{c}}, \quad a+b < n \quad (233)$$

$$C_{n-a,n-b} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{a-1} \lambda_i \prod_{j=1}^{b-1} \lambda_j}{\prod_{i=1}^{a+b-1} \lambda_i} \sqrt{\frac{8}{c}}, \quad a+b < n \quad (234)$$

$$C_{a,n-a} = 1. \quad (235)$$

where nonzero complex parameters $\{\lambda_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$ satisfy the following constraint:

$$\lambda_{a-1} = \lambda_{n-a}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq n-1, \quad \lambda_0 = 1. \quad (236)$$

If we choose $\chi_a = \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} \lambda_i$, the equivalence transformation (155) will remove the λ_a dependent factors in the structure constants. We find that the $C_n|C_n$ series is characterized by the following data:

$$C_{a,b} = \sqrt{\frac{8}{c}}, \quad a+b < n \text{ or } a+b > n \quad (237)$$

$$C_{a,n-a} = 1. \quad (238)$$

Therefore this theory has one free parameters c if $n > 2$.

In table VIII, we list 56 distinct quasiparticle patterns of zeros which give rise to at least 56 different quasiparticles for the $C_3|C_3$ state (or $Z_3|Z_3|Z_3$ state). Those quasiparticles group into 10 classes of non-Abelian quasiparticles.

VIII. SUMMARY

The pattern-of-zeros is a powerful way to characterize FQH states.^{11,12,13} However, the pattern-of-zeros approach is not quite complete. It is known that some patterns of zeros do not uniquely describe the FQH states. As a result, we cannot obtain all the topological properties of FQH states from the data of pattern of zeros $\{n; m; S_a\}$.

In this paper, we combine the pattern-of-zero approach with the vertex algebra approach. We find that we can generalize the data of pattern of zeros $\{n; m; S_a\}$ to $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ to completely describe a FQH state, at least for the many examples discussed in this paper. Many consistent conditions on the new set of data $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ are obtained from the GJI of the simple-current vertex algebra. Those consistent conditions are sufficient: *ie* if the data $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$ satisfy those conditions, then the data will define a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra and a FQH wave function. Using the new characterization scheme and the Z_n simple-current vertex algebra, we can calculate quasiparticle scaling dimensions, fractional statistics, the central charge of the edge states, as well as many other properties, from the data $\{n; m; S_a; c\}$.

For example, for the Z_2 parafermion state characterized by pattern of zeros $\{n; m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2; 2; \frac{1}{2}\}$, we find the well known scaling dimensions (the non-Abelian part) $0, \frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{16}$ for the three kind of quasiparticles. For the $Z_2|Z_2$ state characterized by pattern of zeros $\{n; m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{2; 4; 1\}$, we find the scaling dimensions and the charges for all its quasiparticles (see table III). We find that the FQH state described by the $Z_2|Z_2$ simple-current vertex algebra contains infinite types of quasiparticles and two classes of them are parameterized by a real parameter. This indicates that the $Z_2|Z_2$ state is gapless for the ideal Hamiltonian introduced in Ref. 11.

We also studied the $Z_3|Z_3$ state described by the $Z_3|Z_3$ simple-current vertex algebra, with the pattern of zeros $\{n; m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{3; 4; \frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\}$. Such a state is also studied in Ref. 19. We show that the $Z_3|Z_3$ state cannot be completely characterized by the pattern-of-zeros data $\{n; m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\} = \{3; 4; \frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\}$. We need to add one more parameter c and use the expanded data $\{n; m; h_1^{\text{sc}} \dots h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}; c\} = \{3; 4; \frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; c\}$ to completely characterize the $Z_3|Z_3$ state. We find the scaling dimensions and the charges for all its quasiparticles (see table IV). Again there are infinite types of quasiparticles and four classes of them are parameterized by a real parameter. This again suggests that the $Z_3|Z_3$ state is gapless for the ideal Hamiltonian introduced in Ref. 11,19.

The study in this paper is based on the Z_n simple-current vertex algebra. But the Z_n simple-current vertex algebra makes some unnecessary assumptions. It is much more natural to study FQH state based on the more general Z_n vertex algebra. This will be a direction of future exploration.

Acknowledgments

YML is grateful to Boris Noyvert for many helpful discussions on the algebraic approach to conformal field theory. This research is supported by DOE Grant DE-FG02-99ER45747 (YML,ZQW), NSF Grant No. DMR-

0706078 (XGW), and by NSF Grant No. DMS-034772 (ZHW). XGW is also supported by Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research & Innovation.

APPENDIX A: OTHER WAYS TO LABEL THE PATTERN OF ZEROS

In section II, we have discussed two ways to label the pattern of zeros, one in terms of $\{n; m; S_a\}$ and the other in terms of $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$. In this section, we will introduce two other more efficient ways to label pattern of zeros. The new ways of labeling automatically satisfy more self consistent conditions.

1. Label the pattern of zeros by a set of non-negative integers $\{a_j\}$

Since $\Delta_3(a, b, c)$ in eqn. (29) is just a linear combination of the h_a^{sc} , there are only $n-1$ independent equations among all the possible n^3 choices of (i, j, k) in eqn. (22). A convenient choice would be $(i, j, k) = (1, 1, a)$, $a = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. These equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_3(1, 1, 1) &= (2h_1^{\text{sc}} - h_2^{\text{sc}}) + h_1^{\text{sc}} - 2h_2^{\text{sc}} + h_3^{\text{sc}} = a_1 \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\dots \\ \Delta_3(1, 1, j) &= (2h_1^{\text{sc}} - h_2^{\text{sc}}) + h_j^{\text{sc}} - 2h_{j+1}^{\text{sc}} + h_{j+2}^{\text{sc}} = a_j \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\dots \\ \Delta_3(1, 1, n-3) &= (2h_1^{\text{sc}} - h_2^{\text{sc}}) + h_{n-3}^{\text{sc}} - 2h_{n-2}^{\text{sc}} + h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}} = a_{n-3} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \Delta_3(1, 1, n-2) &= (2h_1^{\text{sc}} - h_2^{\text{sc}}) + h_{n-2}^{\text{sc}} - 2h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}} = a_{n-2} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \Delta_3(1, 1, n-1) &= (2h_1^{\text{sc}} - h_2^{\text{sc}}) + h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}} + h_1^{\text{sc}} = a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

Here we only used the $h_n^{\text{sc}} = h_0^{\text{sc}} = 0$ condition. Adding up these equations together we immediately obtain the following equation:

$$n(2h_1^{\text{sc}} - h_2^{\text{sc}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \quad (\text{A1})$$

By defining another vector $\{A_j\}$:

$$A_j = a_j - (2h_1^{\text{sc}} - h_2^{\text{sc}}) = a_j - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \quad (\text{A2})$$

we have a simple relation:

$$\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{\text{sc}} = \mathbf{A} \quad (\text{A3})$$

where $\mathbf{h}^{\text{sc}} = (h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}})^T$ and $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_{n-1})^T$ are column vectors and the matrix

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & & & & & \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(n-1) \times (n-1)} \quad (\text{A4})$$

It would be straightforward to check that the above matrix is not singular and its inverse equals

$$n \cdot \mathbf{X}_{i,j}^{-1} = \begin{cases} ij - jn & j < i \\ ij - (i-1)n & j \geq i \end{cases} \quad (\text{A5})$$

So we can express $(h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}})$ in terms of the $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$:

$$h_a^{\text{sc}} = \sum_{b=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{X}_{a,b}^{-1} A_b = \frac{a(n-a)}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j + \frac{a-n}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (j+1)a_j + \sum_{j=a}^{n-1} (j+1-a)a_j \quad (\text{A6})$$

Since the data $\{n; m; h_1^{\text{sc}}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{\text{sc}}\}$ and $\{n; m; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ have an one-to-one correspondence, we can also use $\{n; m; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ to label the pattern of zeros. The $\{n; m; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ labeling scheme is more efficient: once we choose non-negative integers $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$, we generate h_a^{sc} that already satisfy a part of eqn. (29).

From the reflection conditions (30) of $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$, we can obtain similar reflection conditions for a_j :

$$a_j = a_{n-2-j} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-3); \quad a_{n-2} = 0 \quad (\text{A7})$$

then the independent sequence of non-negative integers is actually $\{a_j, 1 \leq j \leq [\frac{n}{2}] - 1; a_{n-1}\}$, which contain $[\frac{n}{2}]$ integers. (We use $[x]$ to denote the biggest integer no larger than x .) The $\{a_j\}$ label of the patterns of zeros provides us an efficient way to numerically find the solutions of eqn. (25), eqn. (27), eqn. (28), and eqn. (29).

2. Label patterns of zeros by $\{M_k; p; m\}$

a. The $\{M_k; p; m\}$ labeling scheme

Using reflection conditions (A7) we can define:

$$p \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$= \frac{a_{n-1}}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]-2} a_j + \begin{cases} a_{[\frac{n}{2}]-1}, & n = \text{odd} \\ a_{[\frac{n}{2}]-1}/2, & n = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

and

$$M_k \equiv \frac{n-k}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (j+1)a_j - \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} (j+1-k)a_j \quad (\text{A9})$$

It's easy to verify the following reflection condition for $\{M_k\}$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]$:

$$M_k = M_{n-k} = \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} (j+1)a_j + k \sum_{j=k-1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]-2} a_j + k \cdot \begin{cases} a_{[\frac{n}{2}]-1}, & n = \text{odd} \\ a_{[\frac{n}{2}]-1}/2, & n = \text{even} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A10})$$

and another important relation

$$M_2 = 2M_1 \quad (\text{A11})$$

Then we can express $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ in terms of this new set of independent variables $\{M_k, k = 1, 3, 4, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]; p\}$ (also $[\frac{n}{2}]$ -dimensional)

$$h_a^{\text{sc}} = p \frac{a(n-a)}{n} - M_a \quad (\text{A12})$$

From definitions we see that both p and $\{M_k, k = \text{odd}\}$ can be half integers, while $\{M_k, k = \text{even}\}$ must be integers. When $n = \text{odd}$, $\{M_k, k = \text{odd}\}$ must be integers too. In fact, the simplest parafermion vertex algebra³³ (which describes the Z_n parafermion states¹⁷ in a FQH context) corresponds to the case in which $p = 1$, $M_k = 0$.

Certainly, not all possible choices of $\{M_k; p; m\}$ correspond to valid patterns of zeros. Only those that satisfy the conditions eqn. (27), eqn. (28), and eqn. (29) are valid. But $\{M_k; p; m\}$ labeling scheme is an efficient way to generate the valid patterns of zeros.

Now we have two $[\frac{n}{2}]$ -dimensional vectors describing the $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$: $\{a_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}] - 1; a_{n-1}\}$ and $\{M_k, k = 1, 3, 4, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]; p\}$. The latter is expressed in terms of the former in eqn. (A8) and eqn. (A10). Conversely, we can express the former in terms of the latter in the following way

$$a_j = -M_j + 2M_{j+1} - M_{j+2} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}] - 2$$

$$a_{[\frac{n}{2}]-1} = (M_{[\frac{n}{2}]} - M_{[\frac{n}{2}]-1}) \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & n = \text{odd} \\ 2, & n = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

$$a_{n-1} = 2p - 2M_1 \quad (\text{A13})$$

The $\{M_k; p; m\}$ label of the pattern of zeros has a close tie to simple parafermion CFT.

b. Consistent conditions on $\{M_k; p; m\}$

Now we use this new labeling scheme in (A12) to see what are the constraints on $\{M_k; p; m\}$, from all the consistent conditions (27), (28) and (29) on $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}; m\}$.

At first, with $M_2 = 2M_1$ (27) leads to

$$S_2 = D_{1,1} = \frac{m-2p}{n} = \text{even} \quad (\text{A14})$$

therefore we have

$$m = 2p + 2nk_m, \quad k_m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (\text{A15})$$

This determines the electron filling fraction $\nu_e = (1 + m/n)^{-1} = \frac{n}{2p+(2k_m+1)n}$.

To guarantee $mn = \text{even}$ with (A15) we have another condition on p :

$$p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{if } n = \text{odd}. \quad (\text{A16})$$

Since $S_a = \sum_{i=2}^{a-1} D_{i,1}$, (28) naturally guarantees $S_a \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover we have $D_{a+n,b} = D_{a,b} + mb$, thus we only need to satisfy the following conditions for (28):

$$D_{a,b} = \begin{cases} M_a + M_b - M_{a+b} + 2k_m ab, & 0 \leq a, b \leq a+b \leq n \\ M_a + M_b - M_{a+b} + 2k_m ab + 2p(a+b-n), & 0 < a, b \leq n < a+b \end{cases} \in \mathbb{N} \quad (\text{A17})$$

Since $\Delta_3(i, j, k)$, $0 \leq i \leq j \leq k < n$ should be non-negative integers, M_k and p satisfy some additional conditions as shown in (29):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_3(i, j, k) &= h_i^{\text{sc}} + h_j^{\text{sc}} + h_k^{\text{sc}} + h_{i+j+k}^{\text{sc}} - h_{i+j}^{\text{sc}} - h_{i+k}^{\text{sc}} - h_{j+k}^{\text{sc}} \\ &= \begin{cases} -\Delta M[i, j, k] & i+j+k \leq n \\ -\Delta M[i, j, k] + 2p(i+j+k-n) & j+k \leq n < i+j+k \leq 2n \\ -\Delta M[i, j, k] + 2pi & i+k \leq n < j+k \leq i+j+k \leq 2n \\ -\Delta M[i, j, k] + 2p(n-k) & i+j \leq n < i+k \leq j+k \leq i+j+k \leq 2n \\ -\Delta M[i, j, k] + 2p(2n-i-j-k) & n < i+j \leq i+k \leq j+k \leq i+j+k \leq 2n \\ -\Delta M[i, j, k] & n < i+j \leq i+k \leq j+k \leq 2n < i+j+k \end{cases} \\ &\in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A18})$$

where we defined

$$\Delta M[i, j, k] = M_i + M_j + M_k + M_{(i+j+k \bmod n)} - M_{(i+j \bmod n)} - M_{(i+k \bmod n)} - M_{(j+k \bmod n)} \quad (\text{A19})$$

By partially solving the consistent conditions (27), (28) and (29) on $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}; m\}$, we obtain a finite set of conditions (A15)-(A18). They are the consistent conditions to be satisfied by the pattern of zeros $\{M_k; p; m\}$, a sequence of integers and half-integers. For instance, the simplest Z_n parafermion states^{17,33} correspond to the pattern of zeros $\{M_k = 0; p = 1; m = 2p = 2\}$, by choosing non-negative integer $k_m = 0$.

APPENDIX B: CONSISTENT CONDITIONS ON THE COMMUTATION FACTOR μ_{AB}

To introduce some useful notations, let us write the OPE between two generic operators $A(z)$ and $B(w)$ as the following²⁵:

$$A(z)B(w) = \frac{1}{(z-w)^{\alpha_{AB}}} \left([AB]_{\alpha_{AB}}(w) + (z-w)[AB]_{\alpha_{AB}-1}(w) + (z-w)^2[AB]_{\alpha_{AB}-2}(w) + \dots \right) \quad (\text{B1})$$

where

$$\alpha_{AB} = h_A + h_B - h_{[AB]_{\alpha_{AB}}}, \quad (\text{B2})$$

and h_A is the scaling dimension of operator A . A and B satisfy the following commutation relation

$$(z-w)^{\alpha_{AB}} A(z)B(w) = \mu_{AB}(w-z)^{\alpha_{AB}} B(w)A(z). \quad (\text{B3})$$

Let us derive some conditions on μ_{AB} from the associativity of the vertex algebra. By exchanging A and B twice we have

$$\mu_{AB}\mu_{BA} = 1 \quad (\text{B4})$$

which immediately leads to

$$\mu_{AA} = 1 \quad (\text{B5})$$

$\mu_{AA} \neq -1$ because the leading term in the OPE of two A fields would vanish otherwise.

Let

$$B(z)C(w) = \frac{D(w)}{(z-w)^{\alpha_{BC}}} + \dots \quad (\text{B6})$$

To exchange A with B and then with C is equivalent to exchange A with $D = [BC]_{\alpha_{BC}}$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{AB}(-1)^{\alpha_{AB}} \mu_{AC}(-1)^{\alpha_{AC}} &= \mu_{AD}(-1)^{\alpha_{AD}} \\ \Rightarrow \mu_{AD} &= \mu_{AB}\mu_{AC}r_{ABC} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B7})$$

in which

$$r_{ABC} \equiv (-1)^{\alpha_{AB}+\alpha_{AC}-\alpha_{AD}} = \pm 1 \quad (\text{B8})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_3(A, B, C) &\equiv \alpha_{AB} + \alpha_{AC} - \alpha_{AD} \\ &= h_A^{\text{sc}} + h_B^{\text{sc}} + h_C^{\text{sc}} + h_{[AD]_{\alpha_{AD}}}^{\text{sc}} \\ &\quad - h_{[AB]_{\alpha_{AB}}}^{\text{sc}} - h_{[AC]_{\alpha_{AC}}}^{\text{sc}} - h_{[BC]_{\alpha_{BC}}}^{\text{sc}} \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B9})$$

In a vertex algebra the identity operator 1 (e.g. ψ_0 in a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra) is a zero-scaling-dimension operator with the following OPEs:

$$[1, A]_{-j} = A \delta_{j,0}; \quad [A, 1]_{-j} = \frac{1}{j!} \partial^j A \quad (\text{B10})$$

and $\alpha_{1,A} = \alpha_{A,1} = 0$, $\mu_{1,A} = \mu_{A,1} = 1$ for any operator A in the vertex algebra. $\partial^j 1 = 0$, $j \geq 1$ is understood as usual.

One thing needs to be pointed out here: the derivative of an operator A (let's suppose that A is not the identity operator: $A \neq 1$), ie ∂A could be zero or not, depending on the definition of this operator A . For example, simple current ψ_2 in a Z_4 Gaffnian vertex algebra obeys $\partial \psi_2 = 0$. However, this simple current ψ_2 is not the identity operator $1 = \psi_0$ since it has nontrivial commutations factors $\mu_{2,1} = \mu_{2,3} = -1 \neq 1$.

APPENDIX C: DETERMINE THE QUASIPARTICLE COMMUTATION FACTOR $\mu_{\gamma,a}$ FROM THE QUASIPARTICLE PATTERN OF ZEROS $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}\}$

The quasiparticle commutation factors $\mu_{\gamma,a}$ are not fully independent of the patten-of-zero data $\{k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}; Q_\gamma\}$. In this section, we try to determine $\mu_{\gamma+b,a}$ from $k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}$. Note that

$$C_{\gamma+b,a} = \mu_{\gamma+b,a} C_{a,\gamma+b} \quad (\text{C1})$$

By choosing $A = \sigma_{\gamma+a}$, $B = \psi_b$, $C = \psi_c$, $D = \psi_{b+c}$ we see from eqn. (B7) that:

$$\mu_{\gamma+a,b+c} = \mu_{\gamma+a,b} \mu_{\gamma+a,c} e^{i\pi(\alpha_{\gamma+a,b} + \alpha_{\gamma+a,c} - \alpha_{\gamma+a,b+c})} \quad (\text{C2})$$

where we have defined

$$\alpha_{\gamma+a,b} \equiv h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}} + h_b^{\text{sc}} - h_{\gamma+a+b}^{\text{sc}} \quad (\text{C3})$$

Repeatedly using eqn. (C2) we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\gamma+a,b} &= \mu_{\gamma+a,b-1} \mu_{\gamma+a,1} e^{i\pi(\alpha_{\gamma+a,1} + \alpha_{\gamma+a,b-1} - \alpha_{\gamma+a,b})} \\ &= \mu_{\gamma+a,b-2} \mu_{\gamma+a,1}^2 e^{i\pi(2\alpha_{\gamma+a,1} + \alpha_{\gamma+1,b-2} - \alpha_{\gamma+a,b})} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \mu_{\gamma+a,1}^b e^{i\pi(b\alpha_{\gamma+a,1} - \alpha_{\gamma+a,b})} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C4})$$

By requiring that $\mu_{\gamma+a,n} = 1$ since ψ_n is the identity operator, we have

$$\mu_{\gamma+a,1} = e^{-i\pi\alpha_{\gamma+a,1} + 2\pi i \frac{\kappa_{\gamma+a}}{n}} \quad (\text{C5})$$

where $\kappa_{\gamma+a}$ is an Z_n integer. As a result we can obtain all commutation factors:

$$\mu_{\gamma+a,b} = e^{-i\pi\alpha_{\gamma+a,b} + 2\pi i \frac{b\kappa_{\gamma+a}}{n}} \quad (\text{C6})$$

Due to the consistency condition (B4) we also have

$$\mu_{b,\gamma+a} = e^{i\pi\alpha_{\gamma+a,b} - 2\pi i \frac{b\kappa_{\gamma+a}}{n}} \quad (\text{C7})$$

Now we implement eqn. (B7) again with $A = \psi_b$, $B = \sigma_{\gamma+a}$, $C = \psi_c$, $D = \sigma_{\gamma+a+c}$ to see whether there are any new consistency conditions for quasiparticle scaling dimensions $h_{\gamma+a}^{\text{sc}}$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \mu_{b,\gamma+a} \mu_{b,c} \mu_{\gamma+a+c,b} e^{i\pi(\alpha_{\gamma+a,b} + \alpha_{b,c} - \alpha_{b,\gamma+a+c})} \\ &= e^{2\pi i(\alpha_{\gamma+a,b} + \alpha_{b,c} - \alpha_{\gamma+a+c,b})} \mu_{b,c} e^{-i\pi\alpha_{b,c} + 2\pi i \frac{b(\kappa_{\gamma+a+c} - \kappa_{\gamma+a})}{n}} \\ &= e^{-i\pi b c \alpha_{1,1} + 2\pi i \frac{b(\kappa_{\gamma+a+c} - \kappa_{\gamma+a})}{n}} \\ &= e^{2\pi i b \frac{\kappa_{\gamma+a+c} - \kappa_{\gamma+a}}{n}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C8})$$

where we used eqn. (E8), $q \equiv n\alpha_{1,1}/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and that $\Delta_3(\gamma+a, b, c) = \alpha_{\gamma+a,b} + \alpha_{b,c} - \alpha_{\gamma+a+c,b} \in \mathbb{N}$. Eqn. (B7) with $A = \sigma_{\gamma+a}$, $B = \psi_b$, $C = \psi_c$, $D = \psi_{b+c}$ does not produce any new conditions. We can see that all the consistency conditions on $\{\mu_{\gamma+a,b}\}$, i.e. eqn. (B4) and eqn. (B7) can be guaranteed by choosing eqn. (C6), eqn. (C7) and the integer $\kappa_{\gamma+a}$ as

$$\kappa_{\gamma+a} = \kappa_\gamma + qa \pmod{n} \quad (\text{C9})$$

We find that $\mu_{\gamma+a,b}$ and $\mu_{b,\gamma+a}$ can almost be determined from $k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\gamma+a,b} &= e^{-i\pi\alpha_{\gamma+a,b} + 2\pi i \frac{b(\kappa_\gamma + aq)}{n}}, \\ \mu_{b,\gamma+a} &= e^{i\pi\alpha_{\gamma+a,b} - 2\pi i \frac{b(\kappa_\gamma + aq)}{n}}, \\ q &= n\alpha_{1,1}/2 \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C10})$$

However, we need to supply a Z_n integer κ_γ to fully fix $\mu_{\gamma+a,b}$ and $\mu_{b,\gamma+a}$ from $k_{\gamma;a}^{\text{sc}}$. (Note that $\alpha_{\gamma+a,b} = h_b^{\text{sc}} - \sum_{i=a}^{a+b} k_{\gamma;i}^{\text{sc}}$.)

APPENDIX D: GENERALIZED JACOBI IDENTITY

1. GJI's of an associative vertex algebra

In the above, we only considered the associativity of the vertex algebra through the commutation factor μ_{AB} . Although some new conditions on pattern of zeros and some relations between the quasiparticle scaling dimensions are obtained, the associativity of the algebra is not fully utilized. To fully use the associativity condition of the vertex algebra, we need to derive the generalized Jacobi Identity.

Choose $f(z, w)$ in the following relation

$$\oint_{|z|>|w|} dz \oint_0 dw f(z, w) - \oint_{|w|>|z|} dw \oint_0 dz f(z, w) = \oint_0 dw \oint_w dz f(z, w) \quad (D1)$$

to be the operator function

$$f(z, w) = A(z)B(w)C(0)(z - w)^{\gamma_{AB}} w^{\gamma_{BC}} z^{\gamma_{AC}} \quad (D2)$$

with $\alpha_{AB} - \gamma_{AB}$, $\alpha_{AC} - \gamma_{AC}$, $\alpha_{BC} - \gamma_{BC} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain the generalized Jacobi Identity (GJI)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{BC}-\gamma_{BC}-1} (-1)^j \binom{\gamma_{AB}}{j} [A[BC]_{\gamma_{BC}+j+1}]_{\gamma_{AB}+\gamma_{AC}+1-j} \\ & - \mu_{AB} (-1)^{\alpha_{AB}-\gamma_{AB}} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{AC}-\gamma_{AC}-1} (-1)^j \binom{\gamma_{AB}}{j} [B[AC]_{\gamma_{AC}+j+1}]_{\gamma_{AB}+\gamma_{BC}+1-j} \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{AB}-\gamma_{AB}-1} \binom{\gamma_{AC}}{j} [[AB]_{\gamma_{AB}+j+1}C]_{\gamma_{BC}+\gamma_{AC}+1-j} \end{aligned} \quad (D3)$$

where $\binom{n}{m}$ is the binomial function.

When we choose $\gamma_{AB} - \alpha_{AB} = \gamma_{AC} - \alpha_{AC} = \gamma_{BC} - \alpha_{BC} = 0$, eqn. (D2) is a regular function with the asymptotic behavior

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} f(z, w) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z^{\alpha_{AB}+\alpha_{AC}} A(z)D(0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z^{\alpha_{AB}+\alpha_{AC}-\alpha_{AD}} [AD]_{\alpha_{AD}}(0) \quad (D4)$$

Since $f(z, w)$ is an analytic function of both z and w , $z^{\alpha_{AB}+\alpha_{AC}-\alpha_{AD}}$ should still be an analytic function of z . Thus $\alpha_{AB} + \alpha_{AC} - \alpha_{AD}$ should be a non-negative integer, allowing us to obtain the consistency condition (B9).

For clarity we introduce three integers n_{AB} , n_{AC} , n_{BC} as

$$\gamma_{AB} = \alpha_{AB} - 1 - n_{AB} \quad \gamma_{AC} = \alpha_{AC} - 1 - n_{AC} \quad \gamma_{BC} = \alpha_{BC} - 1 - n_{BC} \quad (D5)$$

and the GJI (D3) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{n_{BC}} \sum_{j=0}^{n_{BC}} (-1)^j \binom{\alpha_{AB}-1-n_{AB}}{n_{BC}-j} [A[BC]_{\alpha_{BC}-j}]_{\alpha_{AB}+\alpha_{AC}-1-(n_{AB}+n_{AC}+n_{BC})+j} \\ & + \mu_{AB} (-1)^{n_{AB}+n_{AC}} \sum_{j=0}^{n_{AC}} (-1)^j \binom{\alpha_{AB}-1-n_{AB}}{n_{AC}-j} [B[AC]_{\alpha_{AC}-j}]_{\alpha_{AB}+\alpha_{BC}-1-(n_{AB}+n_{AC}+n_{BC})+j} \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^{n_{AB}} \binom{\alpha_{AC}-n_{AC}-1}{n_{AB}-j} [[AB]_{\alpha_{AB}-j}C]_{\alpha_{BC}+\alpha_{AC}-1-(n_{AB}+n_{AC}+n_{BC})+j} \end{aligned} \quad (D6)$$

The GJI (D3) or (D6) is the associativity condition of a vertex algebra. It generalizes the usual Jacobi identity of a Lie algebra to the case of an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra (the vertex algebra here), with the usual Lie bracket (the commutator) defined by OPE in eqn. (B1). We say that the theory is associative up to a certain order if all the GJI's are satisfied up to this order in OPE. Applying the GJI, more conditions on the patterns of zeros can be found. More importantly, those conditions are likely to be the necessary and the sufficient conditions.

For example, by choosing C in GJI (D6) to be the identity operator 1 (note that we have $\Delta_3(A, B, 1) =$

0), $n_{AB} = k \geq 0$, $n_{BC} = -1$, $n_{AC} = 0$ and making use of OPE (B10), we immediately reach the following relation

$$\mu_{AB} [BA]_{\alpha_{AB}-k} = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^j}{(k-j)!} \partial^{k-j} [AB]_{\alpha_{AB}-j} \quad (D7)$$

This allows us to obtain the OPE of $[B, A]$ to the same order with the OPE of $[A, B]$ to a certain order in hand. For example, we have

$$[\psi_i T]_1 = \partial[T\psi_i]_2 - [T\psi_i]_1 = (h_i^{\text{sc}} - 1)\partial\psi_i$$

since $\mu_{T,\psi_i} = 1$ and $\alpha_{T,\psi_i} = 2$. As a special case of eqn. (D7) we have

$$[AA]_{\alpha_{AA}-2k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \frac{(-1)^j}{(2k+1-j)!} \partial^{2k+1-j} [AA]_{\alpha_{AA}-j} \quad (\text{D8})$$

This relation is actually an example, showing how we “derive” (or more precisely, obtain the consistent conditions of) higher order OPE’s from the known OPE’s up to a certain order based on GJI’s.

2. “Useful” GJI’s of a vertex algebra up to a certain order

In practice we need to extract the consistent conditions of a vertex algebra from a set of “useful” GJI’s concerning only the OPE’s up to a certain order. The OPE’s up to this order are determined already except for some structure constants (usually complex numbers). Other GJI’s involving higher order OPE’s do not serve as constraints to the vertex algebra up to this order, since we can always introduce new operators into this infinite-dimensional Lie algebra in higher order OPE’s. For example, a generic Z_n vertex algebra is defined by OPE’s between currents $\{\psi_a\}$ up to leading order with $[\psi_i, \psi_j]_{\alpha_{i,j}} = C_{i,j} \psi_{i+j}$.

Let’s now consider the GJI (D6) of three operators (A, B, C) , with the corresponding vertex algebra defined up to (N_{AB}, N_{BC}, N_{AC}) order, i.e. $[AB]_{\alpha_{AB}-i}$ is known up to structure constants for all $0 \leq i \leq N_{AB}$ in the OPE (B1) of operators A and B . For example, in a special Z_n simple-current vertex algebra defined by OPE’s (67)-(70), with $(A = \psi_i, B = \psi_j)$ we have $N_{AB} = 0$ if $i + j \neq 0 \bmod n$ or $N_{AB} = 2$ if $i + j = 0 \bmod n$. Let’s further assume the following relation

$$\alpha[AB]_{\alpha_{AB}-j, C} = \alpha[AB]_{\alpha_{AB}, C} + j, \text{ if } [AB]_{\alpha_{AB}-j} \neq 0 \quad (\text{D9})$$

is satisfied by any operators (A, B, C) of this vertex algebra. It’s straightforward to verify that Z_n simple-current vertex algebra indeed obeys the above relation: e.g. $(A = \psi_i, B = \psi_{n-i}, C = \psi_j)$ we have $1 = [AB]_{\alpha_{AB}}, T = [AB]_{\alpha_{AB}-2}$ and $\alpha_{T,\psi_j} = 2, \alpha_{1,\psi_j} = 0$. Defining the following quantity:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{ABC}(n_{AB}, n_{BC}, n_{AC}) &\equiv \\ \min\{ &N_{[AB]_{\alpha_{AB}-j}, C}, 0 \leq j \leq N_{AB}; \\ &N_{B, [AC]_{\alpha_{AC}-j}}, 0 \leq j \leq N_{AC}; \\ &N_{A, [BC]_{\alpha_{BC}-j}}, 0 \leq j \leq N_{BC} \} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D10})$$

then we can obtain all the “useful” consistent conditions of the vertex algebra from the GJI (D6), by choosing

$$\begin{aligned} n_{AB} &\leq N_{AB}, n_{BC} \leq N_{BC}, n_{AC} \leq N_{AC}; \\ \Delta_3(A, B, C) - 1 &\leq n_{AB} + n_{BC} + n_{AC} \\ &\leq \Delta_3(A, B, C) - 1 + N_{ABC}(n_{AB}, n_{BC}, n_{AC}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D11})$$

Any other choice with larger (n_{AB}, n_{AC}, n_{BC}) will involve higher order OPE’s. Generally speaking, the set of “useful” GJI’s satisfying eqn. (D11) will be translated into a set of nonlinear equations of structure constants. (here “structure constants” have a broader meaning than usual, e.g. $\frac{2h_i^{\text{sc}}}{c}$ in eqn. (68) and h_i^{sc} in eqn. (69) should also be considered as structure constants.) Some of these equations become consistent conditions of this vertex algebra, while others help define this vertex algebra e.g. by determining the structure constants $\{C_{a,b}, C_{a,\gamma+b}\}$ and central charge c of a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra, as is shown in section V and VII.

APPENDIX E: ASSOCIATIVITY OF Z_n VERTEX ALGEBRA AND NEW CONDITIONS ON h_a^{sc} AND C_{ab}

In this section, we apply the consistency conditions of commutation factor discussed in Appendix B and GJI discussed in Appendix D to a Z_n vertex algebra. This allows us to derive additional conditions on the scaling dimension h_a^{sc} from the associativity of Z_n vertex algebra.

As mentioned earlier, a generic FQH wave function can be expressed as a correlation function of an associative vertex algebra obeying the following OPE:

$$\psi_a(z)\psi_b(w) = \frac{C_{a,b}\psi_{a+b}(w) + O(z-w)}{(z-w)^{\alpha_{a,b}}} \quad (\text{E1})$$

where we have $\alpha_{a,b} \equiv h_a^{\text{sc}} + h_b^{\text{sc}} - h_{a+b \bmod n}^{\text{sc}}$. This guarantees the quasi-Abelian fusion rule $\psi_a\psi_b \sim \psi_{a+b}$ (see 34). Moreover, we choose the normalization of simple currents ψ_a to be eqn. (44).

1. New conditions from the commutation factors

If we use the radial order (38) to calculate correlation function, then the continuity of the correlation function requires that

$$(z-w)^{\alpha_{V_a} V_b} V_a(z) V_b(w) = \mu_{V_a, V_b} (w-z)^{\alpha_{V_a} V_b} V_b(w) V_a(z) \quad (\text{E2})$$

Since the operators $e^{i\alpha\phi(z)/\sqrt{\nu}}$ in the Gaussian model satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (z-w)^{\frac{a^2}{2\nu} + \frac{b^2}{2\nu} - \frac{(a+b)^2}{2\nu}} e^{i\alpha\phi(z)/\sqrt{\nu}} e^{i\alpha\phi(w)/\sqrt{\nu}} \\ = (w-z)^{\frac{a^2}{2\nu} + \frac{b^2}{2\nu} - \frac{(a+b)^2}{2\nu}} e^{i\alpha\phi(w)/\sqrt{\nu}} e^{i\alpha\phi(z)/\sqrt{\nu}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E3})$$

the simple current operator satisfy the following commutation relation

$$(z-w)^{\alpha_{ab}} \psi_a(z) \psi_b(w) = \mu_{ab} (w-z)^{\alpha_{ab}} \psi_b(w) \psi_a(z), \quad (\text{E4})$$

where

$$\alpha_{ab} = h_a^{\text{sc}} + h_b^{\text{sc}} - h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}}. \quad (\text{E5})$$

We stress that the relation (E4) is required by the continuity of the correlation function of the electron operators.

The commutation factors μ_{ij} satisfy some consistency relations, which is discussed in the appendix B under a more general setting. The conditions (B4), (B5), and (B7) are the conditions on the commutation factors μ_{ij} that were obtained from the associativity of the vertex algebra. From the n -cluster condition $\psi^n = \psi_n = 1$, we also have

$$\mu_{ij} = 1, \quad \text{if } i = 0 \bmod n \text{ or } j = 0 \bmod n. \quad (\text{E6})$$

due to the definition of the identity operator $\psi_0 = 1$ shown in Appendix B.

Those conditions, (B4), (B5), (B7), and (E6), can be expressed as the extra condition on the scaling dimensions h_a^{sc} . We note that according to eqn. (B7) and eqn. (B8)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{ij} &= \mu_{i,1} \mu_{i,j-1} (-1)^{\alpha_{i,1} + \alpha_{i,j-1} - \alpha_{i,j}} \\ &= \mu_{i,1}^2 \mu_{i,j-2} (-1)^{2\alpha_{i,1} + \alpha_{i,j-2} - \alpha_{i,j}} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \mu_{i,1}^j (-1)^{j\alpha_{i,1} - \alpha_{i,j}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E7})$$

A similar manipulation leads to $\mu_{1,i} = \mu_{1,1}^i (-1)^{i\alpha_{1,1} - \alpha_{1,i}}$, and we can write the commutation factor in a symmetric way

$$\mu_{ij} = (-1)^{ij\alpha_{1,1} - \alpha_{i,j}} = \pm 1. \quad (\text{E8})$$

We see that μ_{ij} can be expressed in terms of h_i^{sc} . Eq. (E8) also implies that

$$ij\alpha_{1,1} - \alpha_{i,j} = \text{integer}, \quad (\text{E9})$$

which is actually guaranteed by eqn. (29). The condition (E6) becomes

$$ij\alpha_{1,1} - \alpha_{i,j} = \text{even}, \quad \text{if } i = 0 \bmod n \text{ or } j = 0 \bmod n. \quad (\text{E10})$$

Now we use $\{M_k, k = 1, 3, 4, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]; p\}$ to describe h_a^{sc} (see section A 2). So the consistent conditions on $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ can be translated into the consistent conditions on $\{M_k; p\}$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{i,j} &\equiv \alpha_{\psi_i, \psi_j} = h_i^{\text{sc}} + h_j^{\text{sc}} - h_{i+j}^{\text{sc}} \\ &= p \frac{2ij}{n} - M_i - M_j + M_{i+j \bmod n} \\ &\quad - 2p(i+j-n)\theta(i+j-n) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E11})$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$.

By choosing $j = n$ in (E10) we have

$$n\alpha_{1,1} = 2p = \text{even} \quad (\text{E12})$$

As a result

$$p \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (\text{E13})$$

$n = \text{odd}$	$M_k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$
$n = \text{even}$	$M_{2\mathbb{Z}+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \mid M_{2\mathbb{Z}} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$

TABLE IX: The parity of M_k with different parity of n for a special Z_n simple-current vertex algebra with OPE (68), according to constraint (E17). The parafermion scaling dimension $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ is given by $h_a^{\text{sc}} = pa(n-a)/n - M_a$, with p being a non-negative integer.

Besides, $\mu_{i,i} = 1$ becomes another constraint

$$i^2\alpha_{1,1} - \alpha_{i,i} = \text{even} \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \quad (\text{E14})$$

What's more, from OPE (68) of a special Z_n simple current vertex algebra and the definition of commutation factor (E4) we immediately have

$$\mu_{a,b} = 1, \quad \text{if } a+b = 0 \bmod n \quad (\text{E15})$$

which becomes an extra constraint

$$i(n-i)\alpha_{1,1} - \alpha_{i,n-i} = \text{even} \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \quad (\text{E16})$$

If we require OPE (68) to be satisfied, combining (E11), (E14) and (E16) we find

$$2M_i - M_{2i \bmod n} = 2M_i = \text{even} \quad (\text{E17})$$

This determines the parity of $\{M_k\}$ as summarized in Table IX. Notice that we always have $M_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for such a special Z_n vertex algebra.

However, the constraint (E16) is too strong and is not necessary (this is why we use "special" as a description here). For example, a 4-cluster state called Gaffnian explicitly violates it since we have $\mu_{1,3} = -1 \neq 1$ for a Gaffnian vertex algebra. To remove the constraint (E16), we need to modify the normalization $C_{a,-a} = 1$ in OPE (40) to:

for $a \leq n/2 \bmod n$

$$\psi_a(z)\psi_{-a}(w) = \frac{1}{(z-w)^{2h_a^{\text{sc}}}} + \dots \quad (\text{E18})$$

and for $a > n/2 \bmod n$

$$\psi_a(z)\psi_{-a}(w) = \frac{\mu_{a,-a}}{(z-w)^{2h_a^{\text{sc}}}} + \dots \quad (\text{E19})$$

If we adopt the more general OPE (E18) and (E19), the corresponding consistent conditions on $\{M_k\}$ from eqn. (E11) and eqn. (E14) become

$$2M_i - M_{2i \bmod n} = \text{even} \quad (\text{E20})$$

This leads to some conclusions on the parity of $\{M_k\}$ as summarized in Table X. Generally we don't have $M_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for such a generic Z_n vertex algebra.

To summarize, (E12) and (E14) are the extra conditions from commutation factors for a generic Z_n vertex algebra. They become (E13) and (E20) when translated into consistent conditions of $\{M_k; p; m\}$, as a supplement to conditions (A15)-(A18) in Appendix A 2.

$n = \text{odd}$	$M_k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$	
$n = 2 \bmod 4$	$M_{2\mathbb{Z}+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$	$M_{2\mathbb{Z}} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$
$n = 0 \bmod 4$	$2M_i - M_{2i \bmod n} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$	$M_{4\mathbb{Z}} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$

TABLE X: The parity of M_k with different parity of n for a Z_n simple current vertex algebra with OPE (71), according to constraint (E20). The parafermion scaling dimension $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ is given by $h_a^{\text{sc}} = pa(n-a)/n - M_a$, with p being a non-negative integer.

2. New conditions from GJI

As shown in Appendix D, all GJI's must be satisfied for the associativity of the vertex algebra. With the OPE (E1), we have $N_{a,b} \equiv N_{\psi_a, \psi_b} = 0$ and the useful GJI's are very limited. We list the consistent conditions from useful GJI's of this generic Z_n vertex algebra below. Then we summarize the new consistent conditions on $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ and $\{c\}$.

a. A list of useful GJI's: ($A = \psi_a, B = \psi_b, C = \psi_c$)

Using the notations in Appendix D, here we have $N_{AB} = N_{BC} = N_{AC} = 0$.

If $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 0$, all the 3 useful GJI's satisfying eqn. (D11) are

$$n_{AB} = -1, n_{BC} = 0, n_{AC} = 0 \Rightarrow (C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} - \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c})\psi_{a+b+c} = 0$$

$$n_{AB} = 0, n_{BC} = -1, n_{AC} = 0 \Rightarrow (\mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} - C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c})\psi_{a+b+c} = 0$$

$$n_{AB} = 0, n_{BC} = 0, n_{AC} = -1 \Rightarrow (C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} - C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c})\psi_{a+b+c} = 0$$

If $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 1$, the only 1 useful GJI satisfying eqn. (D11) is

$$n_{AB} = 0, n_{BC} = 0, n_{AC} = 0 \Rightarrow (C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} - C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} - \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c})\psi_{a+b+c} = 0$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) \geq 2$ there are no useful GJI's satisfying eqn. (D11) and thus no new conditions on $C_{i,j}$.

b. Summary of new consistent conditions from GJI

As shown in Appendix E2a, for $\Delta_3(a, b, c) \equiv \Delta_3(\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_c) = 0$ the extra consistent conditions are

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} = C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} = \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} \quad (\text{E21})$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 1$ the corresponding consistent condition is

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} = C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} + \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} \quad (\text{E22})$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) \geq 2$ there are no useful GJI's and no extra consistent conditions.

The above conditions should be satisfied no matter what (a, b, c) are. Now let's further specify (a, b, c) and use the normalization (44) of structure constants to obtain new conditions.

If $a + b, a + c, b + c \neq 0 \bmod n$:

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 0$ we have

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} = C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} = \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} \quad (\text{E23})$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 1$ we have

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c} = C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c} + \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c} \quad (\text{E24})$$

If $a \pm b \neq 0 \bmod n$:

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) = 0$ we have

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b} = C_{b,-b} = \mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b} \quad (\text{E25})$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) = 1$ we have

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b} = C_{b,-b} + \mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b} \quad (\text{E26})$$

If $a \neq n/2 \bmod n$:

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = 0$ we have

$$\mu_{a,-a} = C_{a,-a} = C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} = 1 \quad (\text{E27})$$

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = 1$ we have

$$\mu_{a,-a} = \mu_{-a,a} = -1, \quad (\text{E28})$$

$$C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} = 2C_{a,-a}. \quad (\text{E29})$$

If n is even, we require $\Delta_3(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}) \neq 1$ since otherwise

$$\psi_{n/2} \equiv 0 \quad (\text{E30})$$

must be required to satisfy GJI's.

Among the above consistent conditions, some are just conditions on the structure constants $\{C_{a,b}\}$, while others serve as the new conditions on the pattern of zeros $\{h_a^{\text{sc}}\}$ or $\{S_a\}$. As a summary, the extra consistent conditions for the pattern of zeros from GJI's are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{a,-a} &= 1 \text{ if } \Delta_3(a, a, -a) = 0, \\ \mu_{a,-a} &= -1, \text{ if } \Delta_3(a, a, -a) = 1, \\ \Delta_3(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}) &= 4h_{\frac{n}{2}}^{\text{sc}} \neq 1, \quad n = \text{even}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E31})$$

where we need (E8) to relate commutation factor $\mu_{a,b}$ with the pattern of zeros. Note that the first two conditions in the above can be rewritten as $a^2\alpha_{1,1} + \alpha_{a,-a} = \text{even}$ if $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = 0$ and $a^2\alpha_{1,1} + \alpha_{a,-a} = \text{odd}$ if $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = 1$. Since $a^2\alpha_{1,1} - \alpha_{a,a} = \text{even}$ and

$\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + \alpha_{a,-a}$, the two conditions are always satisfied.

Obviously these extra conditions, based on the most generic OPE (E1) of a Z_n vertex algebra, are not enough to determine the structure constants $\{C_{a,b}\}$ of this vertex algebra. In order to have more consistent conditions and to determine the structure constants, we need to specify higher order terms in the OPE (E1). This is done through defining Z_n simple current vertex algebra in section V, essentially by introducing the energy momentum tensor T and Virasoro algebra into the vertex algebra. The corresponding extra consistent conditions are summarized in section VB and VID. We can obtain even more extra conditions from GJI's when we fix the subleading term of OPE's between simple currents, as shown in Appendix F.

APPENDIX F: SUBLEADING TERMS IN OPE OF A Z_n SIMPLE-CURRENT VERTEX ALGEBRA AND MORE CONSISTENT CONDITIONS

1. “Deriving” subleading terms in OPE from GJI's

In this section we show how to “derive” the subleading term in OPE (67) of a Z_n simple-current vertex algebra as an example.

First we notice that the subleading term $[\psi_a \psi_b]_{\alpha_{a,b}-1}$ should have a scaling dimension of $h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} + 1$, thus we propose the following conclusion:

$$[\psi_a \psi_b]_{\alpha_{a,b}-1} = C_{a,b} d_{a,b} \partial \psi_{a+b} \quad (\text{F1})$$

Then we can use GJI's to determine the expression of $d_{a,b}$ in terms of scaling dimensions $\{h_i^{\text{sc}}\}$.

First we choose ($A = T$, $B = \psi_a$, $C = 1$) and ($n_{AB} = -1$, $n_{BC} = 1$, $n_{AC} = -1$) in GJI (D6). Since $\Delta_3(T, \psi_a, 1) = 0$ and $[\psi_a, 1]_1 = \partial \psi_a$ we have the following consistent conditions from this GJI:

$$[T \partial \psi_a]_3 = 2[T \psi_a]_2 = 2h_a^{\text{sc}} \psi_a \quad (\text{F2})$$

Then we choose ($A = T$, $B = \psi_a$, $C = \psi_b$), $a + b \neq 0 \pmod n$ and ($n_{AB} = 0$, $n_{BC} = 1$, $n_{AC} = 0$) in GJI (D6). It's easy to verify that $\Delta_3(T, \psi_a, \psi_b) = 2$ since we have $\alpha_{T, \psi_i} = 2$, $\forall i$. Plugging in eqn. (F1) and this GJI yields a consistent condition on $d_{a,b}$:

$$2d_{a,b} h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} = (h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} + h_a^{\text{sc}} - h_b^{\text{sc}}) \quad (\text{F3})$$

Thus we conclude that as long as $h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} \neq 0$ (this should hold in most cases except for “strange” examples like Gaffnian) we have

$$d_{a,b} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{h_a^{\text{sc}} - h_b^{\text{sc}}}{h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}}} \right) \quad (\text{F4})$$

2. More consistent conditions due to subleading terms in OPE

Now with the subleading terms we have more useful GJI's and therefore more consistent conditions on the data $\{n; m; h_a^{\text{sc}}; c\}$ characterizing a Z_n simple current vertex algebra. In this section we shall show the extra consistent conditions accompanied with the introduction of the subleading term (F1), (F4) in OPE of $[\psi_a, \psi_b]$.

It turns out that there are many more useful GJI's considering the subleading order OPE (F1) with eqn. (F4). In many cases the new consistent conditions are extremely complicated, so we will only show the complete consistent conditions in several cases (which will be utilized in section VII for some examples).

$$a. \quad \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_c\}, \quad a + b, b + c, a + c, a + b + c \neq 0 \pmod n$$

Right now we have $N_{AB} = N_{BC} = N_{AC} = 1 > 0$ thus there are more useful GJI's and more conditions compared with in section VB 1.

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 0$ the complete consistent conditions are summarized as:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,b} C_{a+b,c} &= C_{b,c} C_{a,b+c} = \mu_{a,b} C_{a,c} C_{b,a+c} \\ \alpha_{a,b+c} d_{b,c} &= \alpha_{b,a+c} d_{a,c} = \alpha_{a,b} \\ (d_{a,b+c} + d_{b,a+c} - d_{a+b,c}) \partial \psi_{a+b+c} &\equiv 0 \\ [d_{a,b+c} - d_{a,c}(1 - d_{b,a+c})] \partial \psi_{a+b+c} &\equiv 0 \\ (d_{a,b+c} - d_{a,c} d_{a+c,b}) \partial \psi_{a+b+c} &\equiv 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F5})$$

The above conditions should also be satisfied with respect to any permutations of (a, b, c) .

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 1$ some of the new consistent conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_{b,c} C_{a,b+c}}{C_{a,b} C_{a+b,c}} &= (1 - \alpha_{a,b} + d_{b,c} \alpha_{a,b+c})^{-1} \\ \frac{\mu_{a,b} C_{a,c} C_{b,a+c}}{C_{a,b} C_{a+b,c}} &= \frac{\alpha_{a,b} - d_{b,c} \alpha_{a,b+c}}{\alpha_{a,b} - d_{b,c} \alpha_{a,b+c} - 1} \\ (\alpha_{a,b} + d_{a,c} \alpha_{b,a+c})(\alpha_{a,b} - d_{b,c} \alpha_{a,b+c}) &= 1 \\ (1 - \alpha_{a,b} + d_{b,c} \alpha_{a,b+c})(\alpha_{a,c} - d_{a,b} \alpha_{a+b,c}) &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F6})$$

we didn't show those lengthy consistent conditions with the form of $(\dots) \partial \psi_{a+b+c} = 0$ here.

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 2$ the new consistent condition are:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_{a,b} - d_{b,c} \alpha_{a,b+c}) C_{b,c} C_{a,b+c} & \\ = \mu_{a,b} C_{a,c} C_{b,a+c} (\alpha_{a,b} - \alpha_{b,a+c} d_{a,c}), & \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_{a,b} - d_{b,c} \alpha_{a,b+c} - 2) C_{b,c} C_{a,b+c} & \\ = -C_{a,b} C_{a+b,c} (\alpha_{a,c} - \alpha_{a+b,c} d_{a,b}), & \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F8})$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_{a,b} - d_{a,c} \alpha_{b,a+c} - 2) C_{a,b} C_{a+b,c} & \\ = \mu_{a,b} C_{a,c} C_{b,a+c} (\alpha_{a,b} - \alpha_{b,a+c} d_{a,c} - 2), & \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F9})$$

$$0 \equiv \partial\psi_{a+b+c}\{C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c}d_{a+b,c} + C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c}[d_{a,b+c}(\alpha_{a,b} + d_{b,c} - 1 - d_{b,c}\alpha_{a,b+c}) - d_{b,c}] + \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c}[d_{b,a+c}(\alpha_{a,b} + d_{a,c} - 1 - d_{a,c}\alpha_{b,a+c}) - d_{a,c}]\}, \quad (\text{F10})$$

$$0 \equiv \partial\psi_{a+b+c}\{C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c}d_{a,b+c} + C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c}d_{a+b,c}(-\alpha_{a,c} - d_{a,b} + 1 + d_{a,b}\alpha_{a+b,c}) + \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c}[d_{b,a+c}(\alpha_{a,b} + d_{a,c} - 2 - d_{a,c}\alpha_{b,a+c}) - d_{a,c}]\}, \quad (\text{F11})$$

$$0 \equiv \partial\psi_{a+b+c}\{\mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c}d_{b,a+c} + C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c}[d_{a,b+c}(\alpha_{a,b} + d_{b,c} - 2 - d_{b,c}\alpha_{a,b+c}) - d_{b,c}] + C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c}d_{a+b,c}(\alpha_{a,c} + d_{a,b} - 1 - d_{a,b}\alpha_{a+b,c})\}. \quad (\text{F12})$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) = 4$ the new consistent conditions are:

$$n_{AB} = 1, \quad n_{BC} = 1, \quad n_{AC} = 1 \Rightarrow C_{a,b}C_{a+b,c}(2 - \alpha_{a,c} + d_{a,b}\alpha_{a+b,c}) + C_{b,c}C_{a,b+c}(2 - \alpha_{a,b} + d_{b,c}\alpha_{a,b+c}) - \mu_{a,b}C_{a,c}C_{b,a+c}(2 - \alpha_{a,b} + d_{a,c}\alpha_{b,a+c}) = 0 \quad (\text{F13})$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, c) \geq 5$ there are no useful GJI's and thus no extra consistent conditions.

$$b. \quad \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_{-a-b}\}, \quad a, b, a+b \neq 0 \pmod n$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -a-b) = 0$ the new consistent condition are:

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-a-b} = C_{b,-a-b}C_{a,-a} = \mu_{a,b}C_{a,-a-b}C_{b,-b} \\ \alpha_{a,b} = \alpha_{a,-a}d_{b,-a-b} = \alpha_{b,-b}d_{a,-a-b} \\ \alpha_{a,-a-b} = \alpha_{a,-a}d_{-a-b,b} = \alpha_{-a-b,a+b}d_{a,b} \\ d_{b,-a-b}h_a^{\text{sc}} = d_{a,-a-b}h_b^{\text{sc}} = \alpha_{a,b}/2 \\ d_{-a-b,b}h_a^{\text{sc}} = d_{a,b}h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} = \alpha_{a,-a-b}/2 \\ d_{a,b}h_a^{\text{sc}} = \alpha_{a,-a-b}(h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} + 1 - h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}})/2 \\ d_{-a-b,b}h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} = \alpha_{-a-b,a}(h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}} + 1 - h_{a+b}^{\text{sc}})/2 \\ d_{a,-a-b}h_a^{\text{sc}} = \alpha_{a,b}(h_a^{\text{sc}} + 1 - h_b^{\text{sc}})/2 \quad (\text{F14})$$

The above conditions should also be satisfied with $a \leftrightarrow b$ exchange.

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -a-b) = 4$ there is still only 1 useful GJI for (A, B, C) in a certain order and the new consistent condition are:

$$n_{AB} = 1, \quad n_{BC} = 1, \quad n_{AC} = 1 \Rightarrow C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-a-b}(2 - \alpha_{a,-a-b} + d_{a,b}\alpha_{a+b,-a-b}) + C_{b,-a-b}C_{a,-a}(2 - \alpha_{a,b} + d_{b,-a-b}\alpha_{a,-a}) - \mu_{a,b}C_{a,-a-b}C_{b,-b}(2 - \alpha_{a,b} + d_{a,-a-b}\alpha_{b,-b}) = 0 \quad (\text{F15})$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -a-b) \geq 5$ there are still no useful GJI's and thus no extra consistent conditions.

$$c. \quad \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \psi_{-b}\}, \quad a \pm b \neq 0 \pmod n$$

Now we have $N_{\psi_a, \psi_{\pm b}} = 1, \quad N_{\psi_b, \psi_{-b}} = 2$. For $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) = 0$ the consistent conditions are:

$$h_b^{\text{sc}}h_a^{\text{sc}} = \alpha_{a,\pm b} = 0, \quad h_b^{\text{sc}}\partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \\ C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b} = \mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b} = C_{b,-b} \\ (d_{a\pm b,\mp b} - 1)\partial\psi_a = (d_{a\pm b,\mp b} - 1)\partial\psi_a \\ = d_{\pm b,a}\partial\psi_a = d_{\pm b,a\mp b}\partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \quad (\text{F16})$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) = 2$ the consistent conditions are:

$$\frac{\mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b}}{C_{b,-b}} = \frac{\alpha_{a,b}}{\alpha_{a,b} - d_{a,-b}\alpha_{b,a-b}} \\ = \frac{\alpha_{a,b}(\alpha_{a,b} - 1)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c} \quad (\text{F17})$$

$$\frac{C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b}}{C_{b,-b}} = \frac{2 - \alpha_{a,b}}{\alpha_{a,b} - d_{a,b}\alpha_{a+b,-b}} \\ = \frac{(\alpha_{a,b} - 1)(\alpha_{a,b} - 2)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c} \quad (\text{F18})$$

$$\frac{C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b}}{\mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b}} = \frac{\alpha_{a,-b} - 2 - d_{a,b}\alpha_{a+b,-b}}{\alpha_{a,b} - 2 - d_{a,-b}\alpha_{b,a-b}} \quad (\text{F19})$$

$$\{d_{\pm b,a\mp b}[\frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c} + \frac{\alpha_{a,\pm b}(\alpha_{a,\pm b} - 1)}{2}] \\ + (1 - d_{a\pm b,\mp b})[\frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c} + \frac{(\alpha_{a,\pm b} - 2)(\alpha_{a,\pm b} - 1)}{2}] \\ - \frac{2h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}\}\partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \quad (\text{F20})$$

$$\{[\frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c} + \frac{\alpha_{a,\pm b}(\alpha_{a,\pm b} - 1)}{2}][d_{a,-b}(d_{b,a-b} - 1) + 1] \\ + \alpha_{a,b}d_{b,a-b} - \frac{2h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}\}\partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \quad (\text{F21})$$

$$\{[\frac{(\alpha_{a,b} - 1)(\alpha_{a,b} - 2)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}](1 - d_{a,b}d_{a+b,-b}) \\ + (\alpha_{a,b} - 2)d_{a+b,-b} - \frac{2h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}\}\partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \quad (\text{F22})$$

$$\{[\frac{(\alpha_{a,b} - 1)(\alpha_{a,b} - 2)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}]d_{a+b,-b}(d_{a,b} - 1) \\ + [\frac{\alpha_{a,b}(\alpha_{a,b} - 1)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}]d_{b,a-b} \\ + (\alpha_{a,b} - 2)(1 - d_{a+b,-b})\}\partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \quad (\text{F23})$$

$$\{[\frac{(\alpha_{a,b} - 1)(\alpha_{a,b} - 2)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}]d_{a+b,-b} \\ + [\frac{\alpha_{a,b}(\alpha_{a,b} - 1)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}](d_{a,-b}d_{b,a-b} - d_{a,-b} - d_{b,a-b}) \\ + \alpha_{a,b}(d_{b,a-b} + 1) - 1\}\partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \quad (\text{F24})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ [\frac{(\alpha_{a,b}-1)(\alpha_{a,b}-2)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}] (2-d_{a,b})d_{a+b,-b} \\ & + [\frac{(\alpha_{a,b}-1)\alpha_{a,b}}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}] (d_{a,-b}d_{b,a-b} - d_{a,-b} - 2d_{b,a-b}) \\ & + 1 + \alpha_{a,b}d_{b,a-b} + (\alpha_{a,b}-2)d_{a+b,-b} \} \partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \quad (\text{F25}) \end{aligned}$$

We see that central charge c can be determined consistently from the first two conditions. Notice that after a $b \leftrightarrow -b$ exchange the above conditions should also be satisfied.

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) = 4$ there are 4 useful GJI's for (A, B, C) in a certain order now and the consistent conditions are:

$$C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b} = \mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b}(\alpha_{b,a-b}d_{a,-b} - \alpha_{a,b} + 1) + C_{b,-b}[\frac{(\alpha_{a,b}-1)(\alpha_{a,b}-2)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}] \quad (\text{F26})$$

$$\mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,a-b} = C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b}(d_{a,b}\alpha_{a+b,-b} - \alpha_{a,-b} + 1) + C_{b,-b}[\frac{(\alpha_{a,b}-3)(\alpha_{a,b}-2)}{2} + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}] \quad (\text{F27})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ C_{a,b}C_{a+b,-b}d_{a+b,-b}(2 - \alpha_{a,-b} - d_{a,b} + d_{a,b}\alpha_{a+b,-b}) \\ & + C_{b,-b}[\frac{(\alpha_{a,b}-3)(\alpha_{a,b}-2)}{2} + \frac{2(h_a^{\text{sc}}-1)h_b^{\text{sc}}}{c}] \\ & + \mu_{a,b}C_{a,-b}C_{b,-a-b}[d_{b,a-b}(\alpha_{a,b} + d_{a,-b} - 2 - d_{a,-b}\alpha_{b,a-b}) \\ & - d_{a,-b}] \} \partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \quad (\text{F28}) \end{aligned}$$

All the above conditions should also hold when we exchange $b \leftrightarrow -b$.

For $\Delta_3(a, b, -b) \geq 6$ there are no useful GJI's and no extra consistent conditions.

$$d. \quad \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_a, \psi_{-a}\}, \quad a \neq n/2 \bmod n$$

Now we have $N_{\psi_a, \psi_a} = 1$, $N_{\psi_a, \psi_{-a}} = 2$.

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + 2h_a^{\text{sc}} = 0$ the consistent conditions are the same as in section VB:

$$\begin{aligned} h_a^{\text{sc}} &= h_{2a}^{\text{sc}} = \alpha_{a,a} = 0, \quad \partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \\ C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} &= C_{a,-a} = C_{-a,a} = \mu_{a,-a} = 1 \quad (\text{F29}) \end{aligned}$$

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + 2h_a^{\text{sc}} = 1$ the consistent conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{2a}^{\text{sc}} &= 3, \quad h_a^{\text{sc}} = 1, \quad c = -2, \quad \mu_{a,-a} = -1 \\ C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} &= 2C_{a,-a}, \quad C_{a,-a} = -C_{-a,a} \\ (d_{2a,-a} - \frac{3}{2})\partial\psi_a &= (d_{-a,2a} + \frac{1}{2})\partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \\ d_{a,a} &= 1/2 \quad (\text{F30}) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $d_{-a,2a} = -1/2$, $d_{2a,-a} = 3/2$, $d_{a,a} = 1/2$ are consistent with eqn. (F4)) and $h_a^{\text{sc}} = 1$, $\alpha_{a,a} = -1$.

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + 2h_a^{\text{sc}} = 2$ the consistent con-

ditions are

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}}{3-2h_a^{\text{sc}}}, \quad \alpha_{a,a} = 2-2h_a^{\text{sc}}, \\ C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} &= 2h_a^{\text{sc}} \neq 0 \\ C_{a,-a} &= C_{-a,a} = \mu_{a,-a} = 1 \\ (d_{2a,-a} - 2 + \frac{1}{h_a^{\text{sc}}})\partial\psi_a &= (d_{-a,2a} + 1 - \frac{1}{h_a^{\text{sc}}})\partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \\ (2h_a^{\text{sc}} - 1)d_{a,a} &= 1/2 \quad (\text{F31}) \end{aligned}$$

Again notice that eqn. (F4) is consistent with $d_{2a,-a} = 2 - (h_a^{\text{sc}})^{-1}$, $d_{-a,2a} = (h_a^{\text{sc}})^{-1} - 1$.

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + 2h_a^{\text{sc}} = 4$ the consistent conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{a,a} &= 4 - 2h_a^{\text{sc}}, \quad C_{a,-a} = C_{-a,a} = \mu_{a,-a} = 1 \\ C_{a,a}C_{2a,-a} &= h_a^{\text{sc}}(2h_a^{\text{sc}} - 3 + \frac{2h_a^{\text{sc}}}{c}) \neq 0 \\ (d_{2a,-a} - 2 + \frac{2}{h_a^{\text{sc}}})\partial\psi_a &= (d_{-a,2a} + 1 - \frac{2}{h_a^{\text{sc}}})\partial\psi_a \equiv 0 \\ (h_a^{\text{sc}} - 1)(d_{a,a} - 1/2) &= 0 \quad (\text{F32}) \end{aligned}$$

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + 2h_a^{\text{sc}} = 6$ there is only 1 useful GJI for (A, B, C) in a certain order now, and the consistent conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} (2h_a^{\text{sc}} - 3)(d_{a,a} - 1/2) &= 0 \\ (\mu_{a,-a} - 1)[\frac{2(h_a^{\text{sc}})^2}{c} + (h_a^{\text{sc}} - 2)(2h_a^{\text{sc}} - 3)] &= 0 \quad (\text{F33}) \end{aligned}$$

For $\Delta_3(a, a, -a) = \alpha_{a,a} + 2h_a^{\text{sc}} \geq 7$ we don't have any useful GJI's and there are no consistent conditions.

$$e. \quad \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_{n/2}, \psi_{n/2}, \psi_{n/2}\}, \quad n = \text{even}$$

This section is exactly the same as section VB4 since we still have $N_{AB} = N_{BC} = N_{AC} = 2$ if $A = B = C = \psi_{n/2}$. The subleading term in OPE (F1) has no effect on these GJI's.

$$f. \quad \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_b, \sigma_{\gamma+c}\}, \quad a+b \neq 0 \bmod n$$

Now we have $N_{\psi_a, \psi_b} = 1 > 0$, so there are new useful GJI's in this case than in section VID2. Therefore we have more consistent conditions.

For $\Delta_3(a, b, \gamma+c) = 0$ the consistent conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{a,b}C_{a,\gamma+c}C_{b,\gamma+a+c} &= C_{a,b}C_{a+b,\gamma+c} = C_{b,\gamma+c}C_{a,\gamma+b+c}, \\ \alpha_{a,\gamma+c} &= \alpha_{a+b,\gamma+c}d_{a,b} = \alpha_{c,\gamma+a+b}d_{a,b}. \quad (\text{F34}) \end{aligned}$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, \gamma+c) = 1$ the consistent conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{a,b}C_{a,\gamma+c}C_{b,\gamma+a+c} &= C_{a,b}C_{a+b,\gamma+c}(\alpha_{a,\gamma+c} - d_{a,b}\alpha_{a+b,\gamma+c}), \\ C_{b,\gamma+c}C_{a,\gamma+b+c} &= C_{a,b}C_{a+b,\gamma+c}(1 - \alpha_{a,\gamma+c} + d_{a,b}\alpha_{a+b,\gamma+c}), \\ d_{a,b}(\alpha_{a+b,\gamma+c} - \alpha_{c,\gamma+a+b}) &= 0. \quad (\text{F35}) \end{aligned}$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, \gamma + c) = 2$ the consistent conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{b, \gamma+c} C_{a, \gamma+b+c} - \mu_{a,b} C_{a, \gamma+c} C_{b, \gamma+a+c} \\ &= C_{a,b} C_{a+b, \gamma+c} (\alpha_{a, \gamma+c} - 1 - d_{a,b} \alpha_{a+b, \gamma+c}), \\ & d_{a,b} (\alpha_{a+b, \gamma+c} - \alpha_{c, \gamma+a+b}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F36})$$

For $\Delta_3(a, b, \gamma + c) \geq 3$ there are no useful GJI's, and thus no extra consistent conditions.

$$g. \quad \{A, B, C\} = \{\psi_a, \psi_{-a}, \sigma_{\gamma+c}\}$$

This section is exactly the same as section **VID 3** since the subleading term in OPE (F1) has no effect on these GJI's.

-
- ¹ L. D. Laudau, Phys. Z. Sowjetunion **11**, 26 (1937)
 - ² D. C. Tsui, H. L. Stormer, A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1559 (1982)
 - ³ R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1395 (1983)
 - ⁴ X.-G. Wen, Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 9377 (1990)
 - ⁵ X.-G. Wen, Adv. Phys. **44**, 405 (1995)
 - ⁶ X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 165113 (2002)
 - ⁷ A. Kitaev, J. Preskill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 110404 (2006)
 - ⁸ M. Levin, X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 110405 (2006)
 - ⁹ H. Li, F. D. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 010504 (2008)
 - ¹⁰ X.-G. Wen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **4**, 239 (1990).
 - ¹¹ X.-G. Wen, Z. Wang, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 235108 (2008)
 - ¹² X.-G. Wen, Z. Wang, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 155109 (2008)
 - ¹³ M. Barkeshli, X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 195132 (2009)
 - ¹⁴ F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 605 (1983)
 - ¹⁵ F. D. M. Haldane, in *The Quantum Hall Effect*, 2nd ed., edited by R. E. Prange and S. M. Girvin (Springer, New York, 1990)
 - ¹⁶ M. Greiter, X.-G. Wen, F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B **374**, 567 (1992)
 - ¹⁷ N. Read, E. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 8084 (1999)
 - ¹⁸ N. Read, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 245334 (2006)
 - ¹⁹ S. H. Simon and E. H. Rezayi, arXiv:0908.0947
 - ²⁰ G. Moore, N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 362 (1991)
 - ²¹ X.-G. Wen, Y.-S. Wu, Nucl. Phys. B **419**, 455 (1994)
 - ²² X.-G. Wen, Y.-S. Wu, Y. Hatsugai, Nucl. Phys. B **422**, 476 (1994)
 - ²³ J. Frohlich, B. Pedrini, C. Schweigert, J. Walcher, J. Stat. Phys. **103**, 527 (2001)
 - ²⁴ E. Ardonne, N. Read, E. Rezayi, K. Schoutens, Nucl. Phys. B **607**, 549 (2001)
 - ²⁵ B. Noyvert, JHEP **0702**, 074 (2007)
 - ²⁶ M. Barkeshli, X.-G. Wen (2009), arXiv:0906.0337
 - ²⁷ A. Seidel, D.-H. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 056804 (2006)
 - ²⁸ E. Bergholt, J. Kailasvuori, E. Wikberg, T. Hansson, A. Karlhede, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 081308 (2006)
 - ²⁹ B. A. Bernevig and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 246802 (2008), arXiv:0707.3637.
 - ³⁰ B. A. Bernevig and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 184502 (2008), arXiv:0711.3062.
 - ³¹ B. Estienne and R. Santachiara (2009), arXiv:0906.1969.
 - ³² S. H. Simon, E. H. Rezayi, N. R. Cooper, I. Berdnikov, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 075317 (2007)
 - ³³ V. A. Fateev, A. Zamolodchikov, Sov. Phys. JETP **62**, 215 (1985)
 - ³⁴ P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Senechal, *Conformal field theory*, Springer, New York (1997)
 - ³⁵ P. H. Ginsparg, "Applied conformal field theory" in *Champs, cordes et phenomenes critiques* (Les Houches, 1988), 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1990), arXiv:hep-th/9108028
 - ³⁶ X.-M. Ding, M. D. Gould, and Y.-Z. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **530**, 197 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0110165.
 - ³⁷ X.-M. Ding, M. D. Gould, and Y.-Z. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. B **636**, 549 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0202031.
 - ³⁸ D. Gepner, Z. Qiu, Nucl. Phys. B **285**, 423 (1987)
 - ³⁹ A. Zamolodchikov, V. A. Fateev, Sov. Phys. JETP **63**, 913 (1986)
 - ⁴⁰ V. Dotsenko, J. L. Jacobsen, and R. Santachiara, Nucl. Phys. B **656**, 259 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0212158.
 - ⁴¹ V. S. Dotsenko, J. L. Jacobsen, and R. Santachiara, Nucl. Phys. B **664**, 477 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0303126.
 - ⁴² V. S. Dotsenko, J. L. Jacobsen, and R. Santachiara, Nucl. Phys. B **679**, 464 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0310131.
 - ⁴³ V. S. Dotsenko, J. L. Jacobsen, and R. Santachiara, Phys. Lett. B **584**, 186 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0310102.
 - ⁴⁴ V. S. Dotsenko and R. Santachiara, Phys. Lett. B **611**, 189 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0501128.
 - ⁴⁵ B. Noyvert, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **13**, 159 (2009), arXiv:hep-th/0612045.
 - ⁴⁶ P. Jacob and P. Mathieu, Nucl. Phys. B **630**, 433 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0201156.
 - ⁴⁷ P. Jacob and P. Mathieu, Phys. Lett. B **627**, 224 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0506199.